

## On periods of Gushel–Mukai Varieties

Olivier DEBARRE (joint with Alexander KUZNETSOV)

École normale supérieure

Carry-le-Rouet, mai 2016

## Definition of GM varieties

A Gushel–Mukai variety of dimension  $n$  is

- (ordinary type) a transverse intersection

$$X := G(2, V_5) \cap \mathbf{P}(W_{n+5}) \cap Q \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 V_5)$$

- (special type) a double cover

$$X \longrightarrow M := G(2, V_5) \cap \mathbf{P}(W_{n+4}) \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 V_5)$$

branched along  $M \cap Q$ .

## Characterization of GM varieties

One has  $K_X \cong_{\text{lin}} (2 - n)H$  and  $H^n = 10$ . GM manifolds are:

- (**n = 1**) genus-6 curves with Clifford index 2 (not hyperelliptic, not trigonal, not a plane quintic), special  $\Leftrightarrow$  bielliptic;
- (**n = 2**) degree-10 K3 surfaces whose polarization contains a genus-6 curve with Clifford index 2, special  $\Leftrightarrow$  hyperelliptic;
- (**n = 3, 4, 5, 6**) prime Fano  $n$ -folds of degree 10, coindex 3;
- (**n = 6**) only special case occurs.

## Hodge structures of GM manifolds

The integral cohomology is torsion-free and the upper Hodge diamonds are

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 (n=1) & (n=2) & (n=3) & (n=4) \\
 \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 1 \quad 6 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \quad 20 \quad 0 \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 10 \quad 10 \quad 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 22 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\
 \\
 (n=5) & (n=6) \\
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 10 \quad 10 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 22 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

## Period maps for GM manifolds

When  $n$  is odd, the Hodge structure has level 1 and there are (p.p.) intermediate Jacobians and period maps

$$\wp_1: \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_6 \quad , \quad \wp_3: \mathcal{M}_3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{10} \quad , \quad \wp_5: \mathcal{M}_5 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{10}.$$

When  $n$  is even, the Hodge structure is of K3 type and the vanishing cohomology defines period maps

$$\wp_2: \mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{19} \quad , \quad \wp_4: \mathcal{M}_4 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{20} \quad , \quad \wp_6: \mathcal{M}_6 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{20},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_m$  is the quasi-projective quotient of a bounded symmetric domain of dimension  $m$  by a discrete group of automorphisms.

We know that  $\wp_1$  and  $\wp_2$  are closed embeddings. What about the other maps?

## Period maps for GM manifolds

The dimensions are

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\dim(\mathcal{M}_n)$	15	19	22	24	25	25
$\dim(\text{period space})$	21	19	55	20	55	20

We will show that  $\wp_n$  is not injective for  $n \geq 3$  and describe its fibers.

## The magic trick (O’Grady, Iliev–Manivel)

Given a smooth (ordinary) GM  $n$ -fold

$$X := G(2, V_5) \cap \mathbf{P}(W_{n+5}) \cap Q \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 V_5),$$


one can consider and construct

- the space  $V_6$  of quadrics in  $\mathbf{P}(W_{n+5})$  containing  $X$ ;
- the hyperplane  $V_5 \subset V_6$  of “Plücker quadrics”;
- a Lagrangian subspace  $A \subset \wedge^3 V_6$  such that

$$\dim(\wedge^3 V_5 \cap A) = 5 - n.$$

## Parametrization of GM manifolds

This construction can be reversed and gives, for  $n \geq 3$ , a bijection  
 ( $V_6$  is a fixed 6-dimensional vector space)






## EPW stratification

Given a Lagrangian  $A \subset \Lambda^3 V_6$ , we define the (dual) EPW stratification

$$Y_{A^\perp}^\ell := \{[V_5] \in \mathbf{P}(V_6^\vee) \mid \dim(\Lambda^3 V_5 \cap A) = \ell\}.$$

We deduce from the correspondence above a morphism



where the fiber of  $[A]$  is  $Y_{A^\perp}^{5-n}$  (modulo automorphisms).

## EPW sextics

### Theorem (O'Grady)

*If the Lagrangian subspace  $A \subset \wedge^3 V_6$  contains no decomposable vectors,*

- $Y_{A^\perp} := Y_{A^\perp}^{\geq 1} \subset \mathbf{P}(V_6^\vee)$  *is an integral sextic hypersurface;*
- $Y_{A^\perp}^{\geq 2} = \text{Sing}(Y_{A^\perp})$  *is an integral normal surface;*
- $Y_{A^\perp}^{\geq 3}$  *is finite and smooth, empty for  $A$  general;*
- $Y_{A^\perp}^{\geq 4}$  *is empty.*

Putting everything together, we have

- $p_5: \mathcal{M}_5^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , fibers are complements of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{P}^5$  (both  $\mathcal{M}_5^{\text{ord}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are affine);
- $p_4: \mathcal{M}_4^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , fibers are complements of surfaces in hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{P}^5$ ;
- $p_3: \mathcal{M}_3^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , fibers are surfaces (minus a finite set).

Ignoring stacky issues, these maps fit together and yield morphisms

$$p_n: \mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{ord}} \sqcup \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{spe}} = \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{ord}} \sqcup \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{\text{ord}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

### Corollary

*For each  $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ , there exist non-isotrivial families of smooth GM varieties of dimension  $n$  parametrized by a proper curve.*

## Shafarevich conjecture and hyperbolicity

- The Shafarevich conjecture does not hold for Fano threefolds: the set of  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ -isomorphism classes of Fano threefolds with Picard number 2, defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and with good reduction outside  $\{2, 29\}$ , is infinite (the moduli space of blow ups of any line in a smooth intersection of two quadrics in  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Q}}^5$  contains abelian surfaces; Javanpeykar–Loughran). What about GM manifolds?
- This is related (via the Lang–Vojta conjecture) to hyperbolicity of moduli spaces: do they contain entire curves? In our case,  $\mathcal{M}_4$  and  $\mathcal{M}_5$  do contain many (proper) rational or elliptic curves ( $\mathcal{M}_6$  is affine). This is in contrast with a result of Viehweg–Zuo, which says that this cannot happen with moduli space of smooth projective varieties with *ample* canonical bundle.

## Main theorem

### Theorem (D.–Iliev–Manivel, D.–Kuznetsov)

*The period map  $\wp_n$  for GM manifolds of dimension  $n \in \{3, 4, 6\}$  factors through  $p_n: \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . In particular, it has positive dimensional fibers.*

When  $n = 3$  ([DIM]): explicit birational isomorphisms between GM threefolds with same  $A$ .

## Periods of double EPW sextics

There is a canonical double cover  $\tilde{Y}_{A^\perp} \rightarrow Y_{A^\perp}$ .

### Theorem (O'Grady)

*If  $A$  has no decomposable vectors and  $Y_{A^\perp}^{\geq 3} = \emptyset$ , the fourfold  $\tilde{Y}_{A^\perp}$  is a HK manifold of  $K3^{[2]}$ -type.*

### Theorem (D.–Kuznetsov)

*If  $X$  is a GM manifold of dimension  $n \in \{4, 6\}$ , with Lagrangian  $A$ , there is an isomorphism of polarized Hodge structures*

$$H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})_{00} \cong H^2(\tilde{Y}_{A^\perp}; \mathbf{Z})_0((-1)^{n/2-1}).$$

## Periods of double EPW sextics

### Corollary (D.–Kuznetsov)

When  $n \in \{4, 6\}$ , there is a factorization

$$\wp_n: \mathcal{M}_n \xrightarrow{p_n} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\wp} \mathcal{D}_{20},$$

where the period map  $\wp$  is an open embedding.

The last statement is a theorem of Verbitsky.

## Method of proof

We consider the dual situation  $\tilde{Y}_A \rightarrow Y_A \subset \mathbf{P}(V_6)$  and the inverse image  $\tilde{Y}_{A,V_5} \subset \tilde{Y}_A$  of the hyperplane  $V_5 \subset V_6$ .

As for cubic fourfolds, the isomorphism in the theorem is given by a correspondence, using

- when  $n = 4$ , the (smooth) variety of lines in  $X$ , a small resolution of  $\tilde{Y}_{A,V_5}$ ;
- when  $n = 6$ , the (smooth) variety of  $\sigma$ -planes in  $X$ , a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\tilde{Y}_{A,V_5}$ .



## Birationalities

### Theorem (D.–Iliev–Manivel, D.–Kuznetsov)

*Any GM manifolds of the same dimension with isomorphic associated Lagrangians are birationally isomorphic.*

In particular, the rationality of a GM manifold only depends on its associated Lagrangian, hence on its period point.

When  $n = 3$ , a general GM manifold is not rational (use intermediate Jacobian).

When  $n \in \{5, 6\}$ , all GM manifolds are rational.

When  $n = 4$ , the situation is analogous to that of cubic fourfolds: some rational examples are known, but one expects very general GM fourfolds to be irrational.