

ON THE HODGE AND BETTI NUMBERS OF HYPER-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

PIETRO BERI AND OLIVIER DEBARRE

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension m . One consequence of the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem is that the coefficients of the χ_y -genus polynomial

$$p_X(y) := \sum_{p,q=0}^m (-1)^q h^{p,q}(X) y^p \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$$

are (explicit) universal polynomials in the Chern numbers of X . In 1990, Libgober–Wood determined the first three terms of the Taylor expansion of this polynomial about $y = -1$ and deduced that the Chern number $\int_X c_1(X)c_{m-1}(X)$ can be expressed in terms of the coefficients of the polynomial $p_X(y)$ (Proposition 2.1).

When X is a hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $m = 2n$, this Chern number vanishes. The Hodge diamond of X also has extra symmetries which allowed Salamon to translate the resulting identity into a linear relation between the Betti numbers of X (Corollary 2.5).

When X has dimension 4, Salamon’s identity gives a relation between $b_2(X)$, $b_3(X)$, and $b_4(X)$. Using a result of Verbitsky’s on the injectivity of the cup-product map that produces an inequality between $b_2(X)$ and $b_4(X)$, it is easy to conclude $b_2(X) \leq 23$. Guan established in 2001 more restrictions on the Betti numbers (Theorem 3.6).

CONTENTS

1. Symmetries of the Hodge diamond of a hyper-Kähler manifold	2
2. Salamon’s results on Betti numbers	3
2.1. Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch	3
2.2. Application to hyper-Kähler manifolds	6
3. Guan’s bounds for Betti numbers of hyper-Kähler fourfolds	9
3.1. Bounds on b_2	9
3.2. Generalized Chern numbers	10
3.3. Bounds on b_3	12
References	14

Date: August 23, 2021.

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Project HyperK — grant agreement 854361).

1. SYMMETRIES OF THE HODGE DIAMOND OF A HYPER-KÄHLER MANIFOLD

Let X be a compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$ and let σ be a holomorphic symplectic form on X . Apart from the usual symmetries


$$h^{p,q}(X) = h^{q,p}(X) = h^{2n-p,2n-q}(X)$$

coming from Kähler theory and Serre duality, there is another symmetry

$$(1.1) \quad h^{p,q}(X) = h^{2n-p,q}(X)$$

coming from the fact that the wedge product $\wedge \sigma^{\wedge(n-p)}$ is an isomorphism $\Omega_X^p \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^{2n-p}$. So the Hodge diamond of X has a D_8 -symmetry.


Example 1.1 ($n = 2$). We represent the various symmetries of the Hodge diamond for an irreducible hyper-Kähler fourfold (note that the extra “mirror” symmetry (1.1) is only visible here on the outer edges of the diamond). In the following diagram, the Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}$ of hyper-Kähler fourfolds of Kum₂-type appear as left indices of the pq label and those for the K3^[2]-type as right indices.



A priori, there are only three undetermined Hodge numbers: h^{11} , h^{21} , and h^{22} . We will see in Example 2.7 that there is a relation between them.

Example 1.2 ($n = 3$). We represent some of the symmetries of the Hodge diamond of an irreducible hyper-Kähler sixfold. In the following diagram, the Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}$ of hyper-Kähler sixfolds of Kum₃-type appear as left indices of the pq label, those for the K3^[3]-type as

right indices, and those for the OG6-type as right exponents.



A priori, there are only six undetermined Hodge numbers: h^{11} , h^{21} , h^{31} , h^{22} , h^{32} , and h^{33} . We will see in Example 2.8 that there is a relation between them.

2. SALAMON'S RESULTS ON BETTI NUMBERS

2.1. Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension m . Following [H1], we set

$$\chi^p(X) := \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q h^{p,q}(X) = \chi(X, \Omega_X^p).$$

By Serre duality, these numbers satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \chi^p(X) = (-1)^m \chi^{m-p}(X)$$

and we define the χ_y -genus by the formula

$$(2.2) \quad p_X(y) := \sum_{p=0}^m \chi^p(X) y^p = \sum_{p,q=0}^m (-1)^q h^{p,q}(X) y^p \in \mathbb{Z}[y].$$

For instance,

- $p_X(0) = \chi^0(X) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$,
- $p_X(-1) = \chi_{\text{top}}(X) = e(X)$,
- $p_X(1)$ is the signature of the intersection form on $H^m(X, \mathbb{R})$ (which vanishes when m is odd).

Serre duality translates into the reciprocity property $(-y)^m p_X(\frac{1}{y}) = p_X(y)$.

One consequence of the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem is that $\chi^p(X)$ can be expressed as a universal polynomial $T_{m,p}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ in the Chern classes of X evaluated on X ([H1, Section IV.21.3, (10)]), that is,

$$(2.3) \quad p_X(y) = \sum_{p=0}^m y^p \int_X T_{m,p}(c_1(X), \dots, c_m(X)) = \int_X T_m(y)(c_1(X), \dots, c_m(X)),$$

where $T_m(y) := \sum_{p=0}^m T_{m,p}y^p$, a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_m]$. One has

- $T_{m,p} = (-1)^m T_{m,m-p}$ and $(-y)^m T_m(\frac{1}{y}) = T_m(y)$;
- $T_{m,0} = \text{td}_m(c_1, \dots, c_m)$.

Libgober–Wood found in [LW, Lemma 2.2] the first three terms of the Taylor expansion of the polynomial $T_m(y)$ about -1 :

$$(2.4) \quad T_m(y-1) = c_m - \frac{1}{2}m c_m y + \frac{1}{12}(\frac{1}{2}m(3m-5)c_m + c_1 c_{m-1})y^2 + \dots$$

The following is [LW, Proposition 2.3] (reproved later in [S, Theorem 4.1]).

Proposition 2.1 (Libgober–Wood). *If X is a compact Kähler manifold of dimension m , one has the relation*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_X c_1(X)c_{m-1}(X) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p (6p^2 - \frac{1}{2}m(3m+1))\chi^p(X).$$

Proof. The Taylor expansion of the polynomial p_X about the point -1 is

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(y-1) &= \sum_{p=0}^m \chi^p(X)(y-1)^p \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \chi^p(X) + y \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^{p-1} \binom{p}{1} \chi^p(X) + y^2 \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \binom{p}{2} \chi^p(X) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem (2.3) and comparing with (2.4), we get, by identifying the coefficients, the relations¹

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} p_X(-1) &= \int_X c_m(X) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \chi^p(X), \\ p'_X(-1) &= -\frac{1}{2}m \int_X c_m(X) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^{p-1} p \chi^p(X), \\ p''_X(-1) &= \frac{1}{6} \int_X (\frac{1}{2}m(3m-5)c_m(X) + c_1(X)c_{m-1}(X)) = 2 \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \binom{p}{2} \chi^p(X), \end{aligned}$$

¹The first two relations are in fact formally equivalent upon using the symmetries (2.1), which give

$$p'_X(-1) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^{p-1} (m-p) \chi^p(X) = -m p_X(-1) - p'_X(-1)$$

(see Remark 2.3).

from which it is not difficult to get (2.5). \square

The following consequence of Proposition 2.1 was obtained in [G, (1.14) and Proposition 2.4] using modular forms (see also [H2]).²

Corollary 2.2 (Gritsenko). *If X is a compact Kähler manifold of dimension m that satisfies $c_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$, one has*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{12} me(X) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \left(\frac{1}{2}m - p\right)^2 \chi^p(X) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq p < m/2} (-1)^p \left(\frac{1}{2}m - p\right)^2 \chi^p(X).$$

In particular, when m is even,³ $me(X)$ is divisible by 24.

Proof. The first equality in (2.7) is easily obtained from the relations (2.6), and the second equality from the symmetries (2.1). \square

Remark 2.3. Salamon gives in [S, p. 145] the next two terms of the expansion (2.4) (see also [L, Proposition 3.1(4)]):

$$\begin{aligned} T_m(y-1) &= c_m - \frac{1}{2}mc_my + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{1}{2}m(3m-5)c_m + c_1c_{m-1}\right)y^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{24}(m-2)\left(\frac{1}{2}m(m-3)c_m + c_1c_{m-1}\right)y^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{5760}\left(m(15m^3 - 150m^2 + 485m - 502)c_m + 4(15m^2 - 85m + 108)c_1c_{m-1}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8(c_1^2 + 3c_2)c_{m-2} - 8(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3)c_{m-3}\right)y^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The y^3 -term does not bring any new information since it is in fact a formal consequence of the reciprocity property $(-y)^m T_m\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) = T_m(y)$.

Using this expansion, J. Schmitt was able to find the following analogue of the Libgober–Wood formula (2.5) for a compact Kähler manifold X of dimension m :

$$(2.8) \quad \int_X \left(\left(\frac{1}{3}c_1^2(X) + c_2(X)\right)c_{m-2}(X) - \left(\frac{1}{3}c_1^3(X) - c_1(X)c_2(X) + c_3(X)\right)c_{m-3}(X) \right) \\ = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \left(10p^4 + (2 - 5m - 15m^2)p^2 + \frac{1}{24}m(5m+1)(15m^2 + 3m - 2) \right) \chi^p(X).$$

On a hyper-Kähler manifold, where all the odd Chern classes vanish, the left side reduces to $\int_X c_2(X)c_{m-2}(X)$.

²Gritsenko also gives in [G, (1.13)] relations between the $\chi^p(X)$ when $m \in \{4, 6, 8, 10\}$, but they are all rewritings of (2.7).

³This assumption is missing from [G], but it is necessary: when m is odd and we write $m = 2n + 1$, we have

$$\frac{m-3}{12}e(X) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n} (-1)^p \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}m - p\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \chi^p(X) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n} (-1)^p (n(n+1) - p(2n+1) + p^2) \chi^p(X),$$

which is divisible by 4. So what we get is that $\frac{m-3}{2}e(X)$ is divisible by 24.

Remark 2.4. The polynomials T_m can be computed. Setting for simplicity $c_1 = 0$ (the case of interest for us), we have, for even dimensions $m \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ (see [LW] or [D, Section 9]),

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(y-1) &= c_2 - c_2 y + \frac{1}{12} c_2 y^2, \\ T_4(y-1) &= c_4 - 2c_4 y + \frac{7}{6} c_4 y^2 - \frac{1}{6} c_4 y^3 + \frac{1}{720} (3c_2^2 - c_4) y^4, \\ T_6(y-1) &= c_6 - 3c_6 y + \frac{13}{4} c_6 y^2 - \frac{3}{2} c_6 y^3 + \frac{1}{240} (-c_3^2 + c_2 c_4 + 62c_6) y^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{720} (3c_3^2 - 3c_2 c_4 - 6c_6) y^5 + \frac{1}{60480} (10c_2^3 - c_3^2 - 9c_2 c_4 + 2c_6) y^6. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\chi := \text{td}_m$ (this is the constant term and leading coefficient of T_m), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(y) &= \chi + (2\chi - c_2)y + \chi y^2, \\ (2.9) \quad T_4(y) &= \chi + (4\chi - \frac{1}{6}c_4)y + (6\chi + \frac{2}{3}c_4)y^2 + (4\chi - \frac{1}{6}c_4)y^3 + \chi y^4. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Application to hyper-Kähler manifolds. Assume now that m is even and that we have the extra “mirror” symmetry $h^{p,q}(X) = h^{m-p,q}(X)$ like we do when X is a hyper-Kähler manifold. We define polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} h_X(s, t) &:= \sum_{p,q=0}^m h^{p,q}(X) s^p t^q \in \mathbb{Z}[s, t], \\ b_X(t) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(X) t^j = h_X(t, t). \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial h_X is symmetric and $p_X(y) = h_X(-1, y)$. Now we use the evenness of m and the extra symmetry to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 h_X}{\partial s \partial t}(-1, -1) &= \sum_{p,q=0}^m pq(-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(X) \\ &= \sum_{p,q=0}^m (m-p)q(-1)^{m-p+q} h^{p,q}(X) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2 h_X}{\partial s \partial t}(-1, -1) + m \sum_{p,q=0}^m q(-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(X) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2 h_X}{\partial s \partial t}(-1, -1) - m \frac{\partial h_X}{\partial t}(-1, -1), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(2.10) \quad 2 \frac{\partial^2 h_X}{\partial s \partial t}(-1, -1) = -m \frac{\partial h_X}{\partial t}(-1, -1) = -m p'_X(-1).$$

In terms of the polynomial b_X , we have, by symmetry of h_X ,

$$b'_X(t) = 2 \frac{\partial h_X}{\partial t}(t, t),$$

$$b_X''(t) = 2 \frac{\partial^2 h_X}{\partial s \partial t}(t, t) + 2 \frac{\partial^2 h_X}{\partial t^2}(t, t),$$

so that we get, using (2.10),

$$(2.11) \quad b_X'(-1) = 2p_X'(-1) \quad , \quad b_X''(-1) = -mp_X'(-1) + 2p_X''(-1).$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we write the Taylor expansion of the polynomial b_X about the point -1 :

$$\begin{aligned} b_X(t-1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(X)(t-1)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(X)(-1)^j + t \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(-1)^{j-1} \binom{j}{1} + t^2 \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(X)(-1)^j \binom{j}{2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.11) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(-1)^j j &= -b_X'(-1) = -2p_X'(-1) = m \int_X c_m(X), \\ \sum_{j=0}^{2m} b_j(X)(-1)^j \binom{j}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} b_X''(-1) = -\frac{1}{2} m p_X'(-1) + p_X''(-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} m^2 \int_X c_m(X) + \frac{1}{6} \int_X \left(\frac{1}{2} m(3m-5) c_m(X) + c_1(X) c_{m-1}(X) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together, we obtain the analogue of (2.5) ([S, Theorem 4.1]):

$$2 \int_X c_1(X) c_{m-1}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{2m} (-1)^j (6j^2 - m(6m+1)) b_j(X).$$

Corollary 2.5 (Salamon). *If X is a compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$, one has⁴*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{4n} (-1)^j (3j^2 - n(12n+1)) b_j(X) = 0.$$

Using the symmetry $b_j = b_{4n-j}$, one checks that one gets the equivalent relations (in the spirit of (2.7))

$$ne(X) = 6 \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (-1)^j j^2 b_{2n-j}(X) \quad , \quad nb_{2n}(X) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (-1)^j (3j^2 - n) b_{2n-j}(X).$$

Example 2.6 ($n = 1$). We obtain $b_2(X) = 22$ and $e(X) = 24$.

⁴There is a misprint in [Hu, 24.4.2].

Example 2.7 ($n = 2$). Salamon's relation reads

$$b_4(X) = 46 + 10b_2(X) - b_3(X).$$

On an irreducible hyper-Kähler fourfold, because of the symmetries, there are only 3 unknown Hodge numbers: $h^{11}(X)$, $h^{21}(X)$, and $h^{22}(X)$. One has

$$b_2(X) = 2 + h^{11}(X) \quad , \quad b_3(X) = 2h^{21}(X) \quad , \quad b_4(X) = 2 + 2h^{11}(X) + h^{22}(X).$$

Salamon's relation translates into

$$h^{22}(X) = 64 + 8h^{11}(X) - 2h^{21}(X).$$

There are two Chern numbers, $c_4 := \int_X c_4(X) = e(X)$ and $c_2^2 := \int_X c_2(X)^2$. They satisfy

$$(2.12) \quad 3 = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = T_4(0) = \int_X \mathrm{td}_4(X) = \frac{1}{720}(3c_2^2 - c_4).$$

But we also have, using (2.9),

$$(2.13) \quad \chi^1(X) = 12 - \frac{1}{6}c_4 \quad , \quad \chi^2(X) = 18 + \frac{2}{3}c_4.$$

A priori though, the value of c_4 is not enough to determine all the Hodge numbers but, once we know c_4 , one Hodge number determines all the others.

The Chern numbers for the two known deformation types of irreducible hyper-Kähler fourfolds are in the following table.

	$\chi_{\mathrm{top}} = e = c_4$	c_2^2
Kum ₂	108	756
K3 ^[2]	324	828

Example 2.8 ($n = 3$). Salamon's relation reads

$$b_6(X) = 70 + 30b_2(X) - 16b_3(X) + 6b_4(X).$$

Because of the symmetries, there are only 6 undetermined Hodge numbers: $h^{11}(X)$, $h^{21}(X)$, $h^{31}(X)$, $h^{22}(X)$, $h^{32}(X)$, and $h^{33}(X)$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} b_2(X) &= 2 + h^{11}(X), \\ b_3(X) &= 2h^{21}(X), \\ b_4(X) &= 2 + 2h^{31}(X) + h^{22}(X), \\ b_5(X) &= 2h^{41}(X) + 2h^{32}(X), \\ b_6(X) &= 2 + 2h^{11}(X) + 2h^{22}(X) + h^{33}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Salamon's relation translates into

$$h^{33}(X) = 140 + 28h^{11}(X) - 32h^{21}(X) + 12h^{31}(X) + 4h^{22}(X).$$

There are three Chern numbers, $c_6 := \int_X c_6(X) = e(X)$, $c_2c_4 := \int_X c_2(X)c_4(X)$, and $c_2^3 := \int_X c_2(X)^3$. They satisfy

$$4 = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = T_6(0) = \text{td}_6(X) = \frac{1}{60480}(10c_2^3 - 9c_2c_4 + 2c_6).$$

The three known examples in dimension 6 are in the following table taken from [N2, Remark 4.13] (see also [N1, Appendix A]) and [MRS, Corollary 6.8].

	$\chi_{\text{top}} = e(X) = c_6$	c_2c_4	c_2^3
Kum ₃	448	6784	30208
K3 ^[3]	3200	14720	36800
OG6	1920	7680	30720

3. GUAN'S BOUNDS FOR BETTI NUMBERS OF HYPER-KÄHLER FOURFOLDS

3.1. Bounds on b_2 . Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m = 2n$. Let σ be a symplectic form on X . One has $b_1(X) = 0$, and $b_2(X) \geq 3$ since $H^{2,0}(X) = \mathbb{C}\sigma$, $H^{0,2}(X) = \mathbb{C}\bar{\sigma}$, and $H^{1,1}(X)$ contains the class of any Kähler form.

Our aim is to prove the following upper bound for $b_2(X)$ when $m = 4$ ([Gu, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 3.1 (Guan). *Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension 4. Then $3 \leq b_2(X) \leq 23$. Moreover, if $b_2(X) = 23$, the Hodge numbers of X are the same as the Hodge numbers of the Hilbert square of a K3 surface.*

About the higher Betti numbers, we have the following result ([V, Theorem 1.5], [B, Theorem 1.5]).

Theorem 3.2 (Verbitsky). *Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$. For all $k \leq n$, the canonical map $\text{Sym}^k H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{R})$ given by cup-product is injective. In particular, $b_{2k}(X) \geq \binom{b_2(X)+k-1}{k}$.*

We denote by $SH^{2k}(X) \subset H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{R})$ the image of the map above.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Write b_j for $b_j(X)$. We have $b_3 + b_4 = 46 + 10b_2$ (Example 2.7) and $b_4 \geq \frac{b_2(b_2+1)}{2}$ (Theorem 3.2), hence

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{b_2(b_2+1)}{2} \leq b_3 + \frac{b_2(b_2+1)}{2} \leq b_3 + b_4 = 46 + 10b_2$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(b_2 + 4)(b_2 - 23) \leq 0,$$

so $b_2 \leq 23$. Assume now $b_2 = 23$. Substituting in the inequality above, we get $b_3 + 276 \leq 46 + 230 = 276$, so $b_3 = 0$. This implies $b_4 = 46 + 10b_2 = 276$. So the Betti numbers of X are the same as those of the Hilbert square of a K3 surface. As noted in Example 2.7, this implies that the Hodge numbers are also the same. \square

3.2. Generalized Chern numbers. For an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$, we have the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki quadratic form q_X on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ([F] or [Hu, Section 23]). There exists a positive rational constant c_X such that

$$(3.2) \quad \forall \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \quad \int_X \beta^{2n} = c_X q_X(\beta)^n.$$

More generally, let $\alpha \in H^{4j}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a class that is of type $(2j, 2j)$ on all small deformations of X (this is the case for example for the Chern class $c_j(X)$). There is a constant $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that ([Hu, Corollary 23.17])

$$(3.3) \quad \forall \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \quad \int_X \alpha \beta^{2(n-j)} = c_\alpha q_X(\beta)^{n-j}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$ and $j = 0$, we recover (3.2).

We can now define the generalized Chern numbers.

Definition 3.3. Let $C \in H^{4j}(X, \mathbb{C})$ be a polynomial in the Chern classes. The number

$$N(C) := \frac{\int_X C u^{2(n-j)}}{\left(\int_X u^{2n}\right)^{\frac{n-j}{n}}}$$

is independent of the choice of $u \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ with $\int_X u^{2n} \neq 0$. We call it a *generalized Chern number* of X .

To see that $N(C)$ does not depend on the choice of u , note that $\int_X C u^{2(n-j)} = a_C q_X(u)^{n-j}$, where a_C is the sum of the c_α as in (3.3) for all monomials α in C . Moreover, $\int_X u^{2n} = c_X q_X(u)^n$, so $N(C) = a_C c_X^{-\frac{n-j}{n}}$; it is a real number since we can always choose u in $H^{4j}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

In our case, $n = 2$, we are interested in the generalized Chern number $N(c_2(X))$. Guan rewrote [HS, (1)] as follows ([Gu, Lemma 2]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$. Then⁵*

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{((2n)!)^{n-1} N(c_2(X))^n}{(24n(2n-2)!)^n} = \int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X).$$

Moreover $N(c_2(X)) > 0$.

⁵Hitchin and Sawon, and then Guan, use the $\hat{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -genus instead of $\text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In general, one has $\hat{A} = e^{c_1/2} \text{td}$, so they coincide in our case since $c_1 = 0$.

Proof. For any hyper-Kähler manifold X , one has $\int_X (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^{2n} = c_X q_X (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^n > 0$. Hence we can write

$$N(c_2(X)) = \frac{\int_X c_2(X) (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^{2n-2}}{\left(\int_X (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^{2n}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}.$$

The lemma therefore follows from the equality

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\|R\|^{2n}}{(192\pi^2 n)^n \text{vol}(X)^{n-1}} = \int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)$$

from [HS, (1)],⁶ where

- $\text{vol}(X) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}(2n)!} \int_X (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^{2n}$ is the volume form on X ,
- $\|R\|$ is the L^2 -norm of the Riemann curvature tensor, given by

$$\|R\|^2 = \frac{8\pi^2}{2^{2n-2}(2n-2)!} \int_X c_2(X) (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^{2n-2}.$$

Note that $\int_X c_2(X) (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})^{2n-2}$ is nonnegative, since it is a positive multiple of $\|R\|^2$. If it vanishes, X is flat, hence a torus by the Bieberbach theorem, which is absurd. \square

The following proposition is [Gu, Lemma 3].

Proposition 3.5 (Guan). *Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension 4. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad 3b_2(X)N(c_2(X))^2 \leq (b_2(X) + 2) \int_X c_2(X)^2.$$

Equality holds if and only if $c_2(X) \in SH^4(X)$.

Proof. The orthogonal complement $SH^4(X)^\perp$ of $SH^4(X)$ in $H^4(X, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the intersection form consists of primitive classes. Therefore, by the second Hodge–Riemann bilinear relations, the intersection form is positive definite on $SH^4(X)^\perp$ and one has $H^4(X, \mathbb{R}) = SH^4(X) \oplus SH^4(X)^\perp$.

Let us write $c_2(X) = p + r$ with $p \in SH^4(X)$ and $r \in SH^4(X)^\perp$. As noted above, one has $\int_X r^2 \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $r = 0$.

For every $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$, one has, using (3.3),

$$(3.7) \quad \int_X p\beta^2 = \int_X c_2(X)\beta^2 = c q_X(\beta),$$

⁶The authors of [HS] and [Gu] use a different convention for exterior products of differential forms. The latter can be seen either as elements of the abstract exterior algebra of the space of 1-forms or as alternating multilinear forms: depending on the point of view, the two definitions of product between differential forms differ by a binomial coefficient. So, if we follow Hitchin and Sawon and we write $\text{vol}(X) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}((n!)^2)} \int_X \sigma^n \bar{\sigma}^n$ and $\|R\|^2 = \frac{8\pi^2}{2^{2n-2}((n-1)!)^2} \int_X c_2(X) \sigma^{n-1} \bar{\sigma}^{n-1}$, then (3.4) becomes $\frac{((2n)!)^{n-1} N(c_2(X))^n}{(24n(2n-2)!)^n} \cdot \frac{\binom{2(n-1)}{n-1}^n}{\binom{2n}{n}^{n-1}} = \int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)$.

where $c := c_{c_2(X)}$. Write b for $b_2(X)$. Let (e_1, \dots, e_b) be a basis of $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ which is orthonormal with respect to q_X . For all $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and pairwise distinct i, j, k, l , we have

$$\int_X (t_1 e_i + t_2 e_j + t_3 e_k + t_4 e_l)^4 = c_X q_X (t_1 e_i + t_2 e_j + t_3 e_k + t_4 e_l)^2 = c_X (t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 + t_4^2)^2,$$

which implies

$$(3.8) \quad \int_X e_i^4 = c_X \quad , \quad \int_X e_i^2 e_j^2 = \frac{1}{3} c_X \quad , \quad \int_X e_i^2 e_j e_k = \int_X e_i e_j e_k e_l = 0.$$

Write $p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq b} p_{ij} e_i \cdot e_j$. Using (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain, for $i \neq j$,

$$0 = \int_X p e_i e_j = \frac{1}{3} c_X p_{ij},$$

hence $p_{ij} = 0$. Similarly, for each i , we have

$$c = \int_X p e_i^2 = c_X p_{ii} + \frac{1}{3} c_X \sum_{j \neq i} p_{ii}.$$

Summing over $i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$, we obtain

$$bc = c_X \sum_i p_{ii} + \frac{1}{3} c_X (b-1) \sum_i p_{ii} = \frac{c_X (b+2)}{3} \sum_i p_{ii}.$$

Using these relations, we obtain

$$\int_X p^2 = \sum_i p_{ii} \int_X p e_i^2 = c \sum_i p_{ii} = \frac{3bc^2}{c_X (b+2)}.$$

Finally, Definition 3.3 gives

$$N(c_2(X)) = \frac{\int_X c_2(X) e_1^2}{(\int_X e_1^4)^{1/2}} = \frac{\int_X p e_1^2}{(\int_X e_1^4)^{1/2}} = c c_X^{-1/2}.$$

Putting everything together, we obtain

$$\int_X c_2(X)^2 = \int_X p^2 + \int_X r^2 \geq \int_X p^2 = \frac{3bc^2}{c_X (b+2)} = \frac{3bN(c_2(X))^2}{b+2},$$

which is the desired inequality. Equality holds if and only if $\int_X r^2 = 0$. As we saw earlier, this is equivalent to $r = 0$, that is, $c_2(X) \in SH^4(X)$. \square

3.3. Bounds on b_3 . Let again X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension 4. A formal computation shows

$$(3.9) \quad \int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X) = \frac{1}{5760} \int_X (7c_2(X)^2 - 4c_4(X)).$$

The following result is [Gu, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3.6 (Guan). *Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension 4. Then*

$$(3.10) \quad b_3(X) \leq \frac{4(23 - b_2(X))(8 - b_2(X))}{b_2(X) + 1}.$$

If $b_2(X) > 7$, then $(b_2(X), b_3(X)) \in \{(8, 0), (23, 0)\}$.

Proof. Write b_j for $b_j(X)$, c_2^2 for $\int_X c_2(X)^2$, and c_4 for $\int_X c_4(X)$. We substitute Lemma 3.4, with $n = 2$, in Proposition 3.5 to obtain

$$3b_2 \frac{(24 \cdot 4)^2}{4!} \int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X) \leq (b_2 + 2)c_2^2.$$

Substituting in (3.9) the expression for c_4 given in (2.12), we get

$$\int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X) = \frac{1}{5760} (7c_2^2 - 4(3c_2^2 - 720 \cdot 3)) = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{c_2^2}{1152}.$$

Hence

$$(3.11) \quad (b_2 + 2)c_2^2 \geq 2 \cdot 24^2 b_2 \int_X \text{td}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X) = 2 \cdot 24^2 b_2 \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{c_2^2}{1152} \right) = b_2(3 \cdot 24^2 - c_2^2).$$

We have $h^{1,1}(X) - 2h^{2,1}(X) = \chi^1(X) = 12 - \frac{c_4}{6}$ (see (2.13)); using

$$b_2 = 2 + h^{1,1}(X) \quad , \quad b_3 = 2h^{1,2}(X),$$

we obtain $c_4 = 3(16 + 4b_2 - b_3)$. We use this in (2.12) to get $c_2^2 = 736 + 4b_2 - b_3$. Then, (3.11) becomes $(b_2 + 1)b_3 \leq 4(23 - b_2)(8 - b_2)$ as in the statement of the theorem.

If $b_2 > 7$, the right side of (3.10) is nonpositive because $b_2 \leq 23$, so it has to be zero. \square

The following is [Gu, Corollary 1].

Corollary 3.7 (Guan). *Let X be an irreducible compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension 4. If $b_2(X) \leq 7$, one of the following holds:*

- $b_2(X) = 3$ and $b_3(X) = 4\ell$ with $\ell \leq 17$;
- $b_2(X) = 4$ and $b_3(X) = 4\ell$ with $\ell \leq 15$;
- $b_2(X) = 5$ and $b_3(X) = 4\ell$ with $\ell \leq 9$;
- $b_2(X) = 6$ and $b_3(X) = 4\ell$ with $\ell \leq 4$;
- $b_2(X) = 7$ and $b_3(X) = 4\ell$ with $\ell \in \{0, 2\}$.

Proof. By [F, Lemma 1.2], one has $4 \mid b_k$ for k odd. The bounds are obtained using either (3.1) or (3.10). Guan proved in [Gu] that the case $(b_2(X), b_3(X)) = (7, 4)$ cannot occur. \square

Remark 3.8. When $b_2(X) = 7$, either $b_3(X) = 0$ or the Hodge numbers of X are the same as the Hodge numbers of a generalized Kummer fourfold.

Remark 3.9. Given $(b_2(X), b_3(X))$, one can compute $N(c_2(X))$ using Lemma 3.4, since the Chern numbers of X are computed in the proof of Theorem 3.6. Then it is possible to check which pairs give an equality in (3.6). Hence, using Proposition 3.5, one can check that $c_2(X) \in SH^4(X)$ if and only if $(b_2(X), b_3(X)) \in \{(5, 36), (7, 8), (8, 0), (23, 0)\}$.

REFERENCES

- [B] Bottini, A., The Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky algebra and Verbitsky’s Theorem.
- [D] Debarre, O., Cohomological characterizations of the complex projective space, eprint [arXiv:1512.04321](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.04321).
- [F] Fujiki, A., On the de Rham cohomology group of a compact Kähler symplectic manifold, *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, 105–165, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [G] Gritsenko, V., Elliptic genus of Calabi-Yau manifolds and Jacobi and Siegel modular forms, *Algebra Anal.* **11** (2000), 100–125; reprinted in *St. Petersburg. Math. J.* **11** (2000), 781–804.
- [Gu] Guan, D., On the Betti numbers of irreducible compact hyperkähler manifolds of complex dimension four, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), 663–669.
- [H1] Hirzebruch, F., *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, reprint of the 1968 edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [H2] Hirzebruch, F., On the Euler characteristic of manifolds with $c_1 = 0$. A letter to V. Gritsenko, *Algebra Anal.* **11** (2000), 126–129; reprinted in *St. Petersburg. Math. J.* **11** (2000), 805–807.
- [HS] Hitchin, N., Sawon, J., Curvature and characteristic numbers of hyper-Kähler manifolds, *Duke Math. J.* **106** (2001), 599–615.
- [Hu] Huybrechts, D., Compact Hyperkähler Manifolds, in *Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*, Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [L] Li, P., Kähler hyperbolic manifolds and Chern number inequalities, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), 6853–6868.
- [LW] Libgober, A.S., Wood, J.W., Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types, *J. Differential Geom.* **32** (1990), 139–154.
- [MRS] Mongardi, G., Rapagnetta, A., Saccà, G., The Hodge diamond of O’Grady’s six-dimensional example, *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), 984–1013.
- [N1] Nieper-Wißkirchen, M., On the Chern numbers of generalised Kummer varieties, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9** (2002), 597–606.
- [N2] Nieper-Wißkirchen, M., *Chern numbers and Rozansky-Witten invariants of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2004.
- [S] Salamon, S.M., On the cohomology of Kähler and hyper-Kähler manifolds, *Topology* **35** (1996), 137–155.
- [V] Verbitsky, M., Cohomology of compact hyperkähler manifolds and its applications, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **73** (1996), 601–611.

UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, TÉLÉPORT 2 BOULEVARD MARIE ET PIERRE CURIE BP 30179, 86962 FUTUROSCOPE CHASSENEUIL CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: pietro.beri@math.univ-poitiers.fr

UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75013 PARIS, FRANCE

E-mail address: olivier.debarre@imj-prg.fr