

The right adjoint of the parabolic induction

September 8, 2016

Abstract

We extend the results of Emerton on the ordinary part functor to the category of the smooth representations over a general commutative ring R , of a general reductive p -adic group G (rational points of a reductive connected group over a local non archimedean field F of residual characteristic p). In Emerton's work, the characteristic of F is 0, R is a complete artinian local \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra having a finite residual field, and the representations are admissible. We show:

The smooth parabolic induction functor admits a right adjoint. The center-locally finite part of the smooth right adjoint is equal to the admissible right adjoint of the admissible parabolic induction functor when R is noetherian. The smooth and admissible parabolic induction functors are fully faithful when p is nilpotent in R .

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Review on adjunction between grothendieck abelian categories	3
3	The category $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$	5
3.1	$\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is grothendieck	5
3.2	Admissibility and z -finiteness	6
4	The right adjoint R_P^G of $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$	7
5	Ind_P^G is fully faithful if p is nilpotent in R	8
6	z-locally finite parts of R_P^G and of $R_P^{P\bar{P}} \circ \text{Res}_{\bar{P}}^G$ are equal	9
7	The Hecke description of $R_{\bar{P}}^{P\bar{P}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$	11
8	The right adjoint $\text{Ord}_{\bar{P}}$ of $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$	13

1 Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring, let F be a local non archimedean field of finite residual field of characteristic p , let \mathbf{G} be a reductive connected F -group. Let $\mathbf{P}, \bar{\mathbf{P}}$ be two opposite parabolic F -subgroups of unipotent radicals $\mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}$ and same Levi subgroup $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P} \cap \bar{\mathbf{P}}$. Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}}$ be the maximal F -split central subtorus of \mathbf{M} . The groups of F -points are denoted by the same letter but not in bold. The parabolic induction functor $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow$

$\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ between the categories of smooth R -representations of M and of G , is the right adjoint of the N -coinvariant functor, and respects admissibility.

For any (R, F, G) , we show that Ind_P^G admits a right adjoint R_P^G .

When R is noetherian, we show that the A_M -locally finite part of R_P^G respects admissibility, hence is the right adjoint of the functor Ind_P^G between admissible R -representations.

When 0 is the only infinitely p -divisible element in R , we show that the counit of the adjoint pair $(-_N, \text{Ind}_P^G)$, is an isomorphism. Therefore, Ind_P^G is fully faithful and the unit of the adjoint pair (Ind_P^G, R_P^G) is an isomorphism.

The results of this paper have already been used in [HV] to compare the parabolic and compact inductions of smooth representations over an algebraically closed field R of characteristic p for any pair (F, \mathbf{G}) , following the arguments of Herzig when the characteristic of F is 0 and \mathbf{G} is split. The comparison is a basic step in the classification of the non-supersingular admissible irreducible representations of G (work in progress with Abe, Henniart, and Herzig, see also Ly's work [Ly] for $GL(n, D)$ where D/F is a finite dimensional division algebra).

When p is invertible in R , it was known that Ind_P^G has a right adjoint, called also the "second adjoint". When R is the field of complex numbers, Casselman for admissible representations and Bernstein in general proved that the right adjoint is equal to the \overline{N} -coinvariant functor multiplied by the modulus of P . Another proof was published by Bushnell [Bu]. Both proofs rely on the property that the category $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is noetherian. Conversely, Dat [Dat] proved that the second adjointness implies the noetherianness of $\text{Mod}_R(G)$ and prove it assuming the existence of certain idempotents (constructed using the theory of types for linear groups, classical groups if $p \neq 2$, and groups of semi-simple rank 1). Under this hypothesis on G , Dat showed also that the N -coinvariant functor respects admissibility.

When the characteristic of F is 0 and R is a complete artinian local \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra having finite residual field, Emerton [Emerton] showed that Ind_P^G restricted to admissible representations has a right adjoint equal to the ordinary part functor $\text{Ord}_{\overline{P}}$. Introducing the derived ordinary functors he showed also that the N -coinvariant functor respects admissibility [Emerton2, 3.6.7 Cor].

In section 2 we give precise definitions and references to the literature on adjoint functors and on grothendieck abelian categories.

In sections 3 and 4, the existence of a right adjoint of $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is proved using that $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is a grothendieck abelian category and that Ind_P^G is an exact functor commuting with small direct sums. This method does not apply to the functor $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$ because the category of smooth admissible R -representations is not grothendieck in general. It is not even known if it is an abelian category when R is a field of characteristic p as well as F .

In section 5, we assume that p is nilpotent in R ; we show the vanishing of the N -coinvariants of ind_P^{PgP} when $PgP \neq P$ and that the counit of the adjunction $(-_N, \text{Ind}_P^G)$ is an isomorphism; the general arguments of section 2 imply that the unit of the adjunction (Ind_P^G, R_P^G) is an isomorphism and that Ind_P^G is fully faithful. When R is noetherian, $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$ is also obviously fully faithful.

In section 6, we replace G by its open dense subset $P\overline{P}$. The partial compact induction functor $\text{ind}_P^{P\overline{P}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P\overline{P})$ admits a right adjoint $R_P^{P\overline{P}}$ by the general method of section 2. Let $\text{Res}_{\overline{P}}^G : \text{Mod}_R(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(P\overline{P})$ be the restriction functor. Let A_M be the split center of M . We fix an element $z \in A_M$ strictly contracting N . We prove that the z -locally finite parts of R_P^G and of $R_P^{P\overline{P}} \circ \text{Res}_{\overline{P}}^G$ are isomorphic. The right adjoint $R_{\overline{P}}^{P\overline{P}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P\overline{P}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$ of $\text{ind}_P^{P\overline{P}}$ is explicit: it is the smooth part of the functor $\text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), -)$.

In section 7, following Casselman and Emerton, we give the Hecke description of the above functor $R_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty P \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$. We fix an open compact subgroup N_0 of N . The submonoid M^+ of elements of M contracting N_0 acts on V^{N_0} by the Hecke action. We have the smooth induction functor $\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M^+) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$. We show that $R_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}$ is the functor $V \mapsto \text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0})$. The A_M -locally finite part of this functor is the Emerton's ordinary part functor $\text{Ord}_P : \text{Mod}_R^\infty P \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$.

In section 8 we assume that R is noetherian and we show that $\text{Ord}_P(V)$ is admissible when V is an admissible R -representation of G . Therefore the parabolic induction functor $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}} M \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}} G$ admits a right adjoint equal to the functor $\text{Ord}_{\overline{P}}^G : \text{Ord}_{\overline{P}} \circ \text{Res}_{\overline{P}}^G$. The unit of the adjunction $(\text{Ind}_P^G, \text{Ord}_{\overline{P}})$ is an isomorphism.

I thank Noriyuki Abe, Florian Herzig, Guy Henniart and Michael Rapoport for their comments and questions, and the referee for an excellent report, allowing me to improve the paper and to correct some mistakes.

2 Review on adjunction between grothendieck abelian categories

We fix an universe \mathcal{U} and we denote by Set the category of \mathcal{U} -sets, i.e. belonging to \mathcal{U} . In a small category, the set of objects is \mathcal{U} -small, i.e. isomorphic to a \mathcal{U} -set, as well as the set of morphisms $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ for any objects A and B . In a locally small category, only the set $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is supposed to be \mathcal{U} -small. (In [KS, 1.1, 1.2], small is called \mathcal{U} -small, and a locally small category is called a \mathcal{U} -category.)

Let \mathcal{I} be a small category and let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be locally small categories. We denote by \mathcal{C}^{op} the opposite category of \mathcal{C} and by $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}}$ the category of functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. A contravariant functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. The categories $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}, \text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$ are not locally small in general (if \mathcal{C} is not small) [KS, Def. 1.4.2].

Proposition 2.1. [KS, Def. 1.2.11, Cor. 1.4.4]

The contravariant Yoneda functor $: \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Hom}(C, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$ and the covariant Yoneda functor $: \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Hom}(-, C) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$ are fully faithful.

A functor F in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$ or in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$ is called representable when it is isomorphic to the image of an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ by the Yoneda functor [KS, Def.1.4.8]. The object C which is unique modulo unique isomorphism is called a representative of F .

A functor $F : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defines functors

$$\varinjlim F \in \text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}} \quad C \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}}(F, ct_C), \quad \varprojlim F \in \text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \quad C \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}}(ct_C, F),$$

where $ct_C : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the constant functor defined by $C \in \mathcal{C}$. When the functor $\varinjlim F$ is representable, a representative is called the inductive limit (or colimit or direct limit) of F , is denoted also by $\varinjlim F$, and we have natural isomorphism [ML, III.4 (2), (3)]

$$\varinjlim F(C) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}}(F, ct_C) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\varinjlim F, C).$$

When the functor $\varprojlim F$ is representable, a representative is called the projective limit (or inverse limit or limit) of F , is denoted also by $\varprojlim F$, and we have natural isomorphism

$$\varprojlim F(C) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}}(ct_C, F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \varprojlim F).$$

One says also that $(F(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an inductive or projective system in \mathcal{C} indexed by \mathcal{I} or \mathcal{I}^{op} and one writes $\varinjlim (F(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ or $\varprojlim (F(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}}}$ for the object $\varinjlim F$ or $\varprojlim F$.

Example 2.2. 1) A set of objects $(C_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of \mathcal{C} indexed by a set \mathcal{I} can be viewed as a functor $F : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{I} is identified with a discrete category (the only morphisms are the identities). When they exist, $\varinjlim F = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i$ is the direct sum, or coproduct, or disjoint union $\sqcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i$, and $\varprojlim F = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i$ is the direct product.

2) When \mathcal{I} has two objects and two parallel morphisms other than the identities, a functor $F : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is nothing but two parallel arrows $C_1 \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{smallmatrix} C_2$ in \mathcal{C} . When they are representable, $\varinjlim F$ is the cokernel of (f, g) and $\varprojlim F$ is its kernel [KS, Def. 2.2.2].

3) When they are representable, it is possible to construct the inductive (resp. projective) limit of a functor $F : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, using only coproduct and cokernels (resp. products and kernels) [KS, Prop. 2.2.9]. If $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I})$ denotes the set of morphisms $s : \sigma(s) \rightarrow \tau(s)$ with $\sigma(s), \tau(s) \in \mathcal{I}$, of the category \mathcal{I} ,

$$(1) \quad \varinjlim F \text{ is the cokernel of } f, g : \bigoplus_{s \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I})} F(\sigma(s)) \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{smallmatrix} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F(i),$$

where f, g correspond respectively to the two morphisms $\text{id}_{F(\sigma(s))}, F(s)$, for $s \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I})$,

$$\varprojlim F \text{ is the kernel of } \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F(i) \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{smallmatrix} \prod_{s \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I})} F(\sigma(s)),$$

where f, g are deduced from the morphisms $\text{id}_{F(\tau(s))}, F(s) : F(\tau(s)) \times F(\sigma(s)) \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{smallmatrix} F(\tau(s))$ for $s \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I})$.

A non-empty category \mathcal{C} is called *filtrant* if, for any two objects C_1, C_2 there exist morphisms $C_1 \rightarrow C_3, C_2 \rightarrow C_3$, and for any parallel morphisms $C_1 \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{smallmatrix} C_2$, there exists a morphism $h : C_2 \rightarrow C_3$ such that $h \circ f = h \circ g$ [KS, Def. 3.1.1].

Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. For $U \in \mathcal{D}$, we have the category \mathcal{C}_U whose objects are the pairs (X, u) with $X \in \mathcal{C}, u : F(X) \rightarrow U$ in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{D})$. We say that F is *right exact* if the category \mathcal{C}_U is filtrant for any $U \in \mathcal{D}$, and that F is *left exact* if the functor $F^{op} : \mathcal{D}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ is right exact [KS, 3.3.1].

Proposition 2.3. *Let a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.*

- 1) *When \mathcal{C} admits finite projective limits, F is left exact if and only if it commutes with finite projective limits. In this case, F commutes with the kernel of parallel arrows.*
- 2) *When \mathcal{C} admits small projective limits, F is left exact and commutes with small direct products, if and only if F commutes with small projective limits.*
- 3) *The similar statements hold true for right exact functors, inductive limits, small direct sums, and cokernels.*

Proof. 1) See [KS, Prop. 3.3.3, Cor. 3.3.4].

2) If F preserves small projective limits, F is left exact and preserves small direct products (Example 2.2 1)). Conversely, from (1), a left exact functor which commutes with small direct products preserves small projective limits because it commutes with the kernel of the parallel arrows.

3) Replace \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}^{op} . □

Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be two functors. Then (F, G) is a pair of adjoint functors, or F is the left adjoint of G , or G is the right adjoint of F , if there exists an isomorphism of bifunctors from $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ to Set

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(\cdot), \cdot) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot, G(\cdot)),$$

called the adjunction isomorphism [KS, Def. 1.5.2]. The functor F determines the functor G up to unique isomorphism and G determines F up to unique isomorphism [KS, Thm. 1.5.3]. For $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the image of the identity $\text{id}_{F(X)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(X))$ by the adjunction isomorphism is a morphism $X \mapsto G \circ F(X)$. Similarly, for $Y \in \mathcal{D}$, the image of $\text{id}_{G(Y)}$ is a morphism $F \circ G(Y) \rightarrow Y$. The morphisms are functorial in X and Y . The corresponding morphisms of functors are called the unit and the counit :

$$\epsilon : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G \circ F, \quad \eta : F \circ G \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let (F, G) be a pair of adjoint functors.*

F is fully faithful if and only if the unit $\epsilon : 1 \rightarrow G \circ F$ is an isomorphism.

G is fully faithful if and only if the counit $\eta : F \circ G \rightarrow 1$ is an isomorphism.

F and G are fully faithful if and only if F is an equivalence (fully faithful and essentially surjective [KS, Def. 1.2.11, 1.3.13]) if and only if G is an equivalence. In this case F and G are quasi-inverse one to each other.

Proof. See [KS, Prop. 1.5.6]. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let (F, G) be a pair of adjoint functors. Then F is right exact and G is left exact.*

Proof. See [KS, Prop. 3.3.6]. □

Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small abelian category. A generator of \mathcal{A} is an object $E \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the functor $\text{Hom}(E, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is faithful (i.e. any object of \mathcal{A} is a quotient of a small direct sum $\oplus_i E$). If \mathcal{A} admits small inductive limits, the functor between abelian categories

$$F \mapsto \varinjlim F : \mathcal{A}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is additive and right exact.

Definition 2.6. [KS, Def. 8.3.24] *A locally small abelian category \mathcal{A} is called grothendieck if it admits a generator, small inductive limits, and the small filtered inductive limits are exact.*

Example 2.7. *Given a ring $R \in \mathcal{U}$, the category of left R -modules in \mathcal{U} is small, abelian, and grothendieck with generator R .*

Proof. See [KS, Ex. 8.3.25]. □

Proposition 2.8. *A grothendieck abelian locally small category admits small projective limits.*

Proof. See [KS, Prop. 8.3.27]. □

Proposition 2.9. *Let a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{A} is a grothendieck abelian locally small category. The following properties are equivalent:*

- 1) F admits a right adjoint,
- 2) F commutes with small inductive limits,
- 3) F is right exact and commutes with small direct sums.

Proof. See [KS, Prop. 8.3.27]. □

A similar statement characterizes the existence of a left adjoint.

3 The category $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$

Let R be a commutative ring, let G be a second countable locally profinite group (for instance, a parabolic subgroup of a reductive group), and let $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of pro- p -open subgroups of G , with trivial intersection, such that K_n normal in K_0 for all n .

3.1 $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is grothendieck

A R -representation V of G is a left $R[G]$ -module. A vector $v \in V$ is called smooth when it is fixed by an open subgroup of G . The set of smooth vectors of V is a $R[G]$ -submodule of V , equal to $V^\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^{K_n}$ where V^{K_n} is the submodule of $v \in V$ fixed by K_n . When every vector of V is smooth, V is called smooth. (The same definition applies to a locally profinite monoid (the maximal subgroup is open and locally profinite).)

Example 3.1. The module $C_c(G, R)$ of functions $f : G \rightarrow R$ with compact support is a $R[G \times G]$ -module for the left and right translations. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the submodule $C_c(K_n \backslash G, R)$ of compactly supported functions left invariant by K_n , is a smooth representation of G for the right translation. These submodules form a strictly increasing sequence of union the smooth part $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ of $C_c(G, R)$.

We allow only the R -modules of cardinal $< c$ for some uncountable strong limit cardinal $c > |R|$, so that the R -representations of G form a set rather than a proper class (we work in the same artinian universe \mathcal{U}_c [SGA4, Exposé 1, page 4]; the cardinal of $\text{Hom}_{RG}(V, V')$ is $< c$ for two R -representations V, V' of G). The abelian category $\text{Mod}_R(G)$ of left $R[G]$ -modules is small, grothendieck of generator $R[G]$ (Ex. 2.7), and contains the abelian full subcategory $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ of smooth R -representations of G .

Lemma 3.2. $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is a grothendieck category of generator $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_c(K_n \backslash G, R)$.

Proof. An arbitrary direct sum of smooth R -representations of G is smooth. The cokernel of two parallel arrows in $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is smooth hence $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ admits small inductive limits (Ex. 2.2 3)). Small filtered inductive limits are exact because they are already exact in the grothendieck category $\text{Mod}_R(G)$. \square

For $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G), V \in \text{Mod}_R(G)$ we have $\text{Hom}_{R[G]}(W, V) = \text{Hom}_{R[G]}(W, V^\infty)$. The smoothification

$$V \mapsto V^\infty : \text{Mod}_R(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$$

is the right adjoint of the inclusion $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(G)$, hence is left exact (Prop. 2.5). The smoothification is never right exact if G is not the trivial group [Viglivre, I.4.3] hence does not have a right adjoint (Prop. 2.5).

3.2 Admissibility and z -finiteness

Definition 3.3. An R -representation V of G is called admissible when it is smooth and for any compact open subgroup H of G , the R -module V^H of H -fixed elements of V is finitely generated.

When R is a noetherian ring, we consider the category $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$. It may not have a generator or small inductive limits. Worse, it may be not abelian.

Example 3.4. Let R be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ a group as in the introduction. Given an open pro- p -subgroup I of G , a non-zero smooth R -representation of G contains a non-zero vector fixed by I ; the set of irreducible admissible R -representations of G (modulo isomorphism) is infinite. Therefore their direct sum is not

admissible. But it is a quotient of a generator of $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$, if a generator exists. If the quotient an admissible representation remains admissible, a generator cannot exist. The admissibility is preserved by quotient when the characteristic of F is zero [VigLang], but this is unknown when the characteristic of F is p .

Let H any subset of the center of G , and let $V \in \text{Mod}_R(G)$.

Definition 3.5. *An element $v \in V$ is called H -finite if the R -module $R[H]v$ is contained in a finitely generated R -submodule of V .*

The subset $V^{H\text{-lf}}$ of H -finite elements is a R -subrepresentation of V , called the H -locally finite part of V . When every element of V is H -finite, V is called H -locally finite. The category $\text{Mod}_R^{H\text{-lf}}(G)$ of H -locally finite smooth R -representations of G is a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$. The H -locally finite functor

$$(2) \quad V \mapsto V^{H\text{-lf}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{H\text{-lf}}(G)$$

is the right adjoint of the inclusion $\text{Mod}_R^{H\text{-lf}}(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$.

Lemma 3.6. *If V is admissible, then V is H -locally finite.*

Proof. Let $v \in V$. As V is smooth, $v \in V^{K_n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As V is admissible, V^{K_n} is a finitely generated R -module. As H is central, V^{K_n} is H -stable. \square

4 The right adjoint R_P^G of $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$

Let F be a local non archimedean field of finite residue field of characteristic p , let \mathbf{G} be a reductive connected F -group. We fix a maximal F -split subtorus \mathbf{S} of \mathbf{G} , and a minimal parabolic F -subgroup \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{G} containing \mathbf{S} . We suppose that \mathbf{S} is not trivial. Let \mathbf{U} be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{B} . The \mathbf{G} -centralizer \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{S} is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{B} . We choose a pair of opposite parabolic F -subgroups $\mathbf{P}, \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ of \mathbf{G} with \mathbf{P} containing \mathbf{B} , of unipotent radicals $\mathbf{N}, \overline{\mathbf{N}}$ and Levi subgroup $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P} \cap \overline{\mathbf{P}}$. Let $\mathbf{A}_M \subset \mathbf{S}$ be the maximal F -split central subtorus of \mathbf{M} . We denote by X the group of F -rational points of an algebraic group \mathbf{X} over F , with the exception that we write $N_G(S)$ for the group of F -rational points of the \mathbf{G} -normalizer $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})$ of \mathbf{S} . The finite Weyl group is $W_0 = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})/\mathbf{Z} = N_G(S)/Z$. We fix a strictly decreasing sequence $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pro- p -open subgroups of G with trivial intersection, such that for all n , K_n is normal in K_0 and has an Iwahori decomposition

$$(3) \quad K_n = \overline{N}_n M_n N_n = N_n M_n \overline{N}_n,$$

where $M_n := K_n \cap M, N_n := K_n \cap N, \overline{N}_n := K_n \cap \overline{N}$.

For $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$, the representation $\text{Ind}_P^G(W) \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ parabolically induced by W is the R -module of functions $f : G \rightarrow W$ such that $f(mngx) = mf(g)$ for $m \in M, n \in N, g \in G, x \in K_n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ depends on f , with G acting by right translations. The smooth parabolic induction

$$\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$$

is the right adjoint of the N -coinvariant functor [Viglivre, I.5.7 (i), I.A.3 Prop.]

$$V \mapsto V_N : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) .$$

The N -coinvariant functor $\text{Mod}_R(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(M)$ is the left adjoint of the inflation functor $\text{Infl}_M^P : \text{Mod}_R(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(P)$ sending a representation of $M = P/N$ to the natural representation of P trivial on N .

Remark 4.1. The N -coinvariants of the inflation functor Infl_M^P is the identity functor of $\text{Mod}_R M$ (the co-unit $-_N \circ \text{Infl}_M^P \rightarrow 1$ of the adjunction $(-_N, \text{Infl}_M^P)$ is an isomorphism).

Proposition 4.2. *The smooth parabolic induction functor $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is exact, commutes with small direct sums, and admits a right adjoint*

$$R_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M).$$

Proof. For $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$, we write $C^\infty(P \backslash G, W)$ for the R -module of locally constant functions on the compact set $P \backslash G$ with values in W . We fix a continuous section

$$(4) \quad \varphi : P \backslash G \rightarrow G.$$

The R -linear map

$$(5) \quad f \mapsto f \circ \varphi : \text{Ind}_P^G(W) \rightarrow C^\infty(P \backslash G, W)$$

is an isomorphism. We have a natural isomorphism

$$(6) \quad C^\infty(P \backslash G, W) \simeq C^\infty(P \backslash G, R) \otimes_R W \simeq C^\infty(P \backslash G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W.$$

The \mathbb{Z} -module $C^\infty(P \backslash G, \mathbb{Z})$ is free, because it is the union of the increasing sequence of the \mathbb{Z} -modules $L_n := C^\infty(P \backslash G / K_n, \mathbb{Z})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which are free of finite rank as well as the quotients L_n / L_{n+1} . Hence the tensor product by $C^\infty(P \backslash G, \mathbb{Z})$ is exact, and Ind_P^G is also exact.

The smooth parabolic induction commutes with small direct sums $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} W_i$ because a function $f \in C^\infty(P \backslash G, W)$ takes only finitely many values.

Applying Prop. 2.9 and Lemma 3.2, the parabolic induction admits a right adjoint. \square

Remark 4.3. When p is invertible in R , Dat [Dat, between Cor. 3.7 and Prop. 3.8] showed that

$$R_P^G(V) = ([\text{Hom}_{R[G]}(C_c^\infty(G, R), V)]^N)^\infty \quad (V \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)).$$

The modulus δ_P of P is well defined. When R is the field of complex numbers (Bernstein) or when G is a linear group, a classical group when $p \neq 2$, or of semi-simple rank 1 [Dat], we have:

$$R_P^G(V) \simeq \delta_P V_{\overline{N}}.$$

Let $g \in G$ and Q an arbitrary closed subgroup of G . The partial compact smooth parabolic induction functor

$$\text{ind}_P^{PgQ} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(Q)$$

associates to $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$ the smooth representation $\text{ind}_P^{PgQ}(W)$ of Q by right translation on the module of functions $f : PgQ \rightarrow W$ with compact support modulo left multiplication by P ($P \backslash PgQ$ is generally not closed in the compact set $P \backslash G$) such that $f(mnghx) = mf(gh)$ for $m \in M, n \in N, h \in Q, x \in K_n \cap Q$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ depends on f .

Remark 4.4. When $PgP = P$, the functor $\text{ind}_P^P : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P)$ is the inflation functor Infl_M^P .

Proposition 4.5. *The functor ind_P^{PgQ} is exact, commutes with small direct sums, and admits a right adjoint*

$$R_P^{PgQ} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(Q) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M).$$

Proof. Same proof as for the functor Ind_P^G (Prop. 4.2). \square

Lemma 4.6. $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$ is admissible if and only if $\text{Ind}_P^G(W) \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is admissible.

Proof. This is well known and follows from the decomposition [Viglivre, I.5.6, II.2.1]:

$$(\text{Ind}_P^G W)^{K_n} \simeq \bigoplus_{PgK_n} (\text{Ind}_P^{PgK_n} W)^{K_n} \simeq \bigoplus_{PgK_n} W^{M \cap gK_n g^{-1}} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G),$$

where the sum is finite and $\text{Ind}_P^{PgK_n} W \subset \text{Ind}_P^G W$ is the R -submodule of functions with support contained in PgK_n . \square

Corollary 4.7. When the ring is noetherian, the smooth parabolic induction restricts to a functor, called the admissible parabolic induction,

$$\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G).$$

We will later show that the admissible parabolic induction admits also a right adjoint.

5 Ind_P^G is fully faithful if p is nilpotent in R

We keep the notation of the preceding section. Let Φ_G be the set of roots of S in G . We write U_α for the subgroup of G associated to a root $\alpha \in \Phi_G$ (the group $U_{(\alpha)}$ in [Bo, 21.9]).

Definition 5.1. The p -ordinary part $R_{p\text{-ord}}$ of R is the subset of $x \in R$ which are infinitely p -divisible.

By [Viglivre, I (2.3.1)], $R_{p\text{-ord}} = \{0\}$ if and only if there exists no Haar measure on U_α with values in R . But p is nilpotent in R if and only if $R[1/p] = \{0\}$ if and only if

$$(7) \quad C_c^\infty(U_\alpha, R)_{U_\alpha} = \{0\}.$$

When R is a field, $R_{p\text{-ord}} \neq \{0\}$ if and only if p is nilpotent in R if and only if the characteristic of R is $\neq p$.

Proposition 5.2. We suppose that p is nilpotent in R . Let $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty M$ and $g \in G$. The N -coinvariants of $\text{ind}_P^{PgP}(W)$ is 0 if $PgP \neq P$.

Proof. We identify $\text{ind}_P^{PgP}(W)$ with $C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R) \otimes_R W$ as in (5). The action of N on $C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R) \otimes_R W$ is trivial on W and is the right translation on $C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R)$. Therefore

$$(\text{ind}_P^{PgP}(W))_N = C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R)_N \otimes_R W,$$

and we can forget W . To show that $C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R)_N = 0$ if $PgP \neq P$, we prove that there exists a B -positive root α such that $U_\alpha \subset N$ and the space $P \backslash PgP$ is of the form $X \times U_\alpha$ where the right action of U_α on $P \backslash PgP$ is trivial on X and equals the natural right action on U_α . Therefore

$$C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R)_{U_\alpha} = C_c^\infty(X, R) \otimes_R C_c^\infty(U_\alpha, R)_{U_\alpha}.$$

Applying (7), we obtain $C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP)_{U_\alpha} = 0$ hence $C_c^\infty(P \backslash PgP, R)_N = 0$.

It remains to explain the existence of such an α . As $(B, N_G(S))$ is a Tits system in G [BT1, 1.2.6], we have $PgP = P\nu P$ for an element $\nu \in N_G(S)$; we can suppose that the image w of ν in W_0 has minimal length in the double coset $W_{0,M} \backslash W_0 / W_{0,M}$ (where $W_{0,M} := N_M(S)/Z$). This implies that the fixator $N_\nu := \{n \in N \mid P\nu n = P\nu\}$ of $P\nu$ in N is generated by the U_α for the roots $\alpha \in \Phi_G - \Phi_M$ such that α and $w(\alpha)$ are reduced, B -positive. The fixator of $P\nu$ in M is a parabolic subgroup Q and the fixator of $P\nu$ in P is QN_ν . The group N is directly spanned by the U_β ($\beta \in \Phi_G - \Phi_M$ positive and reduced) taken in any order [Bo, 21.12]. As $PgP \neq P$, i.e. $w \neq 1$, there exists a reduced positive root $\alpha \in \Phi_G - \Phi_M$ such that $U_\alpha \not\subset N_\nu$. Such an α satisfies all the properties that we want. \square

Theorem 5.3. *We suppose that p is nilpotent in R . Then*

1. *The parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$ is fully faithful,*
2. *The unit $\text{id}_{\text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)} \rightarrow R_P^G \circ \text{Ind}_P^G$ of the adjoint pair (Ind_P^G, R_P^G) is an isomorphism.*
3. *The counit $\eta : -_N \circ \text{Ind}_P^G \rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)}$ of the adjoint pair $(-_N, \text{Ind}_P^G)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and Prop. 2.4, the three properties are equivalent. We prove that the counit η of the adjoint pair $(-_N, \text{Ind}_P^G)$ is an isomorphism.

a) It is well known that Ind_P^G admits a finite filtration $F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_r$ of quotients ind_P^{PgP} , with last quotient ind_P^P , associated to $P \setminus G / P$.

b) Being a right adjoint, the N -coinvariant functor $\text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$ is right exact.

c) Apply Prop. 5.2 and Remarks 4.1, 4.4. □

6 z -locally finite parts of R_P^G and of $R_P^{P\bar{P}} \circ \text{Res}_{\bar{P}}^G$ are equal

We keep the notation of the preceding section. We fix an element $z \in A_M$ strictly contracting N : the sequence $(z^n N_0 z^{-n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly decreasing of trivial intersection and union N . We denote $N_n := z^n N_0 z^{-n}$ when $n < 0$ (N_n for $n \geq 0$ is defined in section 4).

We compare the right adjoint $R_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$ of the parabolic induction Ind_P^G to the functor $R_P^{P\bar{P}} \circ \text{Res}_{\bar{P}}^G$, where $\text{Res}_{\bar{P}}^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(\bar{P})$ is the restriction functor and $R_P^{P\bar{P}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$ is the right adjoint of the partial compact parabolic induction $\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}}$. We denote by

$$R_P^{G, z^{-lf}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty G \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{z^{-lf}} M, \quad R_P^{P\bar{P}, z^{-lf}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty \bar{P} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{z^{-lf}} M,$$

the z -locally finite parts of R_P^G and of $R_P^{P\bar{P}}$.

Theorem 6.1. *The functors $R_P^{G, z^{-lf}}$ and $R_P^{P\bar{P}, z^{-lf}} \circ \text{Res}_{\bar{P}}^G$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We want to prove that there exists an isomorphism

$$(8) \quad \text{Hom}_{R[M]}(W, R_P^{G, z^{-lf}}(V)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R[M]}(W, R_P^{P\bar{P}, z^{-lf}}(V))$$

functorial in $(W, V) \in \text{Mod}_R^{z^{-lf}}(M) \times \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$. We may replace $R_P^{G, z^{-lf}}, R_P^{P\bar{P}, z^{-lf}}$ by $R_P^G, R_P^{P\bar{P}}$ in (8) (recall (2)). Then using the adjunctions (Ind_P^G, R_P^G) and $(\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}}, R_P^{P\bar{P}})$, we reduce to find an isomorphism

$$(9) \quad \text{Hom}_{R[G]}(\text{Ind}_P^G W, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R[\bar{P}]}(\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}} W, V)$$

functorial in $(W, V) \in \text{Mod}_R^{z^{-lf}}(M) \times \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$. There is an obvious functorial homomorphism because $\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}} W$ is a submodule of $\text{Ind}_P^G W$. This homomorphism, denoted by J , sends a $R[G]$ -homomorphism $\text{Ind}_P^G W \rightarrow V$ to its restriction to $\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}} W$. The homomorphism J is injective because an arbitrary open subset of $P \setminus G$ is a finite disjoint union of G -translates of compact open subsets of $P \setminus P\bar{P}$ [SVZ, Prop. 5.3]. To show that J is surjective, we introduce more notations.

Let $(g, r, \bar{n}, w) \in G \times \mathbb{N} \times \bar{N} \times W$. We say that (g, r, \bar{n}, w) is admissible if

$$w \in W^{M_r}, \quad P\bar{N}_r g = P\bar{N}_r \bar{n}.$$

Let $f_{r,\bar{n},w} \in \text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}}(W)$ be the function supported on $P\bar{N}_r\bar{n}$ and equal to w on $\bar{N}_r\bar{n}$. The function $gf_{r,\bar{n},w} \in \text{Ind}_P^G(W)$ is supported on $P\bar{N}_r\bar{n}g^{-1}$.

We fix an element $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{R[\bar{P}]}(\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}}W, V)$. We show that Φ belongs to the image of J if W is z -locally finite following Emerton's method [Emerton, 4.4.6, resp. 4.4.3] in two steps:

- 1) Φ belongs to the image of J when $\Phi(gf_{r,\bar{n},w}) = g\Phi(f_{r,\bar{n},w})$ for all admissible (g, r, \bar{n}, w) .
- 2) $\Phi(gf_{r,\bar{n},w}) = g\Phi(f_{r,\bar{n},w})$ for all admissible (g, r, \bar{n}, w) if W is z -locally finite.

Proof of 1) Let g_1, \dots, g_n in G and non-zero functions f_1, \dots, f_n in $\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}}(W)$. We show that $\sum_i g_i \Phi(f_i) = \Phi(\sum_i g_i f_i)$. We choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, such that the f_i , viewed as elements of $C_c^\infty(\bar{N}, W)$, are left \bar{N}_r -invariant with values in W^{M_r} . We fix a subset X_r of G such that

$$G = \sqcup_{h \in X_r} P\bar{N}_r h, \quad P\bar{P} = \sqcup_{h \in X_r \cap \bar{N}} P\bar{N}_r h.$$

Let $Y_i \subset X_r \cap \bar{N}$ such that the support of f_i is $\sqcup_{\bar{n} \in Y_i} P\bar{N}_r \bar{n}$. For $n \in Y_i$, we have

$$f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r \bar{n}} = f_{r,\bar{n},f_i(\bar{n})}.$$

Since $G = \sqcup_{h \in X_r} P\bar{N}_r h g_i$, f_i viewed as an element of $\text{ind}_P^G W$ is equal to

$$f_i = \sum_{h \in X_r} f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i}$$

where $h \in X_r$ contributes to a non zero term if and only if $P\bar{N}_r h g_i = P\bar{N}_r \bar{n}$ for some $\bar{n} \in Y_i$; when this happens $f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i} = f_{r,\bar{n},f_i(\bar{n})}$ hence $g_i \Phi(f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i}) = \Phi(g_i(f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i}))$ by the assumption of 1). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i g_i \Phi(f_i) &= \sum_h \sum_i g_i \Phi(f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i}) = \sum_h \sum_i \Phi(g_i(f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i})) \\ &= \Phi(\sum_i g_i(\sum_h f_i|_{P\bar{N}_r h g_i})) = \Phi(\sum_i g_i f_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sum_i g_i \Phi(f_i) = \Phi(\sum_i g_i f_i)$ for all g_1, \dots, g_n in G and f_1, \dots, f_n in $\text{ind}_P^{P\bar{P}}(W)$, hence Φ belongs to the image of J .

Proof of 2). We assume $W \in \text{Mod}_R^{z^{-1}f}(M)$ and we prove $\Phi(gf_{r,\bar{n},w}) = g\Phi(f_{r,\bar{n},w})$. We reduce to $\bar{n} = 1$, as $f_{r,\bar{n},w} = \bar{n}^{-1} f_{r,1,w}$, $(g\bar{n}^{-1}, r, 1, w)$ is admissible, and Φ is \bar{N} -equivariant.

Let $(g, r, 1, w)$ admissible. We may suppose $w \neq 0$. We choose $(r', r'', a) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ as follows. The integer $r' \in \mathbb{Z}$ depending on (g, r) , is chosen so that the projection of the compact subset $\bar{N}_r g^{-1} \subset P\bar{N}_r$ onto N via the natural homeomorphism $P\bar{N} \rightarrow N \times \bar{P}$ is contained in $N_{r'}$, i.e. $\bar{N}_r g^{-1} \subset N_{r'} \bar{P}$. The integer $r'' \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on (r, w) and on our fixed element $z \in A_M$, is chosen so that the R -submodule of $V \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$, generated by $\Phi(f_{r,1,w'})$ for w' in the finitely generated R -submodule $R[z]w$, is contained in $V^{K_{r''}}$, and $r'' \geq r$. Finally, the integer $a \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on r, r'' , is chosen so that $z^a N_{r'} z^{-a} \subset N_{r''} \subset N_r$.

Let $\bar{v} \in \bar{N}_r$. The set $Pz^{-a} \bar{N}_r z^a \bar{v} = P\bar{N}_r z^a \bar{v}$ is contained in $P\bar{N}_r$ as $z^{-1} \in A_M$ contracts \bar{N} . The restriction of $f_{r,1,w}$ to $P\bar{N}_r z^a \bar{v}$ is $f_{r,z^a \bar{v}, z^a(w)}$. We deduce

$$f_{r,1,w} = \sum_{\bar{v} \in z^{-a} \bar{N}_r z^a \setminus \bar{N}_r} (z^a \bar{v})^{-1} f_{r,1,z^a(w)}.$$

We are reduced to prove $\Phi(gv^{-1}z^{-a} f_{r,1,z^a(w)}) = g\Phi(v^{-1}z^{-a} f_{r,1,z^a(w)})$. As Φ is left \bar{P} -equivariant, $g\Phi(v^{-1}z^{-a} f_{r,1,z^a(w)}) = gv^{-1}z^{-a} \Phi(f_{r,1,z^a(w)})$. The set $g\bar{N}_r$ is contained in

$\overline{P}N_{r'}$ and we may write $g\overline{v}^{-1}z^{-a} = \overline{p}n_{r'}z^{-a}$ with $n_{r'} \in N_{r'}$, $\overline{p} \in \overline{P}$. Using again that Φ is left \overline{P} -equivariant, we are reduced to prove

$$\Phi(n_{r'}z^{-a}f_{r,1,z^a(w)}) = n_{r'}z^{-a}\Phi(f_{r,1,z^a(w)}).$$

Applying z^a , we are reduced to prove

$$\Phi(z^an_{r'}z^{-a}f_{r,1,z^a(w)}) = z^an_{r'}z^{-a}\Phi(f_{r,1,z^a(w)}).$$

Let $w' \in R[z]w$ and $\overline{v} \in \overline{N}_r$. The function $f_{r,1,w'}$ viewed in $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G(W)$, of support $P\overline{N}_r$ and equal to $w' \in W^{M_r}$ on \overline{N}_r , is fixed by K_r . The element $\Phi(f_{r,1,w'}) \in V$ is fixed by $K_{r''}$. As $z^aN_{r'}z^{-a} \subset N_{r''} \subset N_r$, both elements $f_{r,1,z^a(w)}$ and $\Phi(f_{r,1,z^a(w)})$ are fixed by $z^an_{r'}z^{-a}$, and the equality is obvious. \square

7 The Hecke description of $R_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$

We keep the notation of the preceding section. The submonoid $M^+ \subset M$ contracting the open compact subgroup N_0 of N is the set of $m \in M$ such that $mN_0m^{-1} \subset N_0$; it contains the open compact subgroup M_0 of M . The union $\cup_{a \in \mathbb{N}} z^{-a}M^+$ is equal to M .

The right adjoint of the restriction functor $\text{Mod}_R(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(M^+)$ is the induction functor

$$I_{M^+}^M : \text{Mod}_R(M^+) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(M)$$

sending $W \in \text{Mod}_R(M^+)$ to the module $I_{M^+}^M(W)$ of R -linear maps $\psi : M \rightarrow W$ such that $\psi(mx) = m\psi(x)$ for all $m \in M^+, x \in M$, where M acts by right translations. The smoothification of $I_{M^+}^M$ is the smooth induction functor

$$\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M^+) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M).$$

Definition 7.1. Let $V \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P)$. The monoid M^+ acts on V^{N_0} by the Hecke action $(m, v) \mapsto h_{N_0, m}(v)$,

$$(10) \quad h_{N_0, m}(v) = \sum_{n \in N_0/mN_0m^{-1}} nmv \quad (m \in M^+, v \in V^{N_0}).$$

The Hecke action of M^+ on V^{N_0} is smooth because it extends the natural action of M_0 on V^{N_0} .

Theorem 7.2. The functor

$$(11) \quad V \mapsto \text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}) : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M)$$

is right adjoint to the functor $\text{ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}$.

The theorem says that the functors $\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(-^{N_0})$ and $R_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}$ are isomorphic. Their z -locally finite parts are also isomorphic. The Emerton's ordinary functor Ord_P is the A_M -locally finite part of the functor $\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(-^{N_0})$:

$$\text{Ord}_P = (\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(-^{N_0}))^{A_M\text{-lf}} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{A_M\text{-lf}}(M),$$

or also the functor $\text{Ord}_P^G := \text{Ord}_P \circ \text{Res}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{A_M\text{-lf}}(M)$. Applying Thm. 6.1, we obtain:

Corollary 7.3. *The functor $R_{\overline{P}}^{G, z^{-1}f}$ is isomorphic to the functor*

$$V \mapsto (\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}f} : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{z^{-1}f}(M)$$

The functor $R_{\overline{P}}^{G, AM^{-1}f}$ is isomorphic to the Emerton's ordinary functor Ord_P^G .

To prove that $(\text{ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}, \text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(-N_0))$ is an adjoint pair, we view $\text{ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}$ as

$$C_c^\infty(N, R) \otimes_R - : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P),$$

where $P = MN$ acts on $C_c^\infty(N, R)$ by:

$$mf : x \mapsto f(m^{-1}xm), \quad nf : x \mapsto f(xn), \quad (m, n, f) \in M \times N \times C_c^\infty(N, R).$$

(In particular $m1_{N_0} = 1_{mN_0m^{-1}}, n1_{N_0} = 1_{N_0n^{-1}}$). The right adjoint is well known:

Lemma 7.4. *The smoothification of the functor*

$$\text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), -) : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(M)$$

is the right adjoint of the functor $\text{ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}$.

The following proposition 7.5 implies that the functors $\text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), -)$ and

$$I_{M^+}^M(-N_0) : \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(M).$$

are isomorphic. Therefore the same is true for their smoothifications, $R_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}P}$ and $\text{ind}_{M^+}^M(-N_0)$, and Theorem 7.2 is proved.

Let $V \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P)$. We check that the value at 1_{N_0}

$$f \mapsto f(1_{N_0}) : \text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), V) \rightarrow V^{N_0}$$

is M^+ -equivariant. As usual, $p \in P$ acts on f by $pf = p \circ f \circ p^{-1}$. In particular, for $m \in M$,

$$(mf)(1_{N_0}) = mf(m^{-1}1_{N_0}) = mf(1_{m^{-1}N_0m}).$$

For $m \in M^+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (mf)(1_{N_0}) &= m \sum_{n^{-1} \in N_0 \setminus m^{-1}N_0m} f(1_{N_0n^{-1}}) = \sum_{n^{-1} \in N_0 \setminus m^{-1}N_0m} mnf(1_{N_0}) \\ &= \sum_{n \in N_0/mN_0m^{-1}} nmf(1_{N_0}) = h_{N_0, m}(f(1_{N_0})). \end{aligned}$$

By the adjunction $(\text{Res}_{M^+}^M, I_{M^+}^M)$, the value at 1_{N_0} induces an M -equivariant map

$$(12) \quad \Phi : \text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), V) \rightarrow I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}) \quad f \mapsto \Phi(f)(m) = (mf)(1_{N_0}) \quad (m \in M).$$

Proposition 7.5. *The map Φ is an isomorphism of $R[M]$ -modules.*

Proof. Φ is injective because the $R[P]$ -module $C_c^\infty(N, R)$ is generated by 1_{N_0} . Indeed let $f \in \text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), V)$ such that $\Phi(f) = 0$. Then $f_\psi(m1_{N_0}) = f(1_{m^{-1}N_0m}) = 0$ for all $m \in M$. As f is N -equivariant, $0 = f((mn)^{-1}1_{N_0}) = f(1_{m^{-1}N_0mn})$ for all $n \in N$, hence $f = 0$.

Φ is surjective because for $\psi \in I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0})$, there exists $f_\psi \in \text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), V)$ such that $f_\psi(m1_{N_0}) = m(\psi(m^{-1}))$ for all $m \in M$. We have $\Phi(f_\psi) = \psi$. The function f_ψ exists because, for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$z^a(\psi(z^{-a})) = z^a(\psi(z z^{-a-1})) = \sum_{n \in z^a N_0 z^{-a} / z^{a+1} N_0 z^{-a-1}} n z^{a+1}(\psi(z^{-a-1})).$$

(Note that the $R[N]$ -module $C_c^\infty(N, R)$ is generated by $(1_{z^a N_0 z^{-a}})_{a \in \mathbb{N}}$, and that the values at $1_{z^a N_0 z^{-a}} = z^a 1_{N_0}$ identify $\text{Hom}_{R[N]}(C_c^\infty(N, R), V)$ with the set of sequences $(v_a)_{a \in \mathbb{N}}$ in V such that $v_a = \sum_{n \in z^a N_0 z^{-a} / z^{a+1} N_0 z^{-a-1}} n v_{a+1}$.) \square

Remark 7.6. For $V \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(P)$, a z^{-1} -finite element $\varphi \in I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0})$ is smooth:

$$(\text{Ind}_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf} = (I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf}.$$

Proof. By hypothesis $R[z^{-1}]\varphi$ is contained in a finitely generated R -submodule W_φ of $I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0})$. The image of W_φ by the map $f \mapsto f(1)$ is a finitely generated R -submodule of V^{N_0} containing $\varphi(z^{-a})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the Hecke action of M^+ on V^{N_0} is smooth, there exists a large integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that M_r fixes $\varphi(z^{-a})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$. As $M = \cup_{a \in \mathbb{N}} M^+ z^{-a}$, two elements of $I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0})$ equal on z^{-a} for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$ are equal. Hence φ is fixed by M_r , φ is smooth. \square

Remark 7.7. Let $W \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(M^+)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. An element $f \in I_{M^+}^M(W)$ is fixed by M_r if and only if $f(z^a)$ is fixed by M_r for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map

$$f \mapsto f|_{z\mathbb{Z}} : (I_{M^+}^M W)^{M_r} \rightarrow I_{z\mathbb{N}}^{z\mathbb{Z}}(W^{M_r})$$

is a $R[z\mathbb{Z}]$ -isomorphism.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of $(m_r f)(m^+ z^a) = f(m^+ z^a m_r) = f(m^+ m_r z^a) = m^+ m_r (f(z^a))$ for $(m^+, m_r, a) \in M^+ \times M_r \times \mathbb{Z}$. \square

8 The right adjoint $\text{Ord}_{\overline{P}}$ of $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$

We keep the notation of the preceding section. We suppose that the commutative ring R is noetherian.

Theorem 8.1. For $V \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$, the representation $(I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf}$ of M is admissible.

Proof. By Remark 7.6, the representation $(I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf}$ of M is smooth. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $M_r N_0$ is a group. By Remark 7.7, the map $f \mapsto f|_{z\mathbb{Z}}$ is an $R[z\mathbb{Z}]$ -isomorphism from the M_r -fixed elements of $(I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf}$ to

$$X = (I_{z\mathbb{N}}^{z\mathbb{Z}}(V^{N_0 M_r}))^{z^{-1}-lf}.$$

We have $X \subset I_{z\mathbb{N}}^{z\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$ where Y is the image of X by $f \mapsto f(1)$, and is a $z\mathbb{N}$ -submodule of $V^{N_0 M_r}$ (for the Hecke action) containing $f(z^a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the compact open subgroup $\overline{N}_r M_r N_0$. We will prove (Prop. 8.2) that

$$Y \subset V^{\overline{N}_r M_r N_0}.$$

Admitting this, Y is a finitely generated R -module because V is admissible and R is noetherian. The action $h_{N_0, z}$ of z on Y is surjective because, for $f \in X$ we have $f(1) = f(z z^{-1}) = h_{N_0, z} f(z^{-1})$. A surjective endomorphism of a finitely generated R -module is bijective (this is an application of Nakayama lemma [Matsumura, Thm. 2.4]). Hence the action of z on Y is bijective. Hence $Y \simeq I_{z\mathbb{N}}^{z\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$ is a finitely generated R -module. As R is noetherian, X is a finitely generated R -module. Therefore $(I_{M^+}^M(V^{N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf}$ is admissible. \square

Proposition 8.2. If $f \in (I_{z\mathbb{N}}^{z\mathbb{Z}}(V^{M_r N_0}))^{z^{-1}-lf}$, then $f(1) \in V^{\overline{N}_r M_r N_0}$.

Proof. We have

$$(13) \quad V^{M_r N_0} = \cup_{t \geq r} V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0},$$

where $\overline{N}_t M_r N_0 = K_t M_r N_0 \subset G$ is a compact open subgroup as $M_r N_0 \subset K_0$ normalizes K_t , and the sequence $(\overline{N}_t M_r N_0)_{t \geq r}$ is strictly decreasing of intersection $M_r N_0$. We write $n(r, t) \in \mathbb{N}$ for the smallest integer such that $z^{-n} \overline{N}_r z^n \subset \overline{N}_t \subset \overline{N}_r$ for $n \geq n(r, t)$. The proof of the proposition is split in three steps.

1) $h_{N_0, z^n}(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0})$ is fixed by $\overline{N}_r M_r N_0$ when $n \geq n(r, t)$.

Let $v \in V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}$ and $n \geq n(r, t)$. The element $z^n v$ is fixed by $\overline{N}_r M_r$ as $\overline{N}_r M_r z^n \subset z^n \overline{N}_t M_r$. Let $\overline{n}_r \in \overline{N}_r$ and $(n_i)_{i \in I}$ a system of representatives of $N_0/z^n N_0 z^{-n}$. Using the Iwahori decomposition $\overline{N}_r M_r N_0 = N_0 \overline{N}_r M_r$ we write $\overline{n}_r n_i = n'_i \overline{b}_i$ with $n'_i \in N_0, \overline{b}_i \in \overline{N}_r M_r$. We compute:

$$(14) \quad \overline{n}_r h_{N_0, z^n}(v) = \sum_{i \in I} \overline{n}_r n_i z^n v = \sum_{i \in I} n'_i \overline{b}_i z^n v = \sum_{i \in I} n'_i z^n v.$$

We show that $(n'_i)_{i \in I}$ is a system of representatives of $N_0/z^n N_0 z^{-n}$, hence that \overline{n}_r fixes $h_{N_0, z^n}(v)$, hence 1). We have to prove that $n'_i{}^{-1} n'_j \in z^n N_0 z^{-n}$ implies $i = j$. We write $n'_i{}^{-1} n'_j = \overline{b}_i n_i{}^{-1} n_j \overline{b}_j{}^{-1}$ and we assume that $\overline{b}_i n_i{}^{-1} n_j \overline{b}_j{}^{-1} \in z^n N_0 z^{-n}$. Then $n_i{}^{-1} n_j$ belongs to the group generated by $\overline{N}_r M_r$ and $z^n N_0 z^{-n}$, which is contained in the group $z^n \overline{N}_r M_r N_0 z^{-n}$. Hence $n_i{}^{-1} n_j \in z^n N_0 z^{-n}$. This implies $i = j$.

2) $V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}$ is stable by $h_{N_0, z}$ (hence by h_{N_0, z^n} for $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

When $t = r$, this follows from 1) because $n(t, t) = 0$. This is true for any large $t = r$. Hence the intersection $V^{M_r N_0} \cap V^{\overline{N}_t M_t N_0}$ is stable by $h_{N_0, z}$. But this intersection is $V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}$ because the group generated by $M_r N_0$ and $\overline{N}_t M_t N_0$ is $\overline{N}_t M_r N_0$, as M_r contains M_t and normalizes \overline{N}_t, M_t, N_0 . Hence 2).

3) Let f be a z^{-1} -finite element of $I_{z^n}^{z^n}(V^{M_r N_0})$. The R -module generated by $f(z^{-a})$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ is contained in a finitely generated R -submodule of $V^{M_r N_0}$. There exists $t \geq r$ such that $f(z^{-a})$ is contained in $V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$. By 2), $f \in I_{z^n}^{z^n}(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0})$. We have $f(1) \in \cap_{n \geq 1} h_{N_0, z^n}(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0})$. By 1), $h_{N_0, z^n}(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}) \subset V^{\overline{N}_r M_r N_0}$ when $n \geq n(r, t)$. Hence $f(1) \in V^{\overline{N}_r M_r N_0}$. The proposition is proved. \square

This ends the proof of Thm. 8.1. An admissible representation of M is A_M -locally finite (Lemma 3.6). By Thm. 8.1, Remark 7.6, and Corollary 7.3, we deduce :

Corollary 8.3. *The (admissible) parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$ admits a right adjoint, equal to*

$$(R_P^G)^{A_M - l_f} \simeq \text{Ord}_P^G : \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M).$$

Corollary 8.4. *When p is nilpotent in R , the admissible parabolic induction Ind_P^G is fully faithful, and the unit $\text{id} \mapsto \text{Ord}_P^G \circ \text{Ind}_P^G$ of the adjunction $(\text{Ind}_P^G, \text{Ord}_P^G)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Lemma 4.6, Cor. 5.3. \square

It is not known if the N -coinvariant functor respects admissibility when the characteristic of F is p . When R is a field where p is invertible, the N -coinvariant functor respects admissibility. For the convenience of the reader, we give the proof which is a variant of the proof of [Viglivre, II.3.4].

(i) Let R be a commutative ring (we do not assume that R is noetherian) and $V \in \text{Mod}_R^\infty(G)$. For $v \in V^{N_0}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $h_{N_0, z^a}(v) = \sum_{n \in N_0 / z^a N_0 z^{-a}} n z^a v = z^a \sum_{n \in z^{-a} N_0 z^a / N_0} n v$. Applying the map $\kappa : V \rightarrow V_N$, we get

$$(15) \quad \kappa(h_{N_0, z^a}(v)) = [N_0 : z^a N_0 z^{-a}] z^a \kappa(v).$$

The index $[N_0 : z^a N_0 z^{-a}]$ is a power of p which goes to infinity with a . (Note that when a power of p vanishes in R , $\kappa(h_{N_0, z^a}(v)) = 0$ when a is large.) For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\kappa(V^{M_r N_0}) \subset (V_N)^{M_r}$ because $m\kappa(v) = \kappa(mv)$ for $m \in M, v \in V$.

(ii) We assume now that p is invertible in R . The above inclusion for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is an equality

$$(16) \quad \kappa(V^{M_r N_0}) = (V_N)^{M_r}.$$

Indeed, let $w \in (V_N)^{M_r}$ and $v \in V$ with $\kappa(v) = w$. The fixator H_r of v in the pro- p -group $M_r N_0$ is open of index a power of p . The element $[M_r N_0 : H_r]^{-1} \sum_{b \in M_r N_0 / H_r} b v$ is well defined, is fixed by $M_r N_0$ and has image w in V_N . Hence (16). As V_N is a smooth representation of M and $V^{N_0} = \cup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} V^{M_r N_0}$, (16) implies $\kappa(V^{N_0}) = V_N$ and by (13),

$$(17) \quad \cup_{t \geq r} \kappa(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}) = (V_N)^{M_r}.$$

Assume $a \geq n(r, t)$, by (15) and by the proof of Prop. 8.2,

$$(18) \quad z^a \kappa(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0}) = \kappa(h_{N_0, z^a}(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0})) \subset \kappa(V^{\overline{N}_r M_r N_0}).$$

If X is a finitely generated R -submodule of $V_N^{M_r}$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X \subset \kappa(V^{\overline{N}_t M_r N_0})$, hence by (18) there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(19) \quad z^a X \subset \kappa(V^{\overline{N}_r M_r N_0}).$$

(iii) We assume now that R is a field where p is invertible and $V \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(G)$. By (19) the dimensions of the finite dimensional subspaces of $V_N^{M_r}$ are bounded, hence $V_N^{M_r}$ is finite dimensional. This is true for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ therefore $V_N \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{adm}}(M)$.

References

- [Bu] Bushnell Colin J. : *Representations of reductive p -adic groups: Localization of Hecke algebras and applications*. J. London Math. Soc. (2001) 63 (2): 364-386.
- [Bo] Borel Armand : *Linear algebraic groups* 2ed., Springer (1991).
- [BT1] Bruhat Francois, Tits Jacques. : *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local: I. Données radicielles valuées*. Publ. math. I.H.E.S., tome 41 (1972).
- [Dat] Dat J.-F. : *Finitude pour les représentations lisses de groupes réductifs p -adiques*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 8 (1): 261–333 (2009).
- [Emerton] Emerton Matthew : *Ordinary parts of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups I*. Astérisque 331, 2010, p. 355-402.
- [Emerton2] Emerton Matthew : *Ordinary parts of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups II*. Astérisque 331, 2010, p. 403-459.
- [HV] Henniart Guy and Vigneras Marie-France : *Comparison of compact induction with parabolic induction*. Special issue to the memory of J. Rogawski. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol 260, No 2, 2012, 457-495.

- [KS] Kashiwara Masaki, Schapira Pierre : *Categories and Sheaves*. Grundlehren des mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 332, Springer-Verlag 2006. Errata in <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~pierre.schapira/books>.
- [Ly] Ly Tony : *Irreducible representations modulo p representations of $GL(n, D)$* . Thesis. University of Paris 7. 2013.
- [Matsumura] Matsumura H. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag 1971.
- [ML] Mac Lane S. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag 1971.
- [SVZ] Schneider Peter, Vigneras Marie-France and Zabradi Gergely : *From étale P_+ -representations to G -equivariant sheaves on G/P* . Preprint 2012.
- [SGA4] Grothendieck A. et J.-L. Verdier : *Préfaïceaux* Séminaire de géométrie algébrique by M. Artin, A. Grothendieck and J.-L. Verdier (1963-1964). Lecture Notes in Math. 269, Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [VigLang] Vigneras Marie-France : *Représentations p -adiques de torsion admissibles*. Number Theory, Analysis and Geometry: In Memory of Serge Lang. Springer 2011.
- [Viglivre] Vigneras Marie-France : *Représentations l -modulaires d'un groupe réductif p -adique avec $l \neq p$* . Progress in Math 131 Birkhauser 1996.

Vignéras Marie-France
 Université de Paris 7, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 175 rue du Chevaleret, Paris 75013, France,
 marie-france.vigneras@imj-prg.fr