

# The pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra of a reductive $p$ -adic group, II

Marie-France Vignéras

(Communicated by Christopher Deninger)

*This paper is dedicated to Peter Schneider on the occasion of its 60-th birthday, in appreciation for his insights, precision and generosity in mathematics.*

**Abstract.** For any commutative ring  $R$  and any reductive  $p$ -adic group  $G$ , we describe the center of the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra of  $G$ . We show that the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra is a finitely generated module over its center and is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra. When the ring  $R$  is noetherian, the center is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra and the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra is noetherian. This generalizes results known only for split groups.

## 1. RESULTS

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, let  $F$  be a local nonarchimedean field, of finite residue field  $k$  with  $q$  elements and of characteristic  $p$ , let  $G, T, Z, N$  be the groups of  $F$ -points of a connected reductive  $F$ -group  $\mathbf{G}$  of maximal  $F$ -split torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ -centralizer  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$ -normalizer  $\mathbf{N}$ . The group  $Z$  admits a unique parahoric subgroup  $Z_0$ , and  $Z_0$  admits a unique pro- $p$ -Sylow  $Z_0(1)$ . The unicity of these groups imply that they are normalized by  $N$ . The group  $Z_k = Z_0/Z_0(1)$  is the group of  $k$ -points of a  $k$ -torus.

Let  $W_0 = N/Z$ ,  $W = N/Z_0$  and  $W(1) = N/Z_0(1)$ . Then  $W_0$  is the relative finite Weyl group of  $G$ , the quotient map  $W \rightarrow W_0$  of kernel  $\Lambda = Z/Z_0$  splits, the group  $W(1)$  is an extension of  $W$  by  $Z_k$ .

*We will denote by  $X(1)$  the inverse image in  $W(1)$  of a subset  $X$  of  $W$ , and we write  $\tilde{w}$  for an arbitrary element of  $W(1)$  of image  $w \in W$ .*

The group  $\Lambda$  is commutative but the group  $\Lambda(1) = Z/Z_0(1)$  may be not commutative. A conjugacy class  $C$  of  $W$  is contained in  $\Lambda$  or disjoint from  $\Lambda$ . The same is true for  $W(1)$  and  $\Lambda(1)$ .

The Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R$  of  $G$  is a deformation of the group  $R$ -algebra  $R[W]$  and the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(1)$  of  $G$  is a deformation of the group  $R$ -algebra  $R[W(1)]$ , cp. [7].

The center of the  $R$ -algebra of the group  $W$  or of  $W(1)$  can be easily determined using the following property:

**Lemma 1.1.** *A conjugacy class  $C$  of  $W$  is finite if and only if  $C$  is contained in  $\Lambda$ . The same is true for  $W(1)$  and  $\Lambda(1)$ .*

As a corollary, the center of the  $R$ -algebra  $R[W]$  is equal to  $R[\Lambda]^{W_0}$  and is  $R$ -free of basis

$$z_C = \sum_{\lambda \in C} \lambda,$$

for all finite conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $W$ . The group algebra  $R[W]$  is a finitely generated module over its center. If the ring  $R$  is noetherian, the center is a finitely generated algebra and  $R[W]$  is a noetherian algebra. The same is true for  $R[W(1)]$ . We will prove that these properties remain true for the Iwahori and pro- $p$ -Iwahori  $R$ -algebras.

For each (spherical) orientation  $o$ , we denote by  $(E_o(w))_{w \in W}$ , resp.  $(E_o(w))_{w \in W(1)}$ , the (alcove walk or Bernstein) basis of  $\mathcal{H}_R$ , resp.  $\mathcal{H}_R(1)$  (cp. [7, Cor. 5.26, Cor. 5.28]).

**Theorem 1.2.** *The center  $\mathcal{Z}_R$  of the Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R$  is  $R$ -free of basis*

$$E(C) = \sum_{\lambda \in C} E_o(\lambda)$$

for all finite conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $W$ . The basis elements  $E(C)$  do not depend on the choice of the orientation  $o$ .

*The Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra is finitely generated and is a finitely generated module over its center. If the ring  $R$  is noetherian, the center is a finitely generated algebra, and the Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra is noetherian.*

*The center  $\mathcal{Z}_R(1)$  of the pro- $p$  Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(1)$  satisfies the same properties.*

This theorem was proved by Bernstein for affine Hecke complex algebras [3], or when the group  $G$  is  $F$ -split [5], [6]. In his unpublished and nice diplomarbeit [4], Schmidt gave this theorem for certain pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebras, although his proof contains some gaps but the pattern of his proof is correct and we follow it. The Iwahori–Hecke or pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebras attached to reductive  $p$ -adic groups are more general than the affine Hecke algebras of Lusztig and than the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebras of Schmidt.

We will prove the theorem for the  $R$ -algebras  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  defined in the part I of our work [7] generalizing the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra. This allows to reduce some proofs to the simpler case  $q_s = 1$ .

We recall the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ , cp. [7]. There exists an affine Weyl Coxeter system  $(W^{\text{aff}}, S^{\text{aff}})$  and a finitely generated commutative subgroup  $\Omega$  normalizing  $S^{\text{aff}}$ , such that

$$W = W^{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega.$$

We write  $s \sim s'$  for two elements  $s, s' \in S^{\text{aff}}$  which are  $W$ -conjugate. Let  $(q_s)_{s \in S^{\text{aff}}/\sim}$  in  $R$ , and  $(c_s)_{s \in S^{\text{aff}}(1)}$  in  $R[Z_k]$  satisfying

$$c_{\tilde{s}t} = c_s t, \quad c_{s'} = w c_s w^{-1}, \quad (t \in Z_k, s' = w s w^{-1}, s, s' \in S^{\text{aff}}(1), w \in W(1)).$$

We set  $q_{\tilde{s}} = q_s$ . The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is the free  $R$ -module of basis  $(T_w)_{w \in W(1)}$  endowed with the product satisfying

- The braid relations:

$$(1) \quad T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'} \quad (w, w' \in W(1), \ell(w) + \ell(w') = \ell(ww')),$$

where  $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{\text{aff}})$  if the image of  $w$  in  $W$  is  $w^{\text{aff}}u$ ,  $w^{\text{aff}} \in W^{\text{aff}}$ ,  $u \in \Omega$  and  $\ell$  the length of  $(W^{\text{aff}}, S^{\text{aff}})$ . Therefore the linear map sending  $t$  to  $T_t$  identifies  $R[Z_k]$  to a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ , and  $s^2 \in Z_k$  and  $c_s \in R[Z_k]$  identify to elements of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ .

- The quadratic relations:

$$(2) \quad T_s^2 = q_s s^2 + c_s T_s \quad (s \in S^{\text{aff}}(1)).$$

In our basic example, the pro- $p$ -Iwahori-Hecke algebra,  $q_s = [IsI : I] = [I(1)sI(1) : I(1)]$  where  $I$  is an Iwahori group of pro- $p$ -Sylow  $I(1)$ ; when  $s$  belongs to a certain coset  $sZ_{k,s} = Z_{k,s}s$  in  $W(1)$  of a subgroup  $Z_{k,s}$  of  $Z_k$  defined in [7],

$$c_s = (q_s - 1) |Z_{k,s}|^{-1} \sum_{t \in Z_{k,s}} t.$$

The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s)$  generalizing the Iwahori-Hecke algebra is simpler. It admits the same definition with  $c_s = q_s - 1$  and  $W$  instead of  $W(1)$ . We have

$$\mathcal{H}_R(q_s) = R \otimes_{R[Z_k]} \mathcal{H}_R(q_s, q_s - 1)$$

for the homomorphism sending  $t \in Z_k$  to 1.

The affine Weyl group  $W^{\text{aff}}$  is generated by the orthogonal reflections with respect to a set of affine hyperplanes in an Euclidean real vector space  $V$ . The finite Weyl group  $W_0$  identifies with the subgroup of  $W^{\text{aff}}$  generated by the reflections with respect to the hyperplanes containing 0. The group  $W$  acts by conjugation on  $\Lambda$  and

$$W = \Lambda \rtimes W_0.$$

The simply transitive action of the group  $W_0$  on the Weyl chambers inflates to an action of  $W$  trivial on  $\Lambda$  and to an action of  $W(1)$  trivial on  $\Lambda(1)$ . The orientations are in bijection with the Weyl chambers, and inherit this action. For an orientation  $o$  and for  $w$  in  $W_0$  or  $W$  or  $W(1)$ , we denote by  $o \bullet w$  the image of  $o$  by  $w^{-1}$ . We denote by  $S_o$  the set of reflections with respect to the walls of the Weyl chamber defining  $o$ . The  $R$ -basis  $(E_o(w))_{w \in W(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  associated to  $o$  satisfies:

- the product formula [7, Thm. 5.25]:

$$(3) \quad E_o(w) E_{o \bullet w}(w') = q_{w,w'} E_o(ww') \quad (w, w' \in W(1)),$$

where  $q_{w,w'} = (q_w q_{w'} q_{ww'}^{-1})^{1/2}$  and  $q_w = q_{s_1} \dots q_{s_r}$  if  $w = \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_r \tilde{u}$  with  $s_i \in S^{\text{aff}}$ ,  $u \in \Omega$  is a reduced decomposition ( $r$  minimal).

- the Bernstein relations [7, Thm. 5.45]:

$$(4) \quad E_o(s)E_o(\lambda) - E_o(s\lambda s^{-1})E_o(s) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(1)} a_{o,s,\lambda}(\mu)E_o(\mu)$$

for  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ ,  $s \in S_o(1)$ , with explicit coefficients  $a_{o,s,\lambda}(\mu) \in R$ .

We have the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  of basis  $(E_o(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda(1)}$  with the natural action of  $W(1)$  coming from the conjugation on  $\Lambda(1)$ , (cp. [7, Cor. 5.26, Cor. 5.28]). Theorem 1.2 is a corollary of:

**Theorem 1.3.** *The center  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is the ring  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)^{W(1)}$  of  $W(1)$ -invariant elements of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ . It is a free  $R$ -module of basis*

$$E(C) = \sum_{\lambda \in C} E_o(\lambda)$$

for all finite conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $W(1)$ . The basis elements  $E(C)$  do not depend on the choice of the orientation  $o$ .

The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is finitely generated and is a finitely generated module over its center  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$ . If the ring  $R$  is noetherian, the center  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra, and the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is noetherian.

**Remark 1.4.** The action of  $W$  on  $\Lambda$  factorizes through  $W_0$ . The center of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_o^{W_0}$  where  $\mathcal{A}_o$  is the commutative subring of basis  $E_o(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

This theorem generalizes our results on the center for  $G$  split [5]. In a sequel of this paper, when  $C$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , this theorem will be used to generalize to any reductive connected group  $G$ , some results of Ollivier on pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke  $C$ -algebra when  $G$  is split: the embedding of the weighted spherical algebras in the pro- $p$  Iwahori–Hecke  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_C(1)$ , the inverse of the Satake isomorphism considered in [2] and the classification of the supersingular  $\mathcal{H}_C(1)$ -modules.

## 2. PROOFS

We denote by  $T$  the maximal split torus of  $G$ , and by  $X^*(T)$  and  $X_*(T)$  the lattices of characters and of cocharacters of  $T$ . Let  $\omega$  be the valuation of  $F$  such that  $\omega(F^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$ . The kernel of the canonical map  $t \mapsto (\chi \mapsto (\omega \circ \chi)(t)) : T \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X^*(T), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq X_*(T)$  is the maximal compact subgroup  $T_0$  of  $T$ . The choice of an uniformizer  $p_F$  defines a splitting of the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow X_*(T) \rightarrow 1$$

sending  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  to  $\mu(p_F)$ . We have  $Z_0 \cap T = T_0$  and  $Z_0(1) \cap T = T_0(1)$  is the unique pro- $p$ -Sylow of  $T$ . The quotient  $T_k = T_0/T_0(1)$  is the group of  $k$ -points of a split  $k$ -torus. The splitting induces isomorphisms

$$T \simeq T_0 \times X_*(T), \quad T/T_0(1) \simeq T_k \times X_*(T).$$

The commutative finitely generated group  $T/T_0(1)$  is central of finite index in  $\Lambda(1)$ . We recall the homomorphism

$$(5) \quad \nu : Z \rightarrow V = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q(\Phi^{\vee}) \mid \alpha \circ \nu(t) = -\omega \circ \alpha(t) \text{ for } \alpha \in \Phi, t \in T,$$

where  $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$  is the set of roots of  $T$  in  $G$ . The homomorphism  $\nu$  factorizes through  $\Lambda = Z/Z_0$  and  $\Lambda(1) = Z/Z_0(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *A conjugacy class of  $\Lambda$  is finite.*

*A conjugacy class of  $W$  is finite and contained in  $\Lambda$ , or infinite and disjoint from  $\Lambda$ .*

*The same is true for  $W(1)$  and  $\Lambda(1)$ .*

*Proof.* A conjugacy class of  $W$  is contained in  $\Lambda$  or disjoint from  $\Lambda$  because  $\Lambda$  is normal in  $W$ . The same is true for  $W(1)$  and  $\Lambda(1)$ .

The group  $\Lambda$  is commutative and the conjugacy classes of  $W$  contained in  $\Lambda$  are the orbits of the finite Weyl group  $W_0$  acting on  $\Lambda$ . They are of course finite.

The group  $\Lambda(1)$  is not commutative but the conjugacy classes of  $\Lambda(1)$  are finite, as the center of  $\Lambda(1)$  contains  $T/T_0(1)$  hence has a finite index. For  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$  we denote by  $c(\lambda)$  the conjugacy class of  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ . We have  $W(1) = \Lambda(1)W_0(1)$ . Obviously  $tc(\lambda)t^{-1} = c(\lambda)$  for  $t \in Z_k$ , and  $W_0 = W_0(1)/Z_k$  acts on the conjugacy classes of  $\Lambda(1)$ , with orbits the conjugacy classes of  $W(1)$  contained in  $\Lambda(1)$ . They are of course finite.

The image in  $W$  of a conjugacy class of  $W(1)$  not contained in  $\Lambda(1)$  is a conjugacy class of  $W$  not contained in  $\Lambda$ .

We show that a conjugacy class of  $W$  not contained in  $\Lambda$  is infinite. Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda, w \in W_0, w \neq 1$ . The conjugacy class of  $\lambda w$  in  $W$  is infinite because, for  $x \in T/T_0, x\lambda wx^{-1} = x\lambda wx^{-1}w^{-1}w$  and  $\nu(x\lambda wx^{-1}w^{-1}) = \nu(x) - w(\nu(x)) + \nu(\lambda)$ , and the set of  $\nu(x) - w(\nu(x))$  for  $x \in T/T_0$  contains the set of  $y - w(y)$  for  $y$  in the subgroup  $Q(\Phi^{\vee})$  of  $X_*(T)$  generated by the set  $\Phi^{\vee}$  of coroots of  $T$  in  $G$ . This latter set is infinite. □

It is now easy to see that the center of the group  $R$ -algebra  $R[W(1)]$  is  $R[\Lambda(1)]^{W(1)}$ :

**Lemma 2.2.** *The center of  $R[W(1)]$  is the free  $R$ -module of basis*

$$z_C = \sum_{\lambda \in C} \lambda,$$

*for all finite conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $W(1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z = \sum_{u \in W(1)} z(u)u \in R[W(1)]$  where the function  $z : W(1) \rightarrow R$  has finite support. The following properties are equivalent:

1.  $zw = wz$  for all  $w \in W(1)$ ,
2.  $z(w^{-1}u) = z(uw^{-1})$  for all  $u, w \in W(1)$ ,
3.  $z(\cdot)$  is constant on  $C$  for all conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $W(1)$ .

□

We want now to determine the center of the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ , described in the first part of Theorem 1.3. The proof is long and we formulate the different steps as propositions, lemmas, and corollaries. We fix an orientation  $o$  and we determine first the center of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *The center of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is a  $R$ -free module of basis*

$$E_o(c) = \sum_{\lambda \in c} E_o(\lambda),$$

for all conjugacy classes  $c$  of  $\Lambda(1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z = \sum_{x \in \Lambda(1)} z(x)E_o(x)$  be an element in  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  where  $z : \Lambda(1) \rightarrow R$  is a function of finite support, and let  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ . Let ([7, Def. 4.14]):

$$(6) \quad q_{w, w'} = (q_w q_{w'} q_{ww'}^{-1})^{1/2} \quad (w, w' \in W(1)).$$

By [7, Cor. 5.28],

$$E_o(x)E_o(\lambda) = q_{x, \lambda}E_o(x\lambda)$$

and  $q_{\lambda x \lambda^{-1}, \lambda} = q_{x, \lambda} = q_{\lambda, x}$  because  $q_\lambda$  depends only on the image of  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$ , and  $\Lambda$  is commutative. Then  $z$  commutes with  $E_o(\lambda)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda(1)} z(x)q_{x, \lambda}E_o(x\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda(1)} z(x)q_{\lambda, x}E_o(\lambda x).$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\lambda x \lambda^{-1}$ , the left hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda(1)} z(\lambda x \lambda^{-1})q_{\lambda, x}E_o(\lambda x).$$

Hence  $z$  belongs to the center of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  if and only if

$$z(\lambda x \lambda^{-1})q_{x, \lambda} = z(x)q_{x, \lambda} \text{ for all } x, \lambda \in \Lambda(1).$$

If  $z(\cdot)$  is constant on the conjugacy classes of  $\Lambda(1)$ , then  $z$  central in  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ . For the converse, there is a problem when  $q_{x, \lambda}$  is not invertible in  $R$ , but it can be raised. We have  $q_{x, \lambda} = 1$  if and only if  $\ell(\lambda x) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(x)$ . If  $z$  central in  $\mathcal{A}_o$ , the next lemma implies that  $z(\cdot)$  is constant on the conjugacy classes of  $\Lambda(1)$ . Admitting the next lemma, the proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $x, x'$  be two conjugate elements of  $\Lambda(1)$ . There exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$  such that  $\ell(\lambda x) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(x)$  and  $x' = \lambda x \lambda^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We choose an arbitrary element  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$  such that  $x' = \lambda x \lambda^{-1}$ . We choose  $\lambda' \in T/T_o(1)$  such that  $\nu(\lambda \lambda')$  and  $\nu(x)$  belong to the same closed Weyl chamber. We have  $\ell(\lambda \lambda' x) = \ell(\lambda \lambda') + \ell(x)$  (cp. [7, Ex. 5.12]) and  $x' = (\lambda \lambda')x(\lambda \lambda')^{-1}$  because  $\lambda'$  belongs to the center of  $\Lambda(1)$ .  $\square$

The orientation  $o$  is associated to a Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}_o$  of  $V$ . We denote by  $\Delta_o$  the set of reduced roots  $\alpha \in \Phi$  positive on  $\mathfrak{D}_o$  such that  $\text{Ker } \alpha$  is a wall of  $\mathfrak{D}_o$ , by  $S_o \subset W_0$  the set of reflections  $s_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta_o$ . The opposite orientation associated to the opposite Weyl chamber  $-\mathfrak{D}_o$  gives the same set  $S_o$ . The set  $S = S^{\text{aff}} \cap W_0$  is associated to the dominant and antidominant Weyl chambers

$\mathfrak{D}^+$  and  $-\mathfrak{D}^+$ . For  $s \in S_o(1)$ , there exists a unique root  $\alpha \in \Delta_o \cup -\Delta_o$  taking positive values on  $\mathfrak{D}^+$  such that the image of  $s$  in  $S_o$  is  $s_\alpha$ . We say that the root  $\alpha$  of  $\Phi$  is associated to  $(o, s)$ .

For  $\gamma \in \Phi$  let  $e_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  be the positive integer such that the image of  $\Phi$  by the map  $\gamma \mapsto e_\gamma \gamma$  is a reduced root system  $\Sigma$  of affine Weyl group  $W^{\text{aff}}$ . If  $\alpha$  is the root of  $\Phi$  is associated to  $(o, s)$ , the root  $\beta = e_\alpha \alpha$  of  $\Sigma$  is called associated to  $(o, s)$ .

Let  $z_o$  be a central element of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ . By Proposition 2.3:

$$(7) \quad z_o = \sum_c z_o(c) E_o(c) \quad (z_o(c) \in R).$$

Let  $s \in S_o(1)$ . In the  $R$ -basis  $(E_o(w))_{w \in W(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ , we write

$$-z_o E_o(s) + E_o(s) z_o = z'_o + z''_o$$

where  $z''_o \in \mathcal{A}_o(1)$  and the component of  $z'_o$  in  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is 0. The elements  $z_o$  and  $E_o(s)$  commute if and only if  $z'_o = z''_o = 0$ . We denote by  $scs^{-1}$  the set of  $\lambda s^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in c$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} z''_o &= E_o(s) \sum_c z_o(c) (E_o(c) - E_{o \bullet s}(c)), \\ z'_o &= E_o(s) \sum_c (z_o(c) - z_o(sc s^{-1})) E_{o \bullet s}(c) = \sum_c z'_o(sc) E_o(sc), \end{aligned}$$

with  $z'_o(sc) = q_{s,c}(z_o(c) - z_o(sc s^{-1}))$ .

*Proof.* The equality  $q_{scs^{-1},s} = q_{s,c}$  deduced from (6), implies by the product formula (3)

$$E_o(sc s^{-1}) E_o(s) = E_o(s) E_{o \bullet s}(c).$$

Writing  $z_o E_o(s) = E_o(s) \sum_c z_o(c) E_{o \bullet s}(s^{-1}cs) = E_o(s) \sum_c z_o(sc s^{-1}) E_{o \bullet s}(c)$  we obtain

$$-z_o E_o(s) + E_o(s) z_o = E_o(s) \sum_c z_o(c) E_o(c) - z_o(sc s^{-1}) E_{o \bullet s}(c),$$

from which we deduce  $-z_o E_o(s) + E_o(s) z_o = z'_o + z''_o$  with  $z'_o, z''_o$  as in the lemma. The element  $z''_o$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  by the Bernstein relations (4) and the component of

$$z'_o = \sum_c z'_o(sc) E_o(sc), \quad z'_o(sc) = q_{s,c}(z_o(c) - z_o(sc s^{-1}))$$

in  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is 0 because  $s\Lambda(1) \cap \Lambda(1) = \emptyset$ . □

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $s \in S_o(1)$  and let  $c$  be a conjugacy class in  $\Lambda(1)$ . Then  $E_o(s)$  and  $E_o(c) + E_o(sc s^{-1})$  commute.  $E_o(s)$  and  $E_o(c)$  commute if and only if  $c = sc s^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* a) Let  $\beta$  be the root of  $\Sigma$  associated to  $(o, s)$ . We note that  $\nu$  is constant on a conjugacy class of  $\Lambda(1)$ ,  $scs^{-1}$  is a conjugacy class of  $\Lambda(1)$ ,  $\nu(s_\beta \lambda s_\beta^{-1}) = s_\beta(\nu(\lambda))$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $s_\beta(x) = x - \beta(x)\beta^\vee$  for  $x \in V$  where  $\beta^\vee$  is the coroot of  $\beta$ . Therefore we have

$$\nu(scs^{-1}) = \nu(c) - \beta \circ \nu(c)\beta^\vee.$$

If  $c = scs^{-1}$  then  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = 0$ .

If  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = 0$  then  $q_{s,c} = q_{c,s} = 1$  and  $E_{o\bullet s}(c) = E_o(c)$ . This implies

$$E_o(s)E_o(c) - E_o(c)E_o(s) = E_o(s)E_{o\bullet s}(c) - E_o(c)E_o(s) = E_o(sc) - E_o(cs).$$

We deduce that  $E_o(s)$  and  $E_o(c)$  commute when  $c = scs^{-1}$ , and that  $E_o(s)$  and  $E_o(c)$  do not commute when  $c \neq scs^{-1}$  and  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = 0$ .

b) We suppose  $\beta \circ \nu(c) \neq 0$ . We prove that either  $E_o(c)$  or  $E_o(scs^{-1})$  does not commutes with  $E_o(s)$ .

When  $\ell(sc) = 1 + \ell(c)$ , take  $z_o = E_o(c)$  in Lemma 2.5. The coefficient of  $z'_o$  on  $E_o(sc)$  is  $q_{s,c} = (q_s q_c q_{sc}^{-1})^{1/2} = 1$ . We have  $z'_o \neq 0$  hence  $E_o(c)$  does not commute with  $E_o(s)$ .

When  $\ell(sc) = -1 + \ell(c)$  we have  $\ell(cs) = 1 + \ell(c)$ . Take  $z_o = E_o(scs^{-1})$ . We have  $E_o(scs^{-1}) = E_o(s^{-1}cs)$  because  $s^2 \in Z_k$ . We have  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = -\beta \circ \nu(scs^{-1}) \neq 0$ . The coefficient of  $z'_o$  on  $E_o(cs)$  is  $q_{s,s^{-1}cs} = (q_s q_{s^{-1}cs} q_{cs}^{-1})^{1/2} = 1$ . We have  $z'_o \neq 0$  hence  $E_o(scs^{-1})$  does not commute with  $E_o(s)$ .

c) We show that  $E_o(c) + E_o(scs^{-1})$  commutes with  $E_o(s)$ . In Lemma 2.5 we take  $z_o = E_o(c) + E_o(scs^{-1})$ . We have obviously  $z'_o = 0$ .

When the  $q_s = 1$  we show that  $z''_o = 0$ . By symetry, we can suppose  $\beta \circ \nu(c) < 0$  and  $\beta \circ \nu(scs^{-1}) > 0$ . The Bernstein relations (4) give an explicit element  $B_{o,n} \in \mathcal{A}_o(1)$  and different signs  $\epsilon_{o\bullet s}(1, s) \neq \epsilon_o(1, s)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_o(s)(E_{o\bullet s}(c) - E_o(c)) &= \epsilon_{o\bullet s}(1, s)B_{o,n}E_o(c), \\ E_o(s)(E_{o\bullet s}(scs^{-1}) - E_o(scs^{-1})) &= \epsilon_o(1, s)E_o(c)B_{o,n}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $E_o(c)$  is central in  $\mathcal{A}_o$ ,

$$-z''_o = E_o(s)(E_{o\bullet s}(c) - E_o(c) + E_{o\bullet s}(scs^{-1}) - E_o(scs^{-1})) = 0.$$

We proved that  $E_o(s)$  commutes with  $E_o(c) + E_o(scs^{-1})$  when the  $q_s$  are 1.

We choose indeterminates  $\mathbf{q}_s$  for  $s \in S^{\text{aff}} / \sim$  of square  $\mathbf{q}_s^2 = \mathbf{q}_s$ . By Lemma 5.43 in [7], we deduce that  $\mathbf{q}_s^{-1}E_o(s)$  commutes with  $\mathbf{q}_c^{-1}E_o(c) + \mathbf{q}_{scs^{-1}}^{-1}E_o(scs^{-1})$  in the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(\mathbf{q}_s, \mathbf{q}_s^{-1})]}(\mathbf{q}_s, c_s)$ . We have  $\mathbf{q}_c = \mathbf{q}_{scs^{-1}}$  (cp. [7, Prop. 5.13]). Hence  $E_o(s)$  commutes with  $E_o(c) + E_o(scs^{-1})$  in the generic subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(\mathbf{q}_s)]}(\mathbf{q}_s, c_s)$ . We specialize  $\mathbf{q}_s \mapsto q_s$  hence  $E_o(s)$  commutes with  $E_o(c) + E_o(scs^{-1})$  in  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ .

d) We deduce from b) and c) that both  $E_o(c)$  and  $E_o(scs^{-1})$  do not commutes with  $E_o(s)$  when  $\beta \circ \nu(c) \neq 0$ . □

**Proposition 2.7.** *For any conjugacy class  $C$  of  $W(1)$  contained in  $\Lambda(1)$ , the element*

$$E(C) = E_o(C) = \sum_{\lambda \in C} E_o(\lambda),$$



does not depend on the choice of the orientation  $o$ .

The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)^{W(1)}$  does not depend on the choice of  $o$ , is  $R$ -free of basis  $(E(C))$  for the conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $W(1)$  contained in  $\Lambda(1)$ , and is contained in the center  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in S_o(1)$ . By Proposition 2.6 and its proof,  $z_o = E_o(C)$  commutes with  $E_o(s)$  and  $z_o'' = E_o(s)(E_{o \bullet s}(C) - E_o(C)) = 0$ . When  $q_s = 1$  for all  $s$ ,  $E_o(s)$  is invertible and  $z_o'' = 0$  implies  $E_{o \bullet s}(C) = E_o(C)$ . As  $\mathfrak{q}_C = \mathfrak{q}_w$  is constant on  $C$  we have  $E_{o \bullet s}(C) = E_o(C)$  in the generic algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(q_s, q_s^{-1})]}(\mathfrak{q}_s, c_s)$ , hence in the generic subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(q_s)]}(\mathfrak{q}_s, c_s)$ . This is valid for any  $s \in S_o(1)$ , and the set  $S_o(1)$  generates the group  $W_0(1)$ . The spherical orientations are  $o \bullet w$  for  $w \in W_0(1)$ . Hence  $E_{o \bullet w}(C) = E_o(C)$  for any  $w \in W_0(1)$ . We denote this element by  $E(C)$ .

We show that  $E(C)$  belongs to the center of  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(q_s)]}(\mathfrak{q}_s, c_s)$ . Indeed, for the orientation  $o$  associated to the antidominant Weyl chamber  $-\mathfrak{D}^+$ , we have:  $S_o = S^{\text{aff}} \cap W_0$ ,  $E_o(s) = T_s$  for  $s \in S_o(1)$ , and  $E(C)$  commutes with  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  and  $T_s$  for  $s \in S_o(1)$ . By the braid relations (1),  $E(C)$  commutes with  $T_w$  for  $w \in W_0(1)$ . We have  $W(1) = \Lambda(1)W_0(1)$  with  $Z_k = \Lambda(1) \cap W_0(1)$ . The product formula (3) implies that  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(q_s)]}(\mathfrak{q}_s, c_s)$  is generated by  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  and  $T_w$  for  $w \in W_0(1)$ .

The proposition is proved in  $\mathcal{H}_{R[(q_s)]}(\mathfrak{q}_s, c_s)$ . By specialization  $\mathfrak{q}_s \mapsto q_s$  the proposition is true in  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ . □

The following proposition implies that the intersection of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  with  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)^{W(1)}$ . We recall the reduced root system  $\Sigma = \{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$  attached to  $W^{\text{aff}}$  [7].

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $s \in S_o(1)$ , let  $\beta \in \Sigma$  be the root associated to  $(o, s)$  and let  $z_o$  be a central element of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  as in (7). Then  $E_o(s)$  commutes with  $z_o$  if and only if  $z_o(c) = z_o(scs^{-1})$  for all  $c$  such that  $\beta \circ \nu(c) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We suppose that  $z_o \neq 0$ , and thanks to Proposition 2.6, that  $z_o(c) \neq 0$  implies  $z_o(scs^{-1}) = 0$ . We will prove that  $z_o'$  or  $z_o''$  does not vanish. This implies that  $z_o$  does not commute with  $E_o(s)$  by Lemma 2.5.

If there exists  $c$  with  $z_o(c) \neq 0$  and  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = 0$ , then  $\ell(sc) = \ell(c) + 1$ ,  $q_{s,c} = 1$  and  $z_o'(sc) = z_o(c)$ . Hence  $z_o' \neq 0$ .

We suppose, as we may, that  $z_o(c) \neq 0$  implies  $\beta \circ \nu(c) \neq 0$  and  $z_o(scs^{-1}) = 0$ . We prove this time that  $z_o'' \neq 0$ .

We analyze  $z_o'' = -\sum_c z_o(c) \sum_{\lambda \in c} E_o(s)(E_{o \bullet s}(\lambda) - E_o(\lambda))$  using the Bernstein relations (4) (cp. [7, Thm. 5.45 and Rem. 5.46]) for  $\lambda \in c$ :

$$E_o(s)(E_{o \bullet s}(\lambda) - E_o(\lambda)) = \epsilon_o(1, s)\epsilon_\beta(c) \sum_{k=0}^{n(c)-1} q(k, \lambda)c(k, \lambda)E_o(\mu(k, \lambda))$$

where  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = \epsilon_\beta(c)n(c)$  with  $\epsilon_\beta(c) \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $n(c) > 0$ , and  $\beta \circ \nu(\mu(k, \lambda)) = 2k - n(c)$ .

Let  $m = \max\{n(c) \mid z_o(c) \neq 0\}$ . To prove the proposition it suffices to show that the component  $z''_{o,m}$  of  $z''_o$  in  $\oplus_{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|=m} RE_o(\lambda)$  is not 0.

Only the terms with  $k = 0$  in the expansion of  $E_o(s)(E_{o \bullet s}(\lambda) - E_{o \bullet s}(\lambda))$  for  $\lambda \in c$  with  $n(c) = m$  and  $z_o(c) \neq 0$  contribute to  $z''_{o,m}$ . Up to multiplication by a sign,  $z''_{o,m}$  is equal to

$$\sum_{c, n(c)=m} z_o(c) \epsilon_\beta(c) \sum_{\lambda \in c} q(0, \lambda) c(0, \lambda) E_o(\mu(0, \lambda)).$$

By [7, Rem. 5.46],  $q(0, \lambda) c(0, \lambda) E_o(\mu(0, \lambda))$  is equal to

$$c_s E_o(\lambda) \text{ if } \epsilon_\beta(c) = -1, \quad E_o(s \lambda s^{-1}) c_s \text{ if } \epsilon_\beta(c) = 1.$$

We deduce

$$\pm z''_{o,m} = \sum_{c, \beta \circ \nu(c)=-m} z_o(c) \epsilon_\beta(c) c_s E_o(c) + \sum_{c, \beta \circ \nu(c)=m} z_o(c) \epsilon_\beta(c) E_o(s c s^{-1}) c_s.$$

As  $\beta \circ \nu(c) = -\beta \circ \nu(s c s^{-1})$ , and  $z_o(c) \neq 0$  implies  $z_o(s c s^{-1}) = 0$ , we obtain  $z''_{o,m} \neq 0$ . □

**Corollary 2.9.** *The intersection of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  with  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)^{W(1)}$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 2.8 and 2.7. □

The last step of the proof of the equality  $\mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s) = \mathcal{A}_o(1)^{W(1)}$  is given by:

**Proposition 2.10.** *When  $o$  is the orientation associated to the anti-dominant Weyl chamber  $-\mathfrak{D}^+$ , an element of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  which commutes with each element of  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ .*

*Proof.* We pick a nonzero element in  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s) - \mathcal{A}_o(1)$ ,

$$z = \sum_{x \in W(1)} z(x) E_o(x),$$

which commute with  $E_o(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ . We pick an element  $w \in W(1)$  of maximal length in the nonempty set of  $x \in W(1) - \Lambda(1)$  with  $z(x) \neq 0$ . We will show that there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$  such that

$$(8) \quad \ell(w) < \ell(\lambda w \lambda^{-1}), \quad \ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(w).$$

We have  $q_{\lambda, w} = 1$  (cp. [7, Lemma 4.13]) and  $E_o(\lambda w) = E_o(\lambda) E_o(w)$ . We have  $E_o(\lambda) z = z E_o(\lambda)$  and the coefficient of  $E_o(\lambda) z$  on  $E_o(\lambda w)$  is  $z(w) \neq 0$ .

We will show that the coefficient of  $E_o(\lambda w)$  in  $z E_o(\lambda)$  is  $z(\lambda w \lambda^{-1}) q_{\lambda w \lambda^{-1}, \lambda}$ . We have  $z(\lambda w \lambda^{-1}) = 0$  because  $\lambda w \lambda^{-1}$  does not belong to  $\Lambda(1)$  and  $\ell(w) < \ell(\lambda w \lambda^{-1})$ . Our hypothesis was absurd and the proposition is proved if we admit the existence of  $\lambda$  and the value of the coefficient of  $E_o(\lambda w)$  in  $z E_o(\lambda)$ , given by the next two lemmas. □

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $w \in W$  not in  $\Lambda$ . There exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that*

$$(9) \quad \ell(w^{-1} \lambda w \lambda^{-1}) > 2\ell(w), \quad \ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(w) > \ell(w).$$

The lemma is stronger than the claim in the proof of Proposition 2.10 because (9) implies  $2\ell(w) < \ell(w^{-1}\lambda w\lambda^{-1}) \leq \ell(w) + \ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1})$  hence  $\ell(w) < \ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1})$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $\lambda$  by  $w^{-1}\lambda w$  which has the same length, (9) is replaced by

$$(10) \quad \ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1}w^{-1}) > 2\ell(w), \ell(w\lambda) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(w) > \ell(w).$$

We show first that there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying the weaker property

$$(11) \quad \ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1}w^{-1}) > 0, \ell(w\lambda) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(w) > \ell(w).$$

We write  $w = w_0\lambda_0 \in W_0 \rtimes \Lambda$  with  $w_0 \neq 1$ . The length formula [7, Cor. 5.10] shows that  $\ell(w\lambda) = \ell(w) + \ell(\lambda)$  is equivalent to

- 1)  $(\gamma \circ \nu)(\lambda), (\gamma \circ \nu)(\lambda_0)$  have the same sign when  $\gamma \in \Sigma^+, w_0(\gamma) > 0$ ,
- 2)  $(\gamma \circ \nu)(\lambda), 1 + (\gamma \circ \nu)(\lambda_0)$  have the same sign when  $\gamma \in \Sigma^+, w_0(\gamma) < 0$ .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1}w^{-1}) &= \ell(\lambda w_0\lambda^{-1}w_0^{-1}) \text{ because } \Lambda \text{ is commutative,} \\ \ell(\lambda w_0\lambda^{-1}w_0^{-1}) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow (\gamma \circ \nu)(\lambda w_0\lambda^{-1}w_0^{-1}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Sigma, \text{ by the} \\ \text{length formula [7, Cor. 5.10],} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \nu(\lambda w_0\lambda^{-1}w_0^{-1}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu(\lambda) \neq w_0(\nu(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

The existence of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying (11) is equivalent to the existence of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying

$$(12) \quad 0 \neq \nu(\lambda) \neq w_0(\nu(\lambda)), \ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(w).$$

It is obvious that there are infinitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying these conditions.

In fact, the existence of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying (11) is not a weaker property than the existence of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying (10). Indeed, let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  satisfying (11), we show that, for a large odd integer  $n$ ,  $\lambda^n$  satisfies (10).

We have  $(\lambda w\lambda^{-1}w^{-1})^n = \lambda^n w\lambda^{-n}w^{-1}$  because  $\Lambda$  is commutative. If  $n$  is large and  $\ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1}w^{-1}) > 0$  then  $\ell(\lambda^n w\lambda^{-n}w^{-1}) = n\ell(\lambda w\lambda^{-1}w^{-1}) > 2\ell(w)$ . In particular,  $\ell(w\lambda) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(w)$  implies  $\ell(w\lambda^n) = \ell(\lambda^n) + \ell(w)$  for odd  $n > 0$ . Obviously  $\ell(\lambda) + \ell(w) > \ell(w)$  implies  $\ell(\lambda^n) + \ell(w) > \ell(w)$ . □

**Lemma 2.12.** *The coefficient of  $E_o(\lambda w)$  in  $zE_o(\lambda)$  is  $z(\lambda w\lambda^{-1})q_{\lambda w\lambda^{-1}, \lambda}$ , for  $z, \lambda, w$  in the proof of Proposition 2.10.*

*Proof.* We write  $E_o(x)E_o(\lambda)$  for  $x \in W(1)$ , as

$$E_o(x)E_{o \bullet x}(\lambda) + E_o(x)(E_o(\lambda) - E_{o \bullet x}(\lambda)) = q_{x, \lambda}E_o(x\lambda) + E_o(x)(E_o(\lambda) - E_{o \bullet x}(\lambda)),$$

and  $zE_o(\lambda) = z_1 + z_2$  where

$$z_1 = \sum_{x \in W(1)} z(x)q_{x, \lambda}E_o(x\lambda), \quad z_2 = \sum_{x \in W(1)} z(x)E_o(x)(E_o(\lambda) - E_{o \bullet x}(\lambda)).$$

The coefficient of  $z_1$  on  $E_o(\lambda w)$  is  $z(\lambda w\lambda^{-1})q_{\lambda w\lambda^{-1}, \lambda}$  as  $x\lambda = \lambda w \Leftrightarrow x = \lambda w\lambda^{-1}$ . The coefficient of  $z_2$  on  $E_o(\lambda w)$  is 0 because

- a) if  $x \in \Lambda(1)$  we have  $o \bullet x = o$ .

b) if  $x \in W(1) - \Lambda(1)$ , we have (cp. [7, Thm. 4.5, Cor. 5.26])

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_o(x) &\in T_x + \sum_{y < x} RT_y, \\
 E_o(\lambda) - E_{o \bullet x}(\lambda) &\in \sum_{u < \lambda} RT_u, \\
 T_y T_u &\in \sum_{v \leq yu} RT_v, \\
 E_o(x)(E_o(\lambda) - E_{o \bullet x}(\lambda)) &\in \sum_{v \leq yu, u < \lambda, y < x} RT_v,
 \end{aligned}$$

and from  $v \leq yu, u < \lambda, y < x$ , we have  $\ell(v) < \ell(\lambda) + \ell(x) \leq \ell(w) + \ell(\lambda) = \ell(\lambda w)$ . □

This ends the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.3 describing the center  $\mathfrak{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$ . The second part generalizes the following finiteness properties:

**Proposition 2.13.** *The group algebra  $R[W]$  is a finitely generated module over its center. If the ring  $R$  is noetherian, the center is a finitely generated algebra, and  $R[W]$  is a noetherian algebra.*

*The group algebra  $R[W(1)]$  satisfies the same properties.*

*Proof.* i)  $T/T_0(1)$  is a free, finitely generated commutative group which is normalized by  $W(1)$ . The action of  $W(1)$  by conjugation on  $T/T_0(1)$  factorizes by  $W_0$ . By a general theorem ([1, AC V.1.9 Thm. 2 p. 29]) for any finitely generated commutative  $R$ -algebra with an action of a group with finite orbits,  $R[T/T_0(1)]$  is a finitely generated  $R[T/T_0(1)]^{W_0}$ -module; moreover, if  $R$  is noetherian,  $R[T/T_0(1)]^{W_0}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra.

ii) The center  $R[\Lambda(1)]^{W(1)}$  of  $R[W(1)]$  contains  $R[T/T_0(1)]^{W_0}$ ,  $R[W(1)]$  is a finitely generated  $R[\Lambda(1)]$ -module and  $R[\Lambda(1)]$  is a finitely generated  $R[T/T_0(1)]$ -module, because the index of  $T/T_0(1)$  in  $\Lambda(1)$  and the index of  $\Lambda(1)$  in  $W(1)$  are finite.

iii)  $R[W(1)]$  is finitely generated over  $R[\Lambda(1)]^{W(1)}$  and over  $R[T/T_0(1)]^{W_0}$ . If the ring  $R$  is noetherian, then  $R[W(1)]$  is noetherian,  $R[\Lambda(1)]^{W(1)}$  is a finitely generated  $R[T/T_0(1)]^{W_0}$ -module hence a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra. □

The proof of these finiteness properties for the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  (the second part of Theorem 1.3) follows the same pattern. We pick a spherical orientation  $o$ . The  $R$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))$  generated by  $E_o(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in T/T_0(1)$  is a commutative algebra with an action of  $W(1)$  factorizing through  $W_0$ . We claim:

a) The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))$  is finitely generated.

Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))^{W_0}$ -module; moreover, if  $R$  is noetherian,  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))^{W_0}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra (cp. [1, AC V.1.9 Thm. 2 p. 29]). The center  $\mathcal{A}_o^{W(1)} = \mathcal{Z}_R(q_s, c_s)$  contains  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))^{W_0}$ . Theorem 1.3 follows from:

- b) The left  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is finitely generated,
- c) The left  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is finitely generated.

It remains to prove the claims a), b) and c). When the homomorphism  $\nu : \Lambda(1) \rightarrow V$  defined by (5) sends  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda(1)$  to the same closed Weyl chamber, we have  $\ell(\lambda) + \ell(\lambda') = \ell(\lambda\lambda')$  and the product formula (3) is simply

$$E_o(\lambda)E_o(\lambda') = E_o(\lambda\lambda').$$

We denote by  $\Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}$  the inverse image by  $\nu$  of the closure of a Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $V$ . The maximal subgroup of the monoid  $\Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}$  is the kernel  $\Omega(1) \cap \Lambda(1)$  of  $\nu$ .

We denote  $L = T/T_0(1)$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{D}} = L \cap \Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}$ . The  $R$ -module of basis  $E_o(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}$  is a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_{o,\mathfrak{D}}$  and the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(L_{\mathfrak{D}})$  of basis  $E_o(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in L_{\mathfrak{D}}$  satisfy

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{A}_{o,\mathfrak{D}} \simeq R[\Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}], \quad \mathcal{A}_o(L_{\mathfrak{D}}) \simeq R[L_{\mathfrak{D}}],$$

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{A}_o(1) = \cup_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{A}_{o,\mathfrak{D}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_o(L) = \cup_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{A}_o(L_{\mathfrak{D}}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}$  runs over all the Weyl chambers of  $V$ .

**Lemma 2.14.**  *$L_{\mathfrak{D}}$  is a finitely generated monoid of finite index in  $\Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}$ .*

*Proof.* We have exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow L \cap \Omega(1) \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \nu(L) \cap \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \rightarrow \Lambda(1) \cap \Omega(1) \rightarrow \Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \nu(\Lambda(1)) \cap \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow 1.$$

The monoid  $\nu(L) \cap \mathfrak{D}$  is finitely generated of finite index in the monoid  $\nu(\Lambda(1)) \cap \mathfrak{D}$ . The commutative group  $L \cap \Omega(1)$  is finitely generated because any subgroup of  $L$  is free and finitely generated. The index of  $L \cap \Omega(1)$  in  $\Lambda(1) \cap \Omega(1)$  is finite because  $\Lambda(1)/L$  is finite. □

We deduce the claims a) and c):

**Lemma 2.15.** *The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))$  is finitely generated and  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is a finitely generated left and right  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_0(1))$ -module. In particular, the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is finitely generated.*

*Proof.* By the Lemma 2.14,  $\mathcal{A}_o(L_{\mathfrak{D}})$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{A}_{o,\mathfrak{D}}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{A}_o(L_{\mathfrak{D}})$ -module. □

**Lemma 2.16.**  *$\Lambda$  contains a finite set  $X$  such that, for any  $(\lambda, w) \in \Lambda \times W_0$ , there exists  $\mu \in X$  such that*

$$\ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda\mu^{-1}) + \ell(\mu w).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the lemma for an arbitrary fixed element of the finite group  $W_0$ . Let  $w \in W_0$  and let  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ . By the length formula [7, Cor. 5.10]  $\ell(\lambda w)$  is equal to the sum of  $|\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)|$  over the positive roots  $\alpha$  such that  $w^{-1}(\alpha)$  is positive, plus the sum of  $|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) - 1|$  over the positive roots  $\beta$  such that  $w^{-1}(\beta)$  is negative. We deduce that  $\ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda\mu^{-1}) + \ell(\mu w)$  if and only if

- $\alpha \circ \nu(\mu) > 0$  implies  $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda) \geq \alpha \circ \nu(\mu)$ ,
- $\alpha \circ \nu(\mu) < 0$  implies  $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda) \leq \alpha \circ \nu(\mu)$ ,
- $\beta \circ \nu(\mu) > 1$  implies  $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \geq \beta \circ \nu(\mu)$ ,
- $\beta \circ \nu(\mu) < 1$  implies  $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \leq \beta \circ \nu(\mu)$ .

for all  $\alpha, \beta$  as above. It is clear that, for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda \mu^{-1}) + \ell(\mu w)$  for some  $\mu$  with  $|\gamma \circ \nu(\mu)| \leq N$ , for all positive roots  $\gamma$ . There are finitely many choices of  $x(\mu) = (\gamma \circ \nu(\mu))_{\gamma > 0}$  with  $|\gamma \circ \nu(\mu)| \leq N$  for all positive roots  $\gamma$ , and  $\mu \in \Lambda$ . We deduce that there are finitely many elements  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r$  such that, for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists  $\mu_i$  such that  $\ell(\lambda w) = \ell(\lambda \mu_i^{-1}) + \ell(\mu_i w)$ . □

We choose a finite set  $X \subset \Lambda$  as in Lemma 2.16, and we denote by  $X(1)$  and  $XW_0(1)$  the inverse image in  $W(1)$  of the finite sets  $X$  and  $\{\mu w \mid \mu \in X, w \in W_0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.17.** *The left  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is generated by the elements  $E_o(w)$  for  $w$  in the finite set  $XW_0(1)$ , and the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is finitely generated.*

*Proof.*  $W(1) = \Lambda(1)W_0(1)$  and for  $w = \lambda w_0 \in W(1)$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda(1), w_0 \in W_0(1)$ , there exists  $\mu \in X(1)$  such that  $\ell(\lambda w_0) = \ell(\lambda \mu^{-1}) + \ell(\mu w_0)$ . Hence  $q_{\lambda \mu^{-1}, \mu w_0} = 1$  and

$$E_o(w) = E_o(\lambda w_0) = E_o(\lambda \mu^{-1})E_o(\mu w_0).$$

We deduce the first part of the lemma. As the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_o(1)$  is finitely generated by Lemma 2.15, the same is true for the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ . □

We deduce the claim b). The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

### 3. REMARKS

**3.1.** When the order of the finite commutative group  $Z_k$  is invertible in  $R$ , and when  $R$  contains a root of unity of order the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of  $Z_k$  (we say that  $R$  splits  $Z_k$ ), the idempotents of  $R[Z_k]$

$$(15) \quad e_\chi = |Z_k|^{-1} \sum_{t \in Z_k} \chi^{-1}(t)t$$

for all  $R$ -characters  $\chi$  of  $Z_k$ , are orthogonal of sum 1. When  $X$  is a  $W_0$ -orbit of characters of  $Z_k$ , the idempotent  $e_X = \sum_{\chi \in X} e_\chi$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *When  $R$  splits  $Z_k$ , the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  is the direct sum of the subalgebras  $e_X \mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  when  $X$  runs over the  $W_0$ -orbits of characters of  $Z_k$ . As a  $R$ -module,*

$$e_X \mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X} e_\chi \mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s), \quad e_\chi \mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s) \simeq \chi \otimes_{R[Z_k]} \mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s).$$

**3.3.** When  $q_s = 1$  for  $s \in S^{\text{aff}}$ ,

$$E_o(\lambda)E_o(w) = E_o(\lambda w) \text{ for } \lambda \in \Lambda(1) \text{ and } w \in W(1).$$

The linear map  $\lambda \mapsto E_o(\lambda)$  from  $R[T/T_o(1)] \subset R[\Lambda(1)]$  to  $\mathcal{A}_o(T/T_o(1)) \subset \mathcal{A}_o(1)$  are algebra isomorphisms and

$$\mathcal{H}_R(1, c_s) = \sum_{w \in W_0(1)} \mathcal{A}_o(1)E_o(w).$$

**3.4.** Set  $\mathcal{J}_o(1) = \sum_{s \in S^{\text{aff}}} / \sim q_s \mathcal{A}_o(1)$ . In the generic algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{R[[\mathbf{q}_s]]}(\mathbf{q}_s, c_s)$ , for any orientation  $o$ , we have the product formula (3)

$$E_o(\lambda)E_o(\lambda') = \mathbf{q}_{\lambda, \lambda'} E_o(\lambda \lambda'),$$

and  $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda, \lambda'} = 1$  if and only if  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}}$  for some Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}$ . By specialization of the indeterminates  $\mathbf{q}_s$  to  $q_s$ , we obtain in  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_o(\lambda)E_o(\lambda') &= E_o(\lambda \lambda') \text{ if } \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda(1)_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{D}, \\ E_o(\lambda)E_o(\lambda') &\in \mathcal{J}_o(1) \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $W_0(\mu)$  the  $W_0$ -orbit of  $\mu$  in  $X_*(T)$  and by  $X_{*, \mathfrak{D}}(T)$  the monoid of  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  such that  $\nu(\mu(p_F))$  belongs to the closure of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We denote by  $C(\mu)$  the conjugacy class in  $W(1)$  of the image  $\lambda$  of  $\mu(p_F)$  in  $\Lambda(1)$ , and we set  $E_o(\mu) = E_o(\lambda)$ . We have

$$E(C(\mu)) = \sum_{\mu' \in W_0(\mu)} E_o(\mu').$$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in X_{*, \mathfrak{D}}(T)$ . In  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  we have*

$$E(C(\mu_1))E(C(\mu_2)) \in E(C(\mu_1 + \mu_2)) + \mathcal{J}_o(1).$$

*Proof.* We fix the Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}$ . For another Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}'$  we denote by  $w_{\mathfrak{D}'}$  the unique element of  $W_0$  such that  $w_{\mathfrak{D}'}(\mathfrak{D}) = \mathfrak{D}'$ . For  $\mu \in X_{*, \mathfrak{D}}(T)$ ,  $\mu_{\mathfrak{D}'} = w_{\mathfrak{D}'}(\mu)$  belongs to  $X_{*, \mathfrak{D}'}(T)$ . We have  $\mu_{1, \mathfrak{D}'} + \mu_{2, \mathfrak{D}'} = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)_{\mathfrak{D}'}$  and

$$E(C(\mu)) = \sum_{\mu_{\mathfrak{D}'}} E_o(\mu_{\mathfrak{D}'})$$

(the sum is not over the Weyl chambers  $\mathfrak{D}'$ , but over the distinct elements  $\mu_{\mathfrak{D}'}$ ).

$E(C(\mu_1))E(C(\mu_2))$  is equal modulo  $\mathcal{J}_o(1)$  to the sum of  $E_o(\mu'_1 + \mu'_2)$  over the pairs  $(\mu'_1, \mu'_2) \in W_0(\mu_1) \times W_0(\mu_2)$  which belong to  $X_{*, \mathfrak{D}'}(T)$  for the same Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}'$ . □

**3.6.** We recall the involutive  $R$  automorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_R(q_s, c_s)$  defined by

$$\iota(T_w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w^* \text{ for } w \in W(1),$$

where  $T_w^* = (T_{s_1} - c_{s_1}) \dots (T_{s_r} - c_{s_r}) T_u$  if  $w = s_1 \dots s_r u$  is a reduced decomposition of  $w$ ,  $s_i \in S^{\text{aff}}(1)$ ,  $u \in \Omega(1)$ ,  $\ell(w) = r$  (cp. [7, Prop. 4.23]). Let  $o$  be a spherical orientation attached to a Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{D}$ , and  $\bar{o}$  the spherical orientation attached to the opposite Weyl chamber  $-\mathfrak{D}$ . We recall (cp. [7, Lemma 5.31])

$$\iota(E_o(w)) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} E_{\bar{o}}(w) \text{ for } w \in W(1).$$

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $C$  is a finite conjugacy class of  $W(1)$ , we have*

$$\iota(E(C)) = (-1)^{\ell(C)} E(C).$$

*Proof.* The length is constant on  $C$  hence

$$\iota(E(C)) = \sum_{\mu \in C} \iota(E_o(\mu)) = (-1)^{\ell(C)} \sum_{\mu \in C} E_{\bar{o}}(\mu) = (-1)^{\ell(C)} E(C),$$

as  $E(C) = E_o(C)$  does not depend on the choice of the orientation  $o$ . □

**Remark 3.8.** When  $\mu \in X_*(T)$ ,  $\ell(C(\mu))$  is an even number (cp. [6]), hence  $E(C(\mu))$  is fixed by  $\iota$ .

ADDED IN PROOF ON AUGUST 14, 2014

1) The Bernstein relations (4), therefore also Lemma 2.5, Proposition 2.6, and Proposition 2.8, are valid only for  $s \in (S \cap S_o)(1)$  where  $S = W_0 \cap S^{\text{aff}}$  and not for  $s \in S_o(1)$  [7, Thm. 5.45], hence the arguments for  $E_o(C)$  being independent of the orientation  $o$  in Proposition 2.7 are not valid.

*Proof that  $E_o(C)$  is independent of  $o$ .* We reduce to  $q_s = 1$  for  $s \in S^{\text{aff}}$ . Then, this results from the formula

$$(16) \quad E_o(w)^{-1} E_o(\lambda) E_o(w) = E_{o \bullet w}(w^{-1} \lambda w) \quad (w \in W_0(1), \lambda \in \Lambda(1)),$$

for the anti-dominant orientation  $o$ , because  $E_o(C)$  is central and  $W_0(1)$  acts transitively on the (spherical) orientations.

2) The proof of Lemma 2.16 must be replaced by:

*Proof of Lemma 2.16.* Let  $L = \{\vec{\ell}(w) : \gamma \mapsto \ell_\gamma(w) : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid w \in W\}$  where for  $(\lambda, w_0) \in \Lambda \times W_0$ ,  $\ell_\gamma(\lambda w_0)$  is equal to ([7, Cor. 5.9])

$$\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda) \text{ if } \gamma \in w_0(\Sigma^+), \quad \gamma \circ \nu(\lambda) - 1 \text{ if } \gamma \in w_0(\Sigma^-).$$

For  $w, w' \in W$ , we write  $\vec{\ell}(w) \leq \vec{\ell}(w')$  if  $|\ell_\gamma(w)| = |\ell_\gamma(w')| + |\ell_\gamma(w) - \ell_\gamma(w')|$  for all  $\gamma \in \Sigma^+$ . We say that  $\vec{\ell}(w)$  is minimal if  $\Lambda w = \Lambda w'$  and  $\vec{\ell}(w') \leq \vec{\ell}(w)$  implies  $\vec{\ell}(w') = \vec{\ell}(w)$ . As in [4, Lem. 4.2] one shows that the set  $L_{\min}$  of minimal elements of  $L$  is finite. The finite subset  $X = \cup_{w_0 \in W_0} X(w_0)$  of  $\Lambda$  where  $L_{\min} = \cup_{w_0 \in W_0} X(w_0) w_0$  satisfies Lemma 2.16 because

$$(17) \quad \Lambda w = \Lambda w' \text{ and } \vec{\ell}(w') \leq \vec{\ell}(w) \Rightarrow \ell(w) = \ell(w') + \ell(w w'^{-1}).$$



In the left hand side of (17),  $ww'^{-1} \in \Lambda$  implies  $\ell_\gamma(ww'^{-1}) = \ell_\gamma(w) - \ell_\gamma(w')$  for all  $\gamma \in \Sigma^+$ ; with  $\vec{\ell}(w') \leq \vec{\ell}(w)$  we have  $|\ell_\gamma(w)| = |\ell_\gamma(w')| + |\ell_\gamma(w) - \ell_\gamma(w')| = |\ell_\gamma(w')| + |\ell_\gamma(ww'^{-1})|$ . Apply the length formula [7, Prop. 5.7]

$$\ell(w) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^+} |\ell_\gamma(w)|$$

to obtain (17).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 5–7*, reprint of the 1983 original, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1308/1314, Hermann, Paris 1964/1965. Springer, Berlin 2006.
- [2] G. Henniart and M.-F. Vignéras, A Satake isomorphism for representations modulo  $p$  of reductive groups over local fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* Published Online: 05/22/2013.
- [3] G. Lusztig, Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), no. 3, 599–635. MR0991016 (90e:16049)
- [4] Nicolas Alexander Schmidt, *Generische pro- $p$ -algebren*. Diplomarbeit Berlin 2009.
- [5] M.-F. Vignéras, Erratum: “Pro- $p$ -Iwahori Hecke ring and supersingular  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations” [*Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), no. 3, 523–556; 2122539], *Math. Ann.* **333** (2005), no. 3, 699–701. MR2198804 (2007i:22024)
- [6] M.-F. Vignéras, Algèbres de Hecke affines génériques, *Represent. Theory* **10** (2006), 1–20 (electronic). MR2192484 (2006i:20005)
- [7] M.-F. Vignéras, The pro- $p$ -Iwahori-Hecke algebra of a reductive  $p$ -adic group I. Preprint 2013.

Received January 10, 2014; accepted January 13, 2014; Errata added April 27, 2014

Marie-France Vignéras

Université de Paris 7, UMR 7586, Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu

4, place Jussieu, Case 247, 75005 Paris, France

E-mail: vigneras@math.jussieu.fr

URL: <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~vigneras/>