

# Monogenous Algebras. Back to KRONECKER

DANIEL FERRAND

August 2019

ABSTRACT. - This Note develops some properties of the finite  $A$ -algebras  $B$  which can be generated by a single element, after, if need be, some faithfully flat base change; they are called *locally monogenous*. Several characterisations of this notion show it appears to be commonly satisfied; in particular, the morphisms between rings of algebraic integers are locally monogenous.

For a finite locally free  $A$ -algebra  $B$ , we have to consider its *ring of parameters*  $\text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)$ , now denoted by  $S$ , and its *generic element*  $\xi_B \in S \otimes_A B$ ; they are both immediately definable when  $B$  is free with a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ : in fact one then has an isomorphism  $S \simeq A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ , and we may write  $\xi_B = \sum T_i e_i \in S \otimes_A B$ .

The norm map  $B \rightarrow A$  extends to norm maps  $S \otimes_A B \rightarrow S$ , and  $S[X] \otimes_A B \rightarrow S[X]$ , both still denoted by  $N$ ; the *generic characteristic polynomial* is  $F_{B/A}(X) = N(X - \xi_B) \in S[X]$ .

Guided by the point of view of torsors, we bring to the fore front a (non conventional) morphism  $\mu_B : S \rightarrow S[T]$  which induces a smooth morphism

$$S/N(\xi_B)S \rightarrow S[X]/(F_{B/A}(X)).$$

It relates, in a sense,  $N(\xi_B)$  and  $F_{B/A}(X)$ .

Then we update an idea KRONECKER introduced at the early beginning of the algebraic theory of numbers: namely that some properties of a finite free  $A$ -algebra  $B$  can be read through the generic characteristic polynomial  $F = F_{B/A}(X)$ ; in fact, since  $\xi$  is a root of  $F$  (Hamilton-Cayley) we dispose of a canonical morphism, called the *Kronecker morphism*

$$S[X]/(F) \rightarrow S \otimes_A B.$$

We show that this morphism is  $A$ -universally injective if and only if  $B$  is locally monogenous over  $A$ . Thus this injectivity property is true in the context of the theory of numbers; that is thoroughly, though implicitly, used by HILBERT in the *Zahlbericht*; besides, the very beginning of this memoir was an inspiration to us for this Note.

In particular, we extend to locally monogenous algebra  $A \rightarrow B$  the fact, quoted by HILBERT, that the discriminant of  $B/A$  is equal to the content (relative to  $A \rightarrow S$ ) of the discriminant of  $F$ .

*In this note, all the rings are assumed to be commutative and to possess a unit element, and all the ring morphisms are assumed to map unit element to unit element. A ring morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is said to be finite locally free if it makes  $B$  a projective  $A$ -module of finite type; the map  $\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \text{rank}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(B \otimes_A \kappa(\mathfrak{p}))$  is then locally constant (for the Zariski topology) on  $\text{Spec}(A)$ ; in other words,  $A$  is the finite product of rings  $A_r$  such that  $B \otimes_A A_r$  is locally free of constant rank  $r$  as  $A_r$ -module.*

## Contents

1. Locally monogenous morphisms
2. Tschirnhaus morphisms
3. The generic element and its norm
4. The Kronecker morphism
5. Discriminant of the generic characteristic polynomial.

## 1. Locally monogenous morphisms

**Definition 1.1** A morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  between rings is called *monogenous* if  $B$  can be generated, as an  $A$ -algebra, by a single element, in other words if there exists a surjective morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $A[X] \rightarrow B$ .

A morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is called *locally monogenous* if there exists a faithfully flat morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B$  is monogenous.

Before giving some examples and characterizations of these morphisms, we first recall the central rôle they play in the theory of the norm functor (see [F]) : to any finite and locally free morphism  $A \rightarrow B$ , is associated a covariant functor

$$N_{B/A} : \mathbf{Mod}_B \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A,$$

which extends the usual one defined for invertible  $B$ -modules  $L$  (roughly speaking, by then taking the norm of a cocycle associated to  $L$ ) ; for a  $B$ -algebra  $B \rightarrow C$ , there exists a morphism to the Weil restriction  $N_{B/A}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{B/A}(C)$  which is an isomorphism if  $B/A$  is étale. The point is that the norm of a locally free  $B$ -module is a locally free  $A$ -module when  $B$  is étale over  $A$ , or, more generally if  $B$  is locally monogenous over  $A$ ; but that may fail to be true in general, even if  $B$  is a complete intersection over  $A$  (see [F] 4.3.4 and 4.4).

**Examples 1.2** Consider a ring  $A$  and the diagonal morphism  $A \rightarrow A^n$ . An element  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  is a generator of that  $A$ -algebra if and only if the powers  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}$  form a basis of the  $A$ -module  $A^n$ . Writing down these powers with respect to the canonical basis of  $A^n$ , one sees that  $x$  is a generator of the  $A$ -algebra  $A^n$  if and only if the Van der Monde determinant

$$\prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i)$$

is invertible in  $A$ .

The existence of a sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with this property is clear if  $A$  contains an infinite field, but  $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p^n$  is *not* monogenous if  $n > p$ . It is also clear that such a sequence cannot exist if the group  $A^\times$  of invertible elements is too small, i.e. if  $\text{Card}(A^\times) < \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ ; thus  $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^n$  is *not* monogenous if  $n \geq 3$ .

On the other hand, there is a canonical way to adjoin to any ring  $A$  a sequence of  $n$  elements  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  making the Van der Monde determinant invertible. Just take the ring of fractions  $A' = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]_V$ , where  $V = \prod_{i < j} (X_j - X_i)$  and, for  $x_i$ , take the image in  $A'$  of  $X_i$ ; the morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  is faithfully flat (and smooth), and the morphism  $A' \rightarrow A'^n$  is monogenous; thus for any  $n$  and any ring  $A$ , the morphism  $A \rightarrow A^n$  is locally monogenous.

A slight generalization :

*A finite étale morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is locally monogenous.*

If  $A \rightarrow B$  is of constant rank  $r$ , then  $B$  is locally isomorphic to  $A^r$ , and thus it is locally monogenous. We can reduce to this case by considering the finite decomposition  $A = A_0 \times A_1 \times \dots \times A_m$  defined by the condition that  $B_r := B \otimes_A A_r$  be locally free of constant rank  $r$  over  $A_r$ ; it is thus locally isomorphic to  $A_r^r$ ; the  $A$ -algebra  $B = B_0 \times \dots \times B_m$ , is clearly locally monogenous.

**Example 1.3** More generally, let  $A$  be a ring, and let  $B_1, \dots, B_s$  be a sequence of finite and locally monogenous  $A$ -algebras. The product  $B_1 \times \dots \times B_s$  is locally monogenous over  $A$ .

To see this, it is enough, by induction on  $s$ , to prove the result for two factors, which we now denote by  $B$  and  $C$ . Let us choose generators  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$  and monic polynomials  $P(T)$  and  $Q(T)$  in  $A[T]$  such that  $P(b) = 0$  and  $Q(c) = 0$ ; one then has a surjective morphism

$$A[T]/(P) \times A[T]/(Q) \rightarrow B \times C,$$

and it is enough to prove that the product  $A[T]/(P) \times A[T]/(Q)$  is locally monogenous over  $A$ . Consider the ring of fractions  $A' = A[X]_{R(X)}$  where we have made invertible the *resultant* ([A] IV 6.6)

$$R(X) = \text{res}_T(P(T+X), Q(T)).$$

Let  $x$  be the image of  $X$  in  $A'$ . Using the standard property of the resultant (see e.g [A] IV 6.6 Cor.1 to Prop. 7), we see that the polynomials  $P(T+x)$  and  $Q(T)$  are co-maximal in  $A'[T]$  (i.e. they generate the unit ideal). Therefore, the "Chinese remainder theorem" shows that the morphism

$$A'[T] \longrightarrow A'[T]/(P(T+x)) \times A'[T]/(Q(T))$$

is surjective. Moreover, the  $A'$ -algebras  $A'[T]/(P(T))$  and  $A'[T]/(P(T+x))$  are clearly isomorphic. Therefore, it remains to show that the morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  is faithfully flat; as it is clearly flat, we have to show that any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$  is the restriction of a prime ideal of  $A'$ . Let  $A \rightarrow K$  be the morphism of  $A$  to an algebraic closure  $K$  of the residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ ; it is enough to see that this morphism factors through  $A' = A[X]_{R(X)}$ . Considering the images in  $K[T]$  of the two monic polynomials  $P(T)$  and  $Q(T)$  and their roots in  $K$ , it is clear that there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $P(T+x)$  and  $Q(T)$  have no common root, i.e. such that the resultant  $R(x)$  is non zero in  $K$ ; this element  $x$  gives rise to a morphism  $A' = A[X]_{R(X)} \rightarrow K$ .

**Proposition 1.4** (Characterizations). *Let  $B$  be a finite  $A$ -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent :*

- i) The morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is locally monogenous.*
- ii) There exists a morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B$  is monogenous, and  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  surjective (i.e the flatness of the base change is superfluous).*
- iii) For any morphism  $A \rightarrow K$  where  $K$  is an algebraically closed field, each local factor of  $K \otimes_A B$  is a monogenous  $K$ -algebra.*
- iv) For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ , there exists a finite extension  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow k$  such that  $k \otimes_A B$  is monogenous over  $k$ .*
- v) The  $B$ -module  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$  is monogenous.*
- vi)  $\Omega_{B/A}^2 = 0$ .*

Recall that a finite algebra  $R$  over a field is the direct product of the local rings  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  runs through the (finite) set of the maximal ideals; these local rings are called in the sequel the *local factors* of  $R$ .

All the ingredients used in the following proof come from EGA IV, but, for the convenience of the reader, they are given in some detail instead of scattered references.

**Lemma 1.4.1** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite morphism. We suppose an  $A$ -algebra  $A \rightarrow E$  exists such that  $E \otimes_A B$  is monogenous over  $E$ . Then, there exists a sub- $A$ -algebra  $F \subset E$  of finite type such that  $F \otimes_A B$  is monogenous over  $F$ .*

Proof : Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes b_i \in E \otimes_A B$  be a generator as  $E$ -algebra; the sub- $A$ -algebra  $E' = A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset E$  is of finite type. Let us consider the morphism

$$E'[X] \longrightarrow E' \otimes_A B,$$

which maps  $X$  to  $x$ ; its cokernel  $M$  is an  $E'$ -module of finite type, as  $E' \otimes_A B$  is, and we have  $E \otimes_{E'} M = 0$ . By induction on the number of generators of  $M$ , (and by looking at the *quotients* of  $M$ ) we are reduced to the case where  $M$  is monogenous, i.e where  $M$  is isomorphic to a quotient  $E'/I$ . The hypothesis,  $E \otimes_{E'} M = 0$ , reads then as  $E = IE$ , i.e as a relation :  $1 = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j y_j$  with  $a_j \in I$  and  $y_j \in E$ . This relation is already true in the  $A$ -algebra of finite type  $E'[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.2** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in a ring  $A$ , and let  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow k$  be a finite field extension. There exist  $t \in A - \mathfrak{p}$ , a finite free morphism  $A_t \rightarrow C$  and an isomorphism  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A C \xrightarrow{\sim} k$ .*

Proof : We write  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ . By induction on the number of generators of the  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -algebra  $k$ , we are reduced to proving the following.

Let  $A_t \rightarrow C$  be a finite free morphism such that  $k = \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A C$  is a field, and let  $k \rightarrow k' = k[x]$  be a finite monogenous field extension. Then there exist  $s \in S$  and a finite free morphism  $C_s \rightarrow C'$  such that  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A C' \simeq k'$ .

Let  $F(X) \in S^{-1}C[X]$  be a monic polynomial whose image modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the minimal polynomial of  $x$  (such a polynomial  $F$  exists because the morphism  $S^{-1}C \rightarrow S^{-1}C/\mathfrak{p}S^{-1}C \simeq k$  is surjective). If  $s \in S$  denotes the product of the denominators of the coefficients of  $F$ , one has  $F \in C_s[X]$ . The morphism

$$A_{st} \rightarrow C_s \rightarrow C' = C_s[X]/(F)$$

is then free, and one gets an isomorphism  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A C' \simeq k'$ .  $\square$

Proof of the proposition. It is clear that *i*) implies *ii*).

Let us prove that *ii*) implies *iii*). Let  $A'$  be an  $A$ -algebra such that  $A' \otimes_A B$  is generated by one element, and such that the map  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective. By the above lemma 1.4.1 there exists a sub- $A$ -algebra  $F \subset A'$ , of finite type, such that  $F \otimes_A B$  is monogenous over  $F$ . Let  $A \rightarrow K$  be a morphism where  $K$  is an algebraically closed field, and denote by  $\mathfrak{p}$  its kernel. By hypothesis, the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the restriction to  $A$  of a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}'$  of  $A'$ ; it is also the restriction of the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}' \cap F$  of  $F$ , therefore  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A F \neq 0$ . Then, as  $K$  is algebraically closed, the "Hilbert Nullstellensatz" ([AC] V 3.3 Prop. 1) implies that the given morphism  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K$  factors through  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A F$ . But the morphism  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A F \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A F \otimes_A B$  is monogenous. Therefore, the  $K$ -algebra  $K \otimes_A B$  is monogenous, and a fortiori each of its factors is.

*iii*)  $\Rightarrow$  *iv*). Let  $K$  be an algebraic closure of a residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  of  $A$ . By the hypothesis *iii*) and the example 1.3, the  $K$ -algebra  $K \otimes_A B$  is monogenous; by lemma 1.4.1, there exists a finite sub-extension  $k \subset K$  such that  $k \otimes_A B$  is a monogenous  $k$ -algebra.

*iv*)  $\Rightarrow$  *i*) First suppose we have already shown that for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$  there exist an element  $t \in A - \mathfrak{p}$  and a finite free morphism  $A_t \rightarrow C$  such that  $C \rightarrow C \otimes_A B$  is monogenous.

Then the image of the morphism  $\text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is the open set  $D(t)$ , and it contains  $\mathfrak{p}$ . As  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is quasi-compact, a finite number of such morphisms  $A \rightarrow C_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are enough for covering  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . Hence we can take  $A' = C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$ ; it is faithfully flat over  $A$ , and  $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B$  is monogenous.

It remains to prove the existence of those morphisms  $A_t \rightarrow C$ . So let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $A$ . According to *iv*), there exists a finite extension  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow k$  such that  $k \rightarrow k \otimes_A B$  is monogenous. By lemma 1.4.2, one can choose an element  $t \in S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ , a finite free morphism  $A_t \rightarrow C$  and an isomorphism  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A C \xrightarrow{\sim} k$ . The morphism  $C \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A C \simeq k$  is the composite of the surjection  $S^{-1}C \rightarrow S^{-1}(C/\mathfrak{p}C)$  and of the localization  $C \rightarrow S^{-1}C$ . Then, a generator  $\xi$  of  $k \otimes_A B = S^{-1}(C/\mathfrak{p}C) \otimes_A B$  may be lifted as an element  $x \in S^{-1}(C \otimes_A B)$ .

For proving  $x$  is a generator of the  $S^{-1}C$ -algebra  $S^{-1}(C \otimes_A B)$  consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{-1}C & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}C[x] & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}C \otimes_A B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k & \longrightarrow & k[\xi] & \xlongequal{\quad} & k \otimes_A B \end{array} .$$

The cokernel of the injective map  $j : S^{-1}C[x] \rightarrow S^{-1}(C \otimes_A B)$ , is a finitely generated module over  $S^{-1}A = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is zero modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The Nakayama lemma thus implies this cokernel be zero, showing that  $j$  is an isomorphism, and that  $x$  is a generator of the  $S^{-1}C$ -algebra  $S^{-1}(C \otimes_A B)$ . Finally, there is a  $s' \in S$  such that  $x \in C_{s'} \otimes_A B$ . Using again the above finiteness property of the cokernel, we can find a  $s'' \in S$  such that the map  $C_{s's''}[x] \rightarrow C_{s's''} \otimes_A B$  is an isomorphism. The morphism  $A_{s's''t} \rightarrow C_{s's''}$  has the required properties.

*i*)  $\Rightarrow$  *v*)  $\Rightarrow$  *vi*). If  $B$  is monogenous over  $A$ , then the  $B$ -module  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$  is generated by one element, namely the differential  $d_{B/A}(x)$  of any generator  $x$  of the  $A$ -algebra  $B$ . Therefore its square wedge is zero. The same conclusion is true if  $B$  is locally monogenous because of the isomorphism  $A' \otimes_A \Omega_{B/A}^1 \simeq \Omega_{A' \otimes_A B/A'}^1$ .

*vi*)  $\Rightarrow$  *iii*). Suppose that  $\Omega_{B/A}^2 = 0$ . Let  $A \rightarrow K$  be a morphism to an algebraically closed field  $K$ . Let  $R$  be a local factor of  $K \otimes_A B$ . By assumption, one has  $\Omega_{R/K}^2 = 0$ . We write  $\Omega = \Omega_{R/K}^1$ , and we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $\wedge^2(\Omega/\mathfrak{m}\Omega) = 0$  the dimension of the  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -vector space  $\Omega/\mathfrak{m}\Omega$  is  $\leq 1$ . As  $K$  is algebraically closed,  $K \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$  is an isomorphism. Now the well-known (see below)  $K$ -linear isomorphism

$$\delta : \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega/\mathfrak{m}\Omega$$

implies that  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $\leq 1$ . From the Nakayama lemma we then deduce that the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  may be generated by one element. Thus  $R$  is a monogenous  $K$ -algebra.

(For lack of an elementary reference, we briefly recall that  $\delta$  is induced by the differential  $d_{R/K} : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \Omega$ , and that the inverse of  $\delta$  is defined as follows. Let  $s : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq K$  be the canonical morphism. The map  $R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, x \mapsto \text{class of } x - s(x) \text{ mod. } \mathfrak{m}^2$  is a derivation. By the universality of  $\Omega$ , this derivation extends to a linear map  $\Omega/\mathfrak{m}\Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , which is easily seen to be the inverse of  $\delta$ .)  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5** *Let  $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C$  be finite morphisms. Then the composite  $vu$  is locally monogenous if either :*

- $u$  is locally monogenous and  $v$  is net (i.e unramified), or
- $u$  is net and  $v$  is locally monogenous.

This result, which generalizes **1.3**, is easily deduced from the equivalence  $i) \Leftrightarrow v)$  of the above proposition and from the exact sequence

$$\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_A C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

**Corollary 1.6** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain,  $K \rightarrow L$  a finite separable extension of its field of fractions, and let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ . Suppose that all the residue field extensions are separable (This is the case if  $A = \mathbf{Z}$ ). Then  $A \rightarrow B$  is locally monogenous.*

Proof : Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be a maximal ideal of  $B$ , and let  $\mathfrak{m} = A \cap \mathfrak{n}$ . As  $B_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is a discrete valuation ring, the  $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  is of dimension 1. Since  $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$  is supposed to be separable over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  one has  $\Omega_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})/\kappa(\mathfrak{m})}^1 = 0$ . Therefore, the exact sequence

$$\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B B/\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \Omega_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})/\kappa(\mathfrak{m})}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

shows that  $\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B B/\mathfrak{n}$  is a vector space of rank  $\leq 1$ . Hence for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  one has  $\Omega_{B/A}^2 \otimes_B B/\mathfrak{n} = 0$ , and the Nakayama lemma gives  $(\Omega_{B/A}^2)_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0$ . Since this is true for each maximal ideal of  $B$ , we may conclude that  $\Omega_{B/A}^2 = 0$ .

## 2. Tschirnhaus morphisms

I am indebted to the late DAN LAKSOV (KTH) for discussing this subject together, few years ago.

**2.1. Definition** *Let  $A$  be a ring. A morphism  $u : B \rightarrow C$  between locally free  $A$ -algebras of the same constant rank is said a **Tschirnhaus morphism** if it is “universally norm compatible”; that means that for any morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  the following triangle is commutative*

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A' \otimes_A B & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes u} & A' \otimes_A C \\ & \searrow N_{B'} & \swarrow N_{C'} \\ & A' & \end{array}$$

where  $N_{B'}$  is a shortland for the norm map  $N_{A' \otimes_A B/A'}$ , and idem for  $N_{C'}$ .

See (2.4) below for a justification of the choice of this patronymic instead of the adjective *universally norm compatible*.

**(2.1.2)** An isomorphism, and even an injective morphism, are Tschirnhaus morphisms. With  $A' = A[X]$ , we see that a Tschirnhaus morphism is compatible with the characteristic polynomials (and in particular with traces) : for any  $b \in B$ , one has

$$\text{Pol.char}_{B/A}(X, b) = \text{Pol.char}_{C/A}(X, u(b)).$$

Note that the norm maps being *polynomial laws* the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & A' \otimes_A B \\ N_B \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{B'} \\ A & \longrightarrow & A' \end{array}$$

are commutative for any base change  $A \rightarrow A'$ ; thus, we have the following descent property : if  $A \rightarrow A'$  is only injective and if the above triangle (2.1.1) is commutative, then the original one is also commutative, i.e.  $N_B = N_C \circ u$ .

**(2.1.3)** Let  $u : B \rightarrow C$  be a Tschirnhaus morphism between locally free  $A$ -algebras of rank  $n$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(u)$  is a nilideal in  $B$ .

In fact, let  $b \in B$  such that  $u(b) = 0$ ; one has  $\text{Pol.char}_{B/A}(X, b) = \text{Pol.char}_{C/A}(X, u(b)) = X^n$ , and from Hamilton-Cayley we deduce  $b^n = 0$ .  $\square$

The main source of Tschirnhaus morphisms is given by the following classical result (cf. e.g. [F], 4.3.1).

**2.2. Proposition** *Let  $A \rightarrow C$  be a finite and locally free morphism of rank  $n$ . Let  $c \in C$ , and let  $F(X) = N_{C/A}(X - c)$  be the characteristic polynomial of the map  $C \rightarrow C$ ,  $t \mapsto tc$ . The Hamilton-Cayley theorem gives a morphism of  $A$ -algebras*

$$A[X]/(F) \rightarrow C, \quad X \mapsto c.$$

*This is a Tschirnhaus morphism. In particular, for  $c = a \in A$ ,  $A[X]/((X - a)^n) \rightarrow C$ ,  $x \mapsto a$  is a Tschirnhaus morphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $B = A[X]/(F)$  and let  $u : B \rightarrow C$  be the morphism which sends the class  $x$  of  $X$  to  $c$ . Since the hypotheses are preserved by any base change, it is enough to proving that  $N_C \circ u = N_B$ . One has  $N_B(X - x) = F(X)^1 = N_C(X - u(x))$ , thus for  $a \in A$ ,  $N_B(a - x) = N_C(a - u(x))$ . Due to the multiplicativity of norms, we are reduced to proving that any  $b \in B$  may be written as a product of elements of the form  $a - x$ ; but  $b = Q(x)$  for some polynomial  $Q$  with  $\deg Q(X) < \deg F(X)$ ;  $b$  may also be written as  $b = G(x)$ , where  $G = Q + F$  is now a monic polynomial in  $A[X]$ ; thus there exists a free extension  $A'$  of  $A$  such that, in  $A'[X]$ , one has  $G(X) = \prod_i (X - a_i)$ , showing that in  $A' \otimes_A B$  one has  $b = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n)$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Corollary** *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  be an element of  $A^n$ . Let  $F(X) \in A[X]$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $F(\xi_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Then the morphism of  $A$ -algebras*

$$A[X]/(F) \rightarrow A^n$$

*which sends the class of  $X$  to  $\xi$ , is a Tschirnhaus morphism if and only if  $F(X) = \prod_i (X - \xi_i)$ .*  $\square$

#### 2.4 (Tschirnhaus transformation)

Let  $G(X) \in A[X]$  be a monic polynomial, and let  $P(X) \in A[X]$  be any polynomial. Recall that the traditional *Tschirnhaus transformation of  $G$  by  $P$*  is the polynomial whose roots are the images by  $P$  of those of  $G$ ; precisely, let introduce a finite free extension  $A'$  of  $A$  such that  $G$  splits in  $A'[X]$  as  $G(X) = \prod_i (X - \xi_i)$ ; then the coefficients of  $F(X) = \prod_i (X - P(\xi_i))$  are symmetric expressions of the roots of  $G$ , thus  $F \in A[X]$ ; it is the transformation of  $G$  by  $P$ . But one can define  $F$  without any reference to the roots of  $G$  as follows : let  $y$  be the class of  $Y$  in the free  $A$ -algebra  $C = A[Y]/(G(Y))$ ; then  $F(X)$  is nothing but the characteristic polynomial of  $c \mapsto cP(y)$ , i.e. the norm

$$F(X) = N_{C[X]/A[X]}(X - P(y))$$

From (2.2), the morphism which sends  $X$  to  $P(y)$  induces a Tschirnhaus morphism

$$A[X]/(F) \rightarrow A[Y]/(G).$$

Conversely, given two monic polynomials  $F, G \in A[X]$  of the same degree, and a Tschirnhaus morphism  $u : A[X]/(F) \rightarrow A[Y]/(G)$ , then  $F$  is the Tschirnhaus transformation of  $G$  by (any) polynomial  $P$  such that  $u(x) \equiv P(Y) \pmod{G}$ .

**2.5** To pay a tribute to L. KRONECKER, and also to show the power of the property of norms from being polynomial laws, we give the following criterium; it will not be used below.

**2.5.1 Proposition** *Let  $A$  be a ring and let  $u : B \rightarrow C$  be a morphism between locally free  $A$ -algebras of the same rank  $r$ . For  $u$  to be a Tschirnhaus morphism it is necessary and sufficient that  $u \otimes 1_{A[T]} : B[T] \rightarrow C[T]$  be norm compatible.*

---

1. As any undergraduate student knows, the matrix of  $b \mapsto xb$  relative to the basis  $(1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$  is the ‘‘companion matrix’’ of the polynomial  $F$ , which thus appears as the characteristic polynomial of the matrix.

Proof. In this proof we lighten notations by letting  $A_{[n]} = A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ . For proving sufficiency we first show that if  $u_{[1]} : A_{[1]} \otimes_A B \rightarrow A_{[1]} \otimes_A C$  is norm compatible, then for any positive integer  $n$ ,  $u_{[n]}$  is norm compatible. For doing so we use the *Kronecker substitution* : let  $d > 1$  be an integer ; the Kronecker substitutions (relative to  $d$ )  $\theta_d : A_{[n]} \rightarrow A_{[1]}$ , is the morphism of  $A$ -algebras

$$\theta_d : A[T_1, \dots, T_n] \longrightarrow A[T], \quad \text{defined by } \theta(T_i) = T^{d^{i-1}}.$$

The image of a monomial  $T_1^{m_1} \dots T_n^{m_n}$  is equal to  $T^m$  with  $m = m_1 + m_2 d + \dots + m_n d^{n-1}$  ; under the condition that  $0 \leq m_i < d$  for all  $i$ , this expression of  $m$  is its “ $d$ -adic expansion”, and thus it is unique. In other words, if  $\Theta \subset A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  denotes the set of polynomials whose all partial degrees are  $< d$ , then the restriction of  $\theta_d$  gives an *injective* map (designated by the same letter)

$$\theta_d : \Theta_d \longrightarrow A[T].$$

Now let  $x \in B_{[n]} = A_{[n]} \otimes_A B$ , and let  $u_{[n]}(x)$  its image in  $C_{[n]}$  ; we have to check that the polynomials  $N_{B_{[n]}}(x)$  and  $N_{C_{[n]}}(u_{[n]}(x))$  in  $A_{[n]}$  are equal. Choose an integer  $d$  strictly greater than all the partial degrees in the variables  $T_i$  in both these polynomials ; thus  $N_{B_{[n]}}(x)$  and  $N_{C_{[n]}}(u_{[n]}(x))$  are inside the subset  $\Theta_d \subset A_{[n]}$ . Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B_{[n]} & \xrightarrow{u_{[n]}} & C_{[n]} & & \\ & \searrow N_{B_{[n]}} & \swarrow N_{C_{[n]}} & & \\ & & A_{[n]} & & \\ \theta_d \otimes 1_B \downarrow & & \theta_d \downarrow & & \theta_d \otimes 1_C \downarrow \\ B_{[1]} & \xrightarrow{u_{[1]}} & C_{[1]} & & \\ & \searrow N_{B_{[1]}} & \swarrow N_{C_{[1]}} & & \\ & & A_{[1]} & & \end{array}$$

The two front faces of the prism are commutative diagrams because norms are polynomial laws ; the third is also commutative because it is nothing but a base change ; the lower triangle is commutative by assumption, and  $\theta_d$  is an injective map when restricted to  $\Theta_d$  ; thus  $N_{B_{[n]}}(x) = N_{C_{[n]}}(u_{[n]}(x))$ .

Finally, let  $A \rightarrow A'$  be any algebra, and let  $y = \sum_1^n a'_i \otimes b_i$  be an element in  $A' \otimes_A B$  ; consider the morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $A_{[n]} \rightarrow A'$  defined by  $T_i \mapsto a'_i$ , and let  $z \in A_{[n]} \otimes_A B$  be defined by  $z = \sum T_i \otimes b_i$  ; the preceding step shows that the norm of  $z$  and the norm of  $u_{[n]}(z) \in C_{[n]}$  are equal in  $A_{[n]}$  ; so the norm of  $y$  and the norm of its image in  $A' \otimes_A C$  are equal.  $\square$

### 3. The generic element

#### 3.1 The generic element of a projective $A$ -module $M$ of finite type

Denote by  $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$  the dual of the  $A$ -module  $M$ . We define an isomorphism

$$M^\vee \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_A(M)$$

by sending  $u \otimes x \in M^\vee \otimes_A M$  to the endomorphism  $y \mapsto u(y)x$ . We let

$$\xi_M \in M^\vee \otimes_A M$$

be the element corresponding to the identity map of  $M$  via the above isomorphism ; explicitly, let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a generating system for  $M$ , and let  $v : A^n \rightarrow M$  be the surjective linear map associated to it ; since  $M$  is projective, one has a map  $u : M \rightarrow A^n$  such that  $vu = 1_M$  ; by writing  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ , we have  $\xi_M = \sum u_i \otimes x_i$ .

When viewing  $\xi_M$  as an element of  $\text{Sym}_A(M^\vee) \otimes_A M$ , we call it the **generic element** of  $M$ , and we call  $\text{Sym}_A(M^\vee)$  the *ring of parameters* for the elements of  $M$ . In fact, an element  $x$  in  $M$  uniquely determines the  $A$ -linear map  $M^\vee \rightarrow A$  given by  $u \mapsto u(x)$ . This map extends to a morphism of  $A$ -algebras

$$\gamma_x : \text{Sym}_A(M^\vee) \rightarrow A.$$

The morphism  $\gamma_x$  has to be seen as the *specialization of parameters* attached with  $x$  because we recover  $x$  as the image of the generic element  $\xi_M$  by the morphism

$$\gamma_x \otimes 1 : \text{Sym}_A(M^\vee) \otimes_A M \rightarrow M.$$

More generally,

**3.1.2. Lemma** *For any  $A$ -algebra  $A'$ , consider the maps*

$$A' \otimes_A M \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M^\vee, A') \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(\text{Sym}_A(M^\vee), A')$$

where the first one is given by  $a' \otimes x \mapsto (u \mapsto u(x)a')$ , and the second map comes from the definition of the symmetric algebra. Then the composite map defines an isomorphism of functors  $\mathbf{Alg}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ . In the opposite direction, a morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $\gamma : \text{Sym}_A(M^\vee) \rightarrow A'$  induces a morphism  $\gamma \otimes 1_M : \text{Sym}_A(M^\vee) \otimes_A M \rightarrow A' \otimes_A M$ , from which we get the element  $(\gamma \otimes 1_M)(\xi_M) \in A' \otimes_A M$ .

**3.1.3.** If  $M$  is a free  $A$ -module with basis  $(e_i)$ , and if  $(e_i^\vee)$  denotes the dual basis, one has :

$$\xi_M = \sum_i e_i^\vee \otimes e_i.$$

The ring of parameters  $\text{Sym}_A(M^\vee)$  is then isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ , where  $T_i$  stands for the linear form  $e_i^\vee$ ; with these notations,  $\text{Sym}_A(M^\vee) \otimes_A M$  is isomorphic to the  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ -module  $M[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ , and the generic element may be written as

$$\xi_M = \sum_i e_i T_i \in M[T_1, \dots, T_n].$$

**3.2** *The generic element of a locally free algebra  $A \rightarrow B$*

Applying the above construction to the  $A$ -module  $B$ , we get the generic element

$$\xi_B \in \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \otimes_A B.$$

Writing  $\xi_B = \sum \beta_i \otimes x_i$ , with  $\beta_i \in B^\vee$  and  $x_i \in B$ , one has by definition, for  $b \in B$ ,  $b = \sum \beta_i(b)x_i$ , and, in particular

$$1_B = \sum \beta_i(1_B)x_i.$$

**3.2.1 Lemma.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a finite locally free algebra. If the linear map  $f$  is injective, it admits a retraction, that is a  $A$ -linear map  $\tau : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\tau(1_B) = 1_A$ ; in other words, the sequence of  $A$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$  is split, where we write  $B/A$  for the cokernel of  $f$ ; it is a projective  $A$ -module.*

Proof. This is stated in [AC, II §5, Exerc.4], but it may be given a direct proof, as follows. First note that the linearity of  $\tau$  means that, for  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , one has  $\tau(f(a)b) = a\tau(b)$ ; that implies, with  $b = 1_B$ , that  $\tau \circ f = \text{Id}_A$ ; so  $\tau$  is indeed a retraction of  $f$ . Now, keeping the notations of the beginning of (3.2), let  $I$  be the ideal in  $A$  generated by the elements  $\beta_i(1_B)$ ; since  $B = IB$  the usual Nakayama trick implies the existence of  $a \in I$  such that  $(1_A - a)1_B = 0$ ; but  $f$  is injective, so  $1_A = a$ ; since  $I$  is generated by the  $\beta_i(1_B)$ , one has  $1_A = \sum a_i \beta_i(1_B)$ , so  $\tau = \sum a_i \beta_i$  is a retraction of  $f$ .  $\square$

**3.2.2 Remark.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  a finite locally free algebra; let  $J = \text{Ker}(f)$ . We will show that there exists an idempotent  $e \in A$ , such that  $J$  is generated by  $1 - e$ , and such that  $B = eB$ .

In fact, for any  $A/J$ -module  $M$ , one has  $\text{Hom}_{A/J}(B, M) = \text{Hom}_A(B, M)$ , thus  $B$  is projective also as an  $A/J$ -module. From the above lemma there exists a retraction  $\tau : B \rightarrow A/J$ ; the projectivity of  $B$  as an  $A$ -module implies the existence of a  $A$ -linear map  $\tau'$  and a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ \tau' \swarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ A & \longrightarrow & A/J \end{array}$$



Let  $e = \tau'(1_B)$ ; one has  $1_A - e \in J$ , so  $0 = f(1_A - e) = 1_B - f(e)$ ; that implies  $B = eB$ ; but  $\tau'$  is  $A$ -linear thus  $eJ = \tau'(1_B J) = 0$ , hence  $e$  is an idempotent, and  $1 - e$  generates  $J$ .

In conclusion,  $f$  is injective if and only if, for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ , the rank of  $B$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is  $> 0$ , i.e.  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A B \neq 0$ , or, equivalently, if and only if the map  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  be surjective; it is the case when  $B$  is of constant rank  $> 0$ .

**3.2.3.** Below we shall introduce a morphism  $\mu : \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)[T]$  - not the canonical one-, which will appears “natural” from the point of view of vector bundles, in the sense of [EGA I, (9.4.9)].

Recall that, for an  $A$ -module  $M$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(M)$  denotes the *vector bundle associated to  $M$* , that is the covariant functor from the category of  $A$ -algebras to the category of groups,

$$A' \mapsto \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Mod}}(M, A') = \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(\text{Sym}_A(M), A').$$

Thus this functor is represented by  $\text{Sym}_A(M)$ .

A linear map  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  induces, by composition on the right,  $v \mapsto v\varphi$ , a morphism of functors  $\mathbf{V}(N) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}(\varphi)} \mathbf{V}(M)$ , and a morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $\text{Sym}_A(M) \xrightarrow{\text{Sym}_A(\varphi)} \text{Sym}_A(N)$ .

Let  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} M'' \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules. We have a morphism of functors

$$(3.2.3.1) \quad \mathbf{V}(M'') \times \mathbf{V}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}(M) \times_{\mathbf{V}(M')} \mathbf{V}(M), \quad (u'', u) \longmapsto (u''p + u, u).$$

It is clearly an isomorphism and thus it allows one to see  $\mathbf{V}(M)$  as a torsor in the category of functors over  $\mathbf{V}(M')$  under the additive group  $\mathbf{V}(M'')$ . Note that the projection onto the left hand factor of the fiber product over  $\mathbf{V}(M')$ , namely

$$(3.2.3.2) \quad \mathbf{V}(M'') \times \mathbf{V}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}(M)$$

is associated to the linear map

$$M \longrightarrow M'' \times M, \quad x \longmapsto (px, x)$$

**3.2.4** Suppose now that the morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is injective; from lemma (3.2.1), the following sequence of  $A$ -modules is exact :

$$(3.2.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow (B/A)^\vee \rightarrow B^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta \mapsto \beta|_A} A^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

We write  $S = \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)$ , and  $S_0 = \text{Sym}_A((B/A)^\vee)$  for this  $A$ -subalgebra of  $S$ .

We now apply the construction from 3.2.3. to the above sequence. The map  $p : M \rightarrow M''$  is here the map  $B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee, \beta \mapsto \beta|_A$  “restriction to  $A$ ”; denoting by  $T$  the canonical basis of the  $A$ -module  $A^\vee$ , we have a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Sym}_A(A^\vee) = A[T]$ , and the morphism  $B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee$  may be written as  $\beta \mapsto \beta(1)T$ . The projection (3.2.3.2) induces on the  $A$ -algebras representing the involved functors the morphism of  $S_0$ -algebras

$$\mu : S = \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_A(A^\vee) \otimes_A \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \simeq A[T] \otimes_A \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) = S[T];$$

it is given by extending to the symmetric algebra the map defined, for  $\beta \in B^\vee$ , by

$$\beta \longmapsto \beta(1)T + \beta.$$

This morphism  $\mu : S \rightarrow S[T]$  is clearly *not* the usual canonical morphism of  $S$ -algebras; however it is faithfully flat and smooth. In fact, from lemma 3.2.1 we may choose a retraction  $\tau : B \rightarrow A$  of  $f$ , in order to get a linear bijection  $(B/A)^\vee \oplus A^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} B^\vee$ , and thus an isomorphism of algebras

$$\text{Sym}((B/A)^\vee) \otimes \text{Sym}(A^\vee) = S_0[T] \xrightarrow{\sim} S = \text{Sym}(B^\vee).$$

This isomorphism depends on the choice of  $\tau$  (and it should have been referred to by the slogan : « a torsor with a rational point is trivial »); at any rate we get from it a morphism  $\varphi : S_0 \rightarrow S_0[T] \simeq S$  which is faithfully flat and smooth.

**3.2.5.** Now, the isomorphism (3.2.3.1) between functors implies that the following square is cocartesian :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S[T] \\ \varphi \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{can} \\ S_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \end{array}$$

From this square it is clear that  $\mu$  is faithfully flat and smooth.

**(3.3) Norm of the generic element**

The morphism of  $S_0 \otimes_A B$ -algebras  $\mu_B := \mu \otimes 1_B : S \otimes_A B \rightarrow S[T] \otimes_A B$  is faithfully flat and smooth ; one has

$$\mu_B(\xi_B) = T \otimes 1 + \xi_B.$$

In fact, if we write  $\xi_B = \sum \beta_i \otimes x_i$ , with  $\beta_i \in B^v$  and  $x_i \in B$ , one has  $\mu_B(\sum \beta_i \otimes x_i) = \sum (\beta_i(1)T + \beta_i) \otimes x_i = T \otimes (\sum \beta_i(1)x_i) + \xi_B = T \otimes 1 + \xi_B$ .

In the sequel, we shall write  $T$  instead of  $T \otimes 1 \in S[T] \otimes B$ .

To lighten the expression of the norm maps, we write, for any  $A$ -algebra  $A \rightarrow A'$ ,

$$N_{B;A'} := N_{A' \otimes_A B/A'};$$

so the second index indicates the target of the norm map. The polynomial  $F_{B/A}(T) = N_{B;S[T]}(T - \xi_B) \in S[T]$  is the generic characteristic polynomial discussed in the next paragraph (cf. 4.1).

**Proposition 3.3.1.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a locally free morphism of rank  $n$ . With the notations above, one has :*

1. *The generic element  $\xi_B$  is regular in  $S \otimes_A B$ , and the quotient of that ring by the ideal generated by  $\xi_B$  is smooth over  $B$  ;*
2. *the morphism  $\mu$  induces a faithfully flat morphism*

$$S/N_{B;S}(\xi_B)S \longrightarrow S[T]/(F),$$

where  $F = F_{B/A}(T)$  ; this morphism is smooth of relative dimension 1 ;

3. *the  $S_0$ -algebra  $S/N_{B;S}(\xi_B)S$  is locally free of rank  $n$ .*

Recall that an element  $s$  in a ring  $S$  is said to be *regular* (= nonzerodivisor) if the map  $S \rightarrow S$ ,  $x \mapsto sx$  is injective.

*Proof :* 1) The morphism  $\mu_B : S \otimes B \rightarrow S[T] \otimes B$  is faithfully flat, hence injective, and we have  $\mu_B(\xi_B) = T + \xi_B$  ; thus the regularity of  $\xi_B$  follows from the regularity of  $T + \xi_B$  in  $S[T] \otimes B$ .

From (3.2.5.) the composite morphism of  $S_0 \otimes B$ -algebras, induced by  $\mu_B$

$$S \otimes B / (\xi_B) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mu_B}} S[T] \otimes B / (T + \xi_B) \xrightarrow{T \mapsto -\xi_B} S \otimes B$$

is faithfully flat and smooth. (If, for example,  $A = B$ , then  $S \otimes B = A[X]$ , and  $\xi_B = X$  ; so the above map is nothing but the familiar one :  $A[X]/(X) \xrightarrow{X \mapsto T+X} A[X+T]/(X+T) \xrightarrow{T \mapsto -X} A[X].$ )

- 2) The following square with straight arrows is cocartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mu_B} & S[T] \otimes B \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right)_{N_{B;S}} & & \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right)_{N_{B;S[T]}} \\ S & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S[T] \end{array}$$

Therefore the curved square with the norm maps is commutative ; thus one has

$$\mu(N_{B;S}(\xi_B)) = N_{B;S[T]}(\mu_B(\xi_B)) = N_{B;S[T]}(T + \xi_B).$$

From this and (3.2.5), we deduce that the following square is cocartesian, where  $P(T) = N_{B;S[T]}(T + \xi_B)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S/N_{B;S}(\xi)S & \longrightarrow & S[T]/(P(T)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ S_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \end{array}$$

3) Since  $P(T) = N_{B;S[T]}(T + \xi_B)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $n$  with coefficients in  $S$ , and using the faithful flatness of  $\varphi$ , one sees that  $S/N_{B;S}(\xi)S$  is locally free of rank  $n$  over  $S_0$ . Finally,  $(-1)^n P(-T) = N_{B;S[T]}(T - \xi_B)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $\xi_B$ , which is denoted by  $F_{B/A}$  in the next section.  $\square$

## 4. The Kronecker morphism

### 4.1 Definition and examples

Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite and locally free morphism. Let

$$F_{B/A}(X) \in \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)[X]$$

be the characteristic polynomial of the generic element of  $B$ ; from now on this polynomial will be called the **generic characteristic polynomial**.

The relation  $F_{B/A}(X) = 0$  is called by Hilbert (*Zahlbericht*, ch.IV, §10) the *fundamental equation* of the  $A$ -algebra  $B$ . The generic element is a root of this equation (Hamilton-Cayley theorem), therefore there exists a morphism of  $\text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)$ -algebras

$$\text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)[X]/(F_{B/A}) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \otimes_A B,$$

which maps (the class of)  $X$  to  $\xi_B$ ; it will be called the **Kronecker morphism** of  $B/A$ .

**4.1.1** As a first example, consider  $B = A^n$ , and choose the canonical basis  $(e_i)$  for  $A^n$ . The ring of parameters  $\text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)$  is then isomorphic to  $S = A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ , where  $T_i$  stands for the  $i$ -th projection  $A^n \rightarrow A$ . An immediate calculation gives

$$F_{B/A}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - T_i),$$

and the Kronecker morphism

$$S[X]/(\prod_{i=1}^n (X - T_i)) \longrightarrow S^n$$

is defined by  $X \mapsto (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ .

It is injective since the Van der Monde determinant  $\prod_{i < j} (T_j - T_i)$  is a regular element in  $S$  (but it is not invertible if  $n \geq 2$ ).

More generally, let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite étale morphism of rank  $n$ ; its generic characteristic polynomial  $F_{B/A}$  is locally isomorphic to  $\prod_{i=1}^n (X - T_i)$ , thus, for  $n \geq 2$ , the morphism  $\text{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)[X]/(F_{B/A})$  is **not étale**.

**4.1.2** The next example is not illuminating! Let  $B = A[Y]/(G)$  be the  $A$ -algebra of rank 3 defined by the polynomial

$$G(Y) = Y^3 + a_2 Y^2 + a_1 Y + a_0.$$

If we write the generic element of  $B$  as  $\xi_B = T_0 + T_1 y + T_2 y^2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{B/A}(X) &= (X - T_0)^3 + (X - T_0)^2 [a_2 T_1 + (2a_1 - a_2^2) T_2] \\ &\quad + (X - T_0) [a_1 T_1^2 + (3a_0 - a_1 a_2) T_1 T_2 + (a_1^2 - 2a_0 a_2) T_2^2] \\ &\quad + [a_0 T_1^3 - a_0 a_2 T_1^2 T_2 + a_0 a_1 T_1 T_2^2 - a_0^2 T_2^3]. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it is not even clear if the Kronecker morphism is injective; in fact it is (cf. 4.2 below).

**4.1.3** Let  $B = A[u, v]$  with  $u^2 = v^2 = 0$ ; so  $A \rightarrow B$  is a complete intersection morphism; the ideal  $J = uB + vB$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank 3, and  $J^3 = 0$ ; thus  $B$  is a radical  $A$ -algebra of rank 4. Writing the generic element as  $\xi = T_0 + T_1u + T_2v + T_3uv$ , we find

$$F_{B/A}(X) = (X - T_0)^4.$$

Since  $(\xi - T_0)^3 = 0$ , the Kronecker morphism is *not* injective in that case.

**Theorem 4.2** (Injectivity of the Kronecker morphism) *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite and locally free morphism of rank  $n$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- i)  $B$  is locally monogenous over  $A$ .*
- ii) The Kronecker morphism*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_A(B^\vee)[X]/(F_{B/A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_A(B^\vee) \otimes_A B,$$

*is injective, and it remains injective after any base change  $A \rightarrow A'$ .*

Proof. *i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii).* We can suppose  $B$  to be monogenous, hence of the form  $A[Y]/(G)$ , where  $G$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $n$ . We write  $y$  the class of  $Y$  in  $B$ , and we choose the basis  $\{1, y, \dots, y^{n-1}\}$  for  $B$ . The ring of parameters  $\mathrm{Sym}_A(B^\vee)$  will then be seen as the polynomial ring  $S = A[T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}]$ , in such a way that the generic element would be written as

$$\xi = T_0 + T_1y + \dots + T_{n-1}y^{n-1}.$$

Checking the injectivity of the Kronecker morphism amounts to proving the following property : any relation of the form

$$s_0 + s_1\xi + \dots + s_{n-1}\xi^{n-1} = 0$$

with the  $s_i$  in  $S$ , implies that all the  $s_i$  are zero; in other words, one has to show that the family  $(1, \xi, \dots, \xi^{n-1})$  of elements of  $S \otimes_A B$  is free over  $S$ . For doing so, we consider the determinant of the matrix of the  $\xi^j$  on the basis  $(y^i)$ , and we show it is a regular (i.e nonzerodivisor) element in  $S$ .

Let  $U_{ij} \in S$  be the polynomials defined by

$$\xi^j = U_{0,j} + U_{1,j}y + \dots + U_{n-1,j}y^{n-1}.$$

Each of the polynomials  $U_{ij}$  is homogeneous in  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ , of degree  $j$ ; in fact, introducing a new variable  $T$ , we have to check the equality  $U_{ij}(TT_0, TT_1, \dots, TT_{n-1}) = T^j U_{ij}(T_0, \dots, T_{n-1})$ ; but the left hand side is nothing but the coefficient of  $(T\xi)^j$  on the basis element  $y^i$ ; hence the equality. Therefore the determinant  $U = \det(U_{ij})$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $N = 1 + 2 + \dots + n - 1$ .

On the other hand, one has  $U(0, T_1, 0, \dots, 0) = T_1^N$  : in fact consider the morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $S \rightarrow S$  defined by  $T_i \mapsto 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ , and its extension to  $S \otimes_A B$ ; it sends  $\xi$  to  $T_1y$ , and thus  $\xi^j$  is mapped to  $T_1^j y^j$ ; the image of the matrix  $(U_{ij})$  is the diagonal matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(1, T_1, \dots, T_1^{n-1})$ , and thus  $U(0, T_1, 0, \dots, 0) = T_1^N$ .

These two facts together imply that  $U$  is a monic polynomial in  $T_1$ . Hence  $U$  is a regular element in  $S$ , and it remains regular after any base change  $A \rightarrow A'$ .

**4.2.1.** As an explicit example, let us go back to the monogenous algebra of degree 3 in (4.1.2); some by hand calculations give, as expected, a monic polynomial in  $T_1$  for the determinant :

$$U = T_1^3 - 2a_2T_1^2T_2 + (a_1 + a_2^2)T_1T_2^2 + (a_0 - a_1a_2)T_2^3.$$

Before proving the implication *ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i)*, we recall a linear algebra fact.

**4.2.2. Lemma** *Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $u : M \rightarrow N$  be a  $R$ -linear map between projective  $R$ -modules of the same rank  $n$ . The  $R$ -modules  $\wedge^n M$  and  $\wedge^n N$  are invertible (i.e. of rank 1), and so is*

$$L = \text{Hom}_R(\wedge^n M, \wedge^n N).$$

*Consider the image of  $\lambda = \wedge^n u$  in  $\text{Sym}_R(L)$ , and the quotient  $R_\lambda = \text{Sym}_R(L)/(\lambda - 1)$ . Then*

- (a) *The morphism  $R \rightarrow R_\lambda$  is flat, and the image of  $\text{Spec}(R_\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is the open set  $\mathcal{U}$  of the primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is bijective.*
- (b) *The map  $u$  is injective if and only if  $\wedge^n u$  is, and this is also equivalent to  $R \rightarrow R_\lambda$  being injective ; in particular, the open set  $\mathcal{U}$  is then non empty (if  $R \neq 0$ ).*

The notation  $R_\lambda$  is indeed unusual since  $\lambda$  is not an element in  $R$ ; but if it were, then the usual fractions ring  $R_\lambda$  would have been written as  $R[T]/(\lambda T - 1)$ , which is exactly  $\text{Sym}_R(L)/(\lambda - 1)$  when  $L$  is free with basis noted  $T$ . Since the  $R$ -module  $L$  is locally isomorphic to  $R$ , to check the lemma, we can suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  are free, but then the part (a) rests on the well known relations between a square matrix and its determinant ; the equivalence in part (b) comes from [A], III, §8.2, Prop. 3, p.524.  $\square$

**Proof of the implication  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$  of Theorem 4.2.** Suppose now that the Kronecker morphism is injective ; we will define a faithfully flat morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  such that the  $A'$ -algebra  $A' \otimes_A B$  be monogenous. Simplify the notation as

$$u : S[X]/(F) \longrightarrow S \otimes_A B.$$

Both sides are projective  $S$ -modules of the same rank  $n$ , so we can apply the above lemma whose we adopt the notations ; now the symbol  $\wedge^n$  denotes the wedge power as  $S$ -module. We introduce the invertible  $S$ -module  $L = \text{Hom}_S(\wedge^n(S[X]/(F)), \wedge^n(S \otimes_A B))$ , and its element  $\lambda = \wedge^n u$  ; the spectrum of  $S_\lambda$  defines the open set  $\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Spec}(S)$  where  $u$  is an isomorphism. So the morphism

$$u_\lambda : S_\lambda[X]/(F) \longrightarrow S_\lambda \otimes_A B$$

is an isomorphism ; in particular, the  $S_\lambda$ -algebra on the right is monogenous. It remains to check that the morphisme  $A \rightarrow S_\lambda$  is faithfully flat, i.e. that the morphism  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective ; but it is an immediate consequence of the hypothesis that  $u$  remains injective after any base change  $A \rightarrow A'$ .  $\square$

**4.2.3.** (Back to the *Zahlbericht* of HILBERT ) In the §§10 and 11 of this memoir, the base ring is  $A = \mathbf{Z}$  and the algebra  $B$  is the ring of integers of a number field  $K$ , hence it is a monogenous  $\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra. The generic element  $\xi$  is called by Hilbert the *fundamental form*, and the generic characteristic polynomial is denoted by  $F$  ; the relation  $F = 0$  is said the fundamental equation of the ring.

The theorem 34 of the *Zahlbericht* says :

*The congruence of degree  $n$ ,  $F(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is the congruence of lowest degree which is satisfied modulo  $p$  by the fundamental form  $\xi$  (i.e by the generic element).*

It is an other way for stating the injectivity property  $ii)$  of ( 4.2.2), when the base ring is  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

**Remark 4.2.4.** An alternative proof of the implication  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$  of (4.2) uses the condition  $iii)$  of the proposition 1.4. We will now give its main step because it seems to be of interest in itself.

*Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $R$  a finite local  $K$ -algebra. We suppose that there exist a non zero  $K$ -algebra  $S$ , a monic polynomial  $F(X) \in S[X]$  of degree  $n = \text{rank}_K(R)$ , and an injective morphism of  $S$ -algebras  $u : S[X]/(F) \rightarrow S \otimes_K R$ . Then  $R$  is a monogenous  $K$ -algebra.*

Proof : We write  $R = K + J$  where  $J$  is nilpotent. Let  $m$  be the lowest integer such that  $J^m = 0$  ; hence, in the filtration

$$R \supset J \supset J^2 \supset \dots \supset J^{m-1} \supset J^m = 0$$

all those  $K$ -subspaces are distinct. Therefore, we have  $m \leq \dim_K(R) = n$ . Let  $x$  denote the class of  $X$  in  $S[X]/(F)$ . We write  $u(x) = s + \eta \in S \otimes_K R = S + S \otimes_K J$ , with  $s \in S$  and  $\eta \in S \otimes_K J$ . Since  $u((x-s)^m) = \eta^m = 0$ , the injectivity of  $u$  implies that  $F(X)$  divides  $(X-s)^m$ . Therefore  $m = n$  because  $\deg(F) = n \geq m$ . Thus,  $J^{n-1} \neq 0$ . But  $J$  is a vector space of dimension  $n - 1$ , and the filtration above

is strict; therefore the vector space  $J/J^2$  is of rank one, i.e the ideal  $J$  is generated by one element (Nakayama) and we conclude that  $R$  is monogenous.

**Corollary 4.2.5.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite locally free and locally monogenous morphism. If the ring  $B$  is reduced (resp. a domain, a connected ring) then the same is true for the ring  $S/\mathbf{N}_{B,S}(\xi_B)S$ .*

Proof. By composing the Kronecker morphism (4.2) with the morphism  $\mu$  from the proposition (3.3), we get a morphism of  $A$ -algebras

$$S/\mathbf{N}_{B,S}(\xi_B)S \longrightarrow S \otimes_A B$$

which is injective, even after any base change  $A \rightarrow A'$ ; moreover, the properties of the ring  $B$  taken into account in the statement are transferred to the ring  $S \otimes_A B$ , and also to its subring  $S/\mathbf{N}_{B,S}(\xi_B)S$ .  $\square$

This result has most probably been noticed already, at least for field extension, as the sentence : *If  $K \rightarrow L$  is a monogenous field extension of degree  $n$ , the norm of the generic element is an irreducible polynomial in  $K[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ .*

**Remark 4.2.6.** The simplest non monogenous field extension is

$$K = \mathbf{F}_2(X, Y) \subset L = \mathbf{F}_2(U, V),$$

given by  $X = U^2, Y = V^2$ . It is a radical extension of degree 4. The norm of the generic element  $\xi_L = T_0 + T_1U + T_2V + T_3UV$  is  $(T_0^2 + T_1^2X + T_2^2Y + T_3^2XY)^2$ ; it is a reducible polynomial!

**Remark 4.3.** (O. LOOS) The injectivity of the Kronecker morphism means that the characteristic polynomial  $F_{B/A}$  is also the minimum polynomial of the generic element, as already pointed out by HILBERT. The following remarks, which elaborate this idea, are essentially due to O. LOOS.

First suppose that  $A = K$  is a field; let  $L$  be the field of fractions of the polynomial ring  $S = \text{Sym}_K(B^\vee)$ ; denote by  $\xi_L \in L \otimes_A B$  the image of the generic element of  $S \otimes_A B$ . Let  $\mu[X] \in L[X]$  be the monic minimum polynomial of  $\xi_L$ ; since the characteristic polynomial  $F_{B/A}(X) \in S[X]$  is a multiple of  $\mu(X)$ , a classical result (Dedekind?) asserts that the coefficients of  $\mu(X)$  are in the integrally closed ring  $S$ . This polynomial  $\mu(X) \in S[X]$  will be called the *generic minimum polynomial*.

In the paper [L] on Jordan algebras, O. LOOS gives a statement (lemma (2.8)), which looks close to the above theorem, whose we keep the notations. Instead of the characteristic polynomial  $F_{B/A}$ , LOOS consider a monic polynomial  $G \in S[X]$  of degree  $n$  whose the generic element  $\xi$  is a root; let

$$v : S[X]/(G) \longrightarrow S \otimes_A B$$

be the associated morphism of  $S$  algebras. LOOS does not assume the injectivity of  $v$  but only the injectivity of the maps  $v_K = v \otimes_A 1_K$  for all morphisms  $A \rightarrow K$  to a field; in other words, he supposes that, for all  $K$ ,  $G_K$  is the generic minimum polynomial over  $K$ . He proves that such a polynomial  $G$  exists if and only if  $B$  is locally monogenous. Assuming that such a polynomial  $G$  exists, LOOS consider the open set  $\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Spec}(S)$  of those primes  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that  $v_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})}$  is bijective; he then uses [EGA III] 11.10.10, to deduce that  $\mathcal{V}$  is schematically dense in  $\text{Spec}(S)$ , and so that  $B$  is locally monogenous, as in the end of the proof of (4.3).

Conversely, if  $B$  is locally monogenous, LOOS proves that one can take for  $G(X)$  the generic characteristic polynomial.

## 5 Discriminant of the generic characteristic polynomial

**5.1** The Theorem 35 of the *Zahlbericht* [H] states that

*The content of the discriminant of  $F(X)$  is equal to the discriminant of  $B$  (or of  $K$ ).*

Hilbert pointed out that this property is a consequence of the injectivity of the Kronecker morphism. The discriminant of  $F(X)$  is an element of the ring containing the coefficients of  $F$ , namely  $\text{Sym}_A(B^\vee)$ ; in the context of the *Zahlbericht*, this ring is isomorphic to the factorial ring  $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ , therefore that

makes sense to look at the gcd of the coefficients of the discriminant, i.e. at its *content* (Hilbert writes : *the greatest numerical factor*).

Although it may mean extending the definition of the *content* in non factorial situation, we get the following general result.

**5.2 Proposition** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite locally free and locally monogenous morphism of rank  $n$ . Then the content of the discriminant of  $F_{B/A}(X)$  is equal to the discriminant of  $B$ .*

**5.2.1** First recall the general definition of the **content** (see, for example [SGA 3], VI<sub>B</sub>, théorème 6.2.3, p. 374). Let  $A \rightarrow S$  be an  $A$ -algebra, and let  $u : M \rightarrow L$  be a  $S$ -linear map between  $S$ -modules. Denote by  $\mathfrak{S}$  the set of those ideals  $I$  in  $A$  such that  $u$  induces the zero map  $M/IM \rightarrow L/IL$ . If  $\mathfrak{S}$  contains a unique minimal ideal, this ideal is called the *content* of  $u$  and it is denoted by  $\text{Ct}_{S/A}(u)$ , or, simply  $\text{Ct}(u)$  when the context is clear.

**5.2.2. Lemma** *Let  $A \rightarrow S$  be a morphism such that, locally for the Zariski topology on  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , the  $A$ -module  $S$  is free, possibly with an infinite basis, and let  $u : M \rightarrow L$  be a  $S$ -linear map between  $S$ -modules where  $L$  is an invertible  $S$ -module. Then  $u$  has a content.*

Proof. Let  $L^{-1} = \text{Hom}_S(L, S)$  be the inverse of  $L$ , and let  $u' : M \otimes_S L^{-1} \rightarrow S$  be the map associated with  $u$ ; the set of ideals  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the same for  $u$  and for  $u'$ ; so one can suppose that  $L = S$ , and we denote by  $J \subset S$  the ideal  $\text{Im}(u')$ ; moreover an easy gluing consideration reduces to the case where  $S$  is free over  $A$ . Then, choose a basis  $(e_\lambda)$  of  $S$  as  $A$ -module; let  $e_\lambda^\vee : S \rightarrow A$  be the “coordinate” linear form attached to  $e_\lambda$ . Consider the ideal in  $A$

$$(5.2.2.1) \quad I = \sum_{\lambda} e_\lambda^\vee(J)$$

generated by the coordinates of the elements in the ideal  $J$ . It is clear that  $I$  is the sought-for content. It is also clear that this construction commutes with any base change  $A \rightarrow A'$  in the sense that the ideal  $\text{Ct}(u)A' \subset A'$  generated by the image in  $A'$  of the content of  $u$ , is the content of the map  $u \otimes_A 1_{A'}$  of  $A' \otimes_A S$  modules (For details, see *loc. cit.*, end of the proof, p.375).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.3** *Let  $A \rightarrow S$  be as in lemma 5.2.2 above. Let  $N \xrightarrow{v} M \xrightarrow{u} L$  be  $S$ -linear maps between three invertible  $S$ -modules. We suppose that  $v$  is injective and that it remains injective under any base changes  $A \rightarrow A'$ . Then  $\text{Ct}(uv) = \text{Ct}(u)$ .*

Proof. Since the map  $v$  is « universally injective as  $A$ -linear map », the very definition of its content shows that  $\text{Ct}(v) = A$ . By restricting to affine open sets of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , we may suppose that  $S$  is free, and using a basis, we dispose, as in the proof of lemma (5.2.2), of a family of  $A$ -linear maps  $w_\lambda : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\sum_{\lambda} w_\lambda(M) = \text{Ct}(v)N = N$ ; then, we can introduce the affine open subsets  $U_\lambda \subset \text{Spec}(A)$  where  $w_\lambda$  is surjective, and thus bijective, since  $M$  and  $N$  are invertible; on these open sets, one has  $\text{Ct}(uv) = \text{Ct}(u)$ ; but, due to the expression (5.2.2.1) of the content, these open sets cover  $\text{Spec}(A)$  since  $\text{Ct}(v) = A$ .  $\square$

**5.2.4** Let us recall what the **discriminant** is. Let  $S \rightarrow E$  be a finite morphism, locally free of rank  $n$ ; we let  $E^\vee = \text{Hom}_S(E, S)$ ; the  $S$ -linear map  $\text{Tr}_{E/S} : E \rightarrow S$  induces a  $S$ -linear map

$$\alpha : E \rightarrow E^\vee, \quad x \mapsto (y \mapsto \text{Tr}_{E/S}(xy));$$

its extension to the  $n$ -th exterior power  $\wedge^n \alpha : \wedge^n E \rightarrow \wedge^n (E^\vee) = (\wedge^n E)^\vee$  leads to an  $S$ -linear map

$$d_{E/S} : (\wedge^n E)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow S;$$

its image is called the discriminant of  $E/S$  ([EGA IV<sub>4</sub>], 18.2.7, (ii)).

If  $F(X) \in S[X]$  is a monic polynomial, the discriminant of the  $S$ -algebra  $E = S[X]/(F)$  is the ideal generated by the usual discriminant of the polynomial  $F$ .

**5.2.5 Proof of (5.2)** In the situation under consideration, the Kronecker morphism

$$u : E := S[X]/(F) \rightarrow S \otimes_A B$$

is compatible with the traces **(2.2)**, namely :

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{E/S} = \mathrm{Tr}_{S \otimes_A B/S} \circ u.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Tr}_{S \otimes_A B/S} = \mathrm{Tr}_{B/A} \otimes \mathrm{id}_S$ , we get

$$d_{E/S} = (d_{B/A} \otimes \mathrm{id}_S) \circ (\wedge^n u)^{\otimes 2}.$$

The Kronecker morphism  $u$  is  $A$ -universally injective **(4.3)**. Therefore  $\wedge^n u$  is also  $A$ -universally injective ([A] III 8.2 Prop.3), and  $\mathrm{Ct}_{S/A}((\wedge^n u)^{\otimes 2}) = A$ ; from lemma **(5.2.3)** we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Ct}_{S/A}(d_{E/S}) = \mathrm{Ct}_{S/A}(d_{B/A} \otimes \mathrm{id}_S) = \mathrm{Im}(d_{B/A}).$$

□

**5.2.6 Remark** From the proposition **5.2**, we see that if  $A \rightarrow B$  is étale, i.e. if  $d_{B/A}$  is an isomorphism, then  $\mathrm{Ct}_{S/A}(d_{E/S}) = A$ ; but that does not mean that  $S[X]/(F)$  is étale over  $S$ ; for example, for  $B = A^n$ , the characteristic polynomial is, as seen in **(4.2.1)**,  $F(X) = \prod (X - T_i)$ ; it is not separable over  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  if  $n \geq 2$ .

## Références

- [A] N. BOURBAKI, Algebra, vol. I : ch.1-3; vol.II : ch.4-7, Springer-Verlag (1989 and 1990).
- [AC] N. BOURBAKI, Commutative Algebra, Ch. 1-7, Springer-Verlag (1989)
- [EGA I] A. GROTHENDIECK, J. DIEUDONNÉ *Éléments de Géométrie algébrique, III : Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents*, Publ. Math. IHÉS no 11 (1961) et 17 (1963).
- [EGA III] A. GROTHENDIECK, J. DIEUDONNÉ *Éléments de Géométrie algébrique, III : Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents*, Publ. Math. IHÉS no 11 (1961) et 17 (1963).
- [EGA IV<sub>4</sub>] A. GROTHENDIECK, J. DIEUDONNÉ *Éléments de Géométrie algébrique* Publ. Math. IHÉS no. 32, (1967).
- [F] D. FERRAND, *Un foncteur norme*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 126 (1998) p.1-49
- [H] D. HILBERT, *Zahlbericht, Jahresber. der D.M.V.,4* (1897), pp.175-546
  - Translated into French by A. Lévy and Th. Got under the title "*Théorie des corps de nombres algébriques*", Paris (Hermann),1913; reprinted by J. Gabay (1991)
  - Translated into English by I. T. Adamson, with an Introduction by F. Lemmermeyer and N. Schapacher, Berlin, etc. (Springer) 1998
- [L] O. LOOS, *Generically algebraic Jordan algebras over commutative rings*, Journ. of Algebra, 297,(2006) 474-529.
- [SGA 3] M. DEMAZURE, A. GROTHENDIECK, *Schémas en groupes*, Documents mathématiques n° 7, Soc. Math. France, (2011).
- [W] H. WEYL, Algebraic Theory of Numbers, *Ann. of Math. Studies, no 1*, Princeton (1940)

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ  
 IMJ-PRG, Case 247  
 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France  
 daniel.ferrand@imj-prg.fr