## Erratum

## 0.11 Remarks

(ii) The isomorphism between the irreducible representations is

$$\operatorname{ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) \simeq \operatorname{ind}_B^G(\chi_2|?|_F \otimes \chi_1|?|_F^{-1}).$$

In the paper,  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  have not been inverted on the right side.

(iv) The integrality condition on the exponents of  $(V_{sm})_N \otimes V_{alg}^N$  is that the exponents multiplied by the inverse  $\delta^{-1} = |?|_F^{-1} \otimes |?|_F$  of the modulus  $\delta$  of B are integral on

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_F & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which contracts N [E] lemma 1.6. The integrality condition on the exponents of  $\operatorname{ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det(?)|_F^{k/2}$  is  $\chi_1(p_F)\chi_2(p_F)$  is a unit and

$$\chi_2(p_F)q^{k/2}, \ \chi_1(p_F)q^{1+k/2}$$
 are integral.

In the paper,  $p_F$  and 1 have been inverted in the matrix and k has been replaced by -k.

The theorem 0.10 given for moderately ramified principal series does not extend to locally algebraic representations because the exponents of the reducible representation  $\operatorname{ind}_B^G 1 \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det|_F^{k/2}$  satisfy the integrality condition and this representation is not integral because it contains the representation  $\operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det|_F^{k/2}$  which is not integral.

## Corollary 0.5 and 1.8 Proof of the corollary 0.5

In the paper one says and one "proves" that the contragredient respects integrality for a locally algebraic representation of finite length. This is false in general. A counter-example is the reducible representation

$$\operatorname{ind}_B^G(|?|_F \otimes |?|_F^{-1}) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det|_F^{k/2}$$

for a positive integer k > 0. This representation is integral (Berger-Breuil: Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de  $GL_2(Q_p)$  Corollaire 5.3.4) but the contragredient representation

$$\operatorname{ind}_B^G 1 \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det|_F^{k/2} \otimes (\det(?)|\det(?)|)^{-k}$$

is not integral.

The integrality condition on the exponents of  $\operatorname{ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det(?)|_F^{k/2}$  is the same than the integrality condition on the exponents of the contragredient  $\operatorname{ind}_B^G(\chi_1^{-1}|?|_F \otimes \chi_2^{-1}|?|_F^{-1}) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k \otimes |\det|_F^{k/2} \otimes (\det(?)|\det(?)|)^{-k}$ .

It is possible for an irreducible locally algebraic representation, the integrality condition on the exponents is equivalent to the integrality of the representation, and that the contragredient respects integrality.